



## The Design of C: A Rational Reconstruction (contd.)

1



## Goals of this Lecture

- Recall from last lecture...
- Help you learn about:
  - The decisions that were **available to** the designers of C
  - The decisions that were **made by** the designers of C  
... and thereby...
  - C!
- Why?
  - Learning the design rationale of the C language provides a richer understanding of C itself
    - ... and might be more interesting than simply learning the language itself !!!
  - A power programmer knows both the programming language and its design rationale

2

# Character Data Types



- Issue: What character data types should C have?
- Thought process
  - The most common character codes are (were!) ASCII and EBCDIC
  - ASCII is 7-bit
  - EBCDIC is 8-bit
- Decisions
  - Provide type `char`
  - Type `char` should be one byte

Was that a good decision?

3

# Character Data Types (cont.)



- Tangential Decision
  - `char` should be an integer type
    - Can use type `char` to store small integers
    - Can do arithmetic with data of type `char`
    - Can freely mix `char` and integer data
      - `('a' + 1)` is `'b'` (assuming ASCII)
      - `('0' + 5)` is `'5'` (assuming ASCII)

How does Java handle these expressions?

Was that a good decision?

4

# Character Constants



- **Issue:** How should C represent character constants?
- **Thought process**
  - Could represent character constants as `int` constants, with truncation of high-order bytes
  - More readable to use single quote syntax (`'a'`, `'b'`, etc.); but then...
    - Need special way to represent the single quote character
    - Need special ways to represent non-printable characters (e.g. newline, tab, space, etc.)
- **Decisions**
  - Provide single quote syntax
  - Use backslash to express special characters

5

# Character Constants (cont.)



- **Examples**

• <code>'a'</code>	<b>the a character</b>
• <code>(char) 97</code>	the a character
• <code>(char) 0141</code>	the a character
• <code>'\o141'</code>	the a character, octal character form
• <code>'\x61'</code>	the a character, hexadecimal character form
• <code>'\0'</code>	<b>the null character</b>
• <code>'\a'</code>	bell
• <code>'\b'</code>	backspace
• <code>'\f'</code>	formfeed
• <code>'\n'</code>	<b>newline</b>
• <code>'\r'</code>	carriage return
• <code>'\t'</code>	<b>horizontal tab</b>
• <code>'\v'</code>	vertical tab
• <code>'\\'</code>	backslash
• <code>'\''</code>	single quote

6

# Strings



- Issue: How should C represent strings?

- Thought process

- String can be represented as a sequence of chars
- How to know where char sequence ends?
  - Store length before char sequence?
  - Store special "sentinel" char after char sequence?
- Strings are common in systems programming
- C should be small/simple



7

# Strings (cont.)



- Decisions

- Adopt a convention
  - String consists of a sequence of chars terminated with the null ('`\0`') character
- Use double-quote syntax (e.g. "`abc`", "`hello`") to represent a string constant
- Provide no other language features for handling strings
  - Delegate string handling to standard library functions

- Examples

- "`abc`" is a string constant
- '`a`' is a `char` constant
- "`a`" is a string constant



8

## Logical Data Type



- Issue: How should C represent logical data?
- Thought process
  - Representing a logical value (TRUE or FALSE) requires only one **bit**
  - Smallest entity that can be addressed is one **byte**
  - Type **char** is one byte, so could be used to represent logical values
  - C should be small/simple

9

## Logical Data Type (cont.)



- Decisions
  - Don't define a logical data type
  - Represent logical data using type **char**, or any integer type
  - Convention: 0 => FALSE, non-0 => TRUE
  - Convention used by:
    - Relational operators (<, >, etc.)
    - Logical operators (!, &&, ||)
    - Statements (**if**, **while**, etc.)

Was that a good decision? (See the next 2 slides)

10

## Logical Data Type (cont.)



- Note

- Using integer data to represent logical data permits shortcuts

```
...
int i;
...
if (i) /* same as (i != 0) */
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
```

Are such shortcuts beneficial?

11

## Logical Data Type (cont.)



- Note

- The lack of logical data type cripples compiler's ability to detect some errors

```
...
int i;
...
i = 0;
...
if (i = 5)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
```

What is the problem with this code?

What is the effect of this code?

How does Java handle this code?

12

## Floating-Point Data Types



- **Issue:** What floating-point data types should C have?
- **Thought process**
  - Systems programs use floating-point data infrequently
  - But some application domains (e.g. scientific) use floating-point data often
- **Decisions**
  - Provide three floating-point data types: `float`, `double`, and `long double`
  - bytes in `float` <= bytes in `double` <= bytes in `long double`
- **Incidentally, on hats using gcc217**
  - `float`: 4 bytes
  - `double`: 8 bytes
  - `long double`: 12 bytes

13

## Floating-Point Constants



- **Issue:** How should C represent floating-point constants?
- **Thought process**
  - Convenient to allow both fixed-point and scientific notation
  - Decimal is sufficient; no need for octal or hexadecimal
- **Decisions**
  - Any constant that contains decimal point or "E" is floating-point
  - The default floating-point type is `double`
  - Append "F" to indicate `float`
  - Append "L" to indicate `long double`
- **Examples**
  - `double`: `123.456`, `1E-2`, `-1.23456E4`
  - `float`: `123.456F`, `1E-2F`, `-1.23456E4F`
  - `long double`: `123.456L`, `1E-2L`, `-1.23456E4L`

Why?

14

## Feature 2: Operators



- A high-level programming language should have **operators**
- Operators combine with constants and variables to form expressions

15

## Kinds of Operators



- **Issue: What kinds of operators should C have?**
- **Thought process**
  - Should handle typical operations
  - Should handle bit-level programming ("bit fiddling")
- **Decisions**
  - Provide typical arithmetic operators: + - \* / %
  - Provide typical relational operators: == != < <= > >=
    - Each evaluates to 0=>FALSE or 1=>TRUE
  - Provide typical logical operators: ! && ||
    - Each interprets 0=>FALSE, non-0=>TRUE
    - Each evaluates to 0=>FALSE or 1=>TRUE
  - Provide bitwise operators: ~ & | ^ >> <<
  - Provide a cast operator: **(type)**

16



# Assignment Operator



- Issue: What about assignment?
- Thought process
  - Must have a way to assign a value to a variable
  - Many high-level languages provide an assignment **statement**
  - Would be more expressive to define an assignment **operator**
    - Performs assignment, and then evaluates to the assigned value
    - Allows expressions that involve assignment to appear within larger expressions
- Decisions
  - Provide assignment operator: =
  - Define assignment operator so it changes the value of a variable, and also evaluates to that value

17

# Assignment Operator (cont.)



- Examples

```
i = 0;
/* Assign 0 to i. Evaluate to 0.
   Discard the 0. */

i = j = 0;
/* Assign 0 to j. Evaluate to 0.
   Assign 0 to i. Evaluate to 0.
   Discard the 0. */

while ((i = getchar()) != EOF) ...
/* Read a character. Assign it to i.
   Evaluate to that character.
   Compare that character to EOF.
   Evaluate to 0 (FALSE) or 1 (TRUE). */
```

Does the expressiveness affect clarity?

18

## Increment and Decrement Operators

- Issue: Should C provide increment and decrement operators?
- Thought process
  - The construct `i = i + 1` is common
  - Special purpose increment and decrement operators would make code more expressive
  - Such operators would complicate the language and compiler
- Decisions
  - The convenience outweighs the complication
  - Provide increment and decrement operators: `++` `--`

Was that a good decision?

19

## Special-Purpose Assignment Operators

- Issue: Should C provide special-purpose assignment operators?
- Thought process
  - Constructs such as `i = i + n` and `i = i * n` are common.
  - Special-purpose assignment operators would make code more expressive
  - Such operators would complicate the language and compiler
- Decisions
  - The convenience outweighs the complication
  - Provide special-purpose assignment operators: `+=` `-=` `*=` `/=` `~=` `&=` `|=` `^=` `<<=` `>>=`

Was that a good decision?

20

## Sizeof Operator



- Issue: How can programmers determine the sizes of data?
- Thought process
  - The sizes of most primitive types are unspecified
  - C must provide a way to determine the size of a given data type programmatically
- Decisions
  - Provide a `sizeof` operator
    - Applied at compile-time
    - Operand can be a **data type**
    - Operand can be an **expression**, from which the compiler infers a data type
- Examples, on hats using gcc217
  - `sizeof(int)` evaluates to 4
  - `sizeof(i)` evaluates to 4 (where `i` is a variable of type `int`)
  - `sizeof(i+1)` evaluates to 4 (where `i` is a variable of type `int`)

21

## Other Operators



- Issue: What other operators should C have?
- Decisions
  - Function call operator
    - Should mimic the familiar mathematical notation
    - `function(param1, param2, ...)`
  - Conditional operator: `?:`
    - The only ternary operator
    - See King book
  - Sequence operator: `,`
    - See King book
  - Pointer-related operators: `&` `*`
    - Described later in the course
  - Structure-related operators (`.` `->`)
    - Described later in the course

22

## Feature 3: Control Statements




- A programming language must provide **statements**
- Some statements must affect flow of control

23

## Control Statements



- Issue: What control statements should C provide?
- Thought process
  - **Boehm** and **Jacopini** proved that any algorithm can be expressed as the nesting of only 3 control structures:




Barry Boehm

24

## Control Statements (cont.)



### (1) Sequence




25

## Control Statements (cont.)



### (2) Selection




26

## Control Statements (cont.)



### (3) Repetition



27

## Control Statements (cont.)



### • Thought Process (cont.)

- **Dijkstra** argued that any algorithm **should** be expressed using only those three control structures (*GOTO Statement Considered Harmful* paper)
- The ALGOL programming language implemented control statements accordingly



Edsger Dijkstra

### • Decisions

- Provide statements to implement those 3 control structures
- For convenience, provide a few extras

28

## Sequence Statement



- Issue: How should C implement sequence?
- Decision
  - **Compound** statement, alias **block**

```
{  
    statement1;  
    statement2;  
    ...  
}
```

29

## Selection Statements



- Issue: How should C implement selection?
- Decisions
  - **if** statement, for one-path or two-path decisions

```
if (integerExpr)  
    statement1;
```

```
if (integerExpr)  
    statement1;  
else  
    statement2;
```

30

## Selection Statements (cont.)



- Decisions (cont.)
  - **switch** and **break** statements, for multi-path decisions

```
switch (integerExpr) {  
  case integerConstant1:  
    ...  
    break;  
  case integerConstant2:  
    ...  
    break;  
  ...  
  default:  
    ...  
}
```

What if these **break** statements are omitted?

Was that use of **break** a good design decision?

31

## Repetition Statements



- Issue: How should C implement repetition?
- Decisions
  - **while** statement, for general repetition

```
while (integerExpr)  
  statement;
```

- **for** statement, for counting loops

```
for (initialExpr; integerExpr; incrementExpr)  
  statement;
```

- **do...while** statement, for loops with test at trailing edge

```
do  
  statement;  
while (integerExpr);
```

32



## Other Control Statements



- Issue: What other control statements should C provide?
- Decisions
  - **break** statement (revisited)
    - Breaks out of closest enclosing **switch** or **repetition** statement
  - **continue** statement
    - Skips remainder of current loop iteration
    - Continues with next loop iteration
    - Can be difficult to understand; generally should avoid
  - **goto** statement and labels
    - Avoid!!! (as per Dijkstra)

33

## Feature 4: Input/Output



- A programming language must provide facilities for reading and writing data
- Alternative: A programming **environment** must provide such facilities

34

## Input/Output Facilities



- Issue: Should C provide I/O facilities?
- Thought process
  - Unix provides the stream abstraction
    - A stream is a sequence of characters
  - Unix provides 3 standard streams
    - Standard input, standard output, standard error
  - C should be able to use those streams, and others
  - I/O facilities are complex
  - C should be small/simple
- Decisions
  - **Do not** provide I/O facilities in C
  - Instead provide a **standard library** containing I/O facilities
    - Constants: **EOF**
    - Data types: **FILE** (described later in course)
    - Variables: **stdin**, **stdout**, and **stderr**
    - Functions: ...

35

## Reading Characters



- Issue: What functions should C provide for reading characters from standard input?
- Thought process
  - Need function to read a single character from **stdin**
  - Function must have a way to indicate failure, that is, to indicate that no characters remain
- Decisions
  - Provide **getchar()** function
  - Make return type of **getchar()** wider than **char**
    - Make it **int**; that's the natural word size
  - Define **getchar()** to return **EOF** (a special non-character **int**) to indicate failure
- Note
  - There is no such thing as "the **EOF** character"

36

## Writing Characters



- Issue: What functions should C provide for writing a character to standard output?
- Thought process
  - Need function to write a single character to `stdout`
- Decisions
  - Provide a `putchar()` function
  - Define `putchar()` to accept one parameter
    - For symmetry with `getchar()`, parameter should be an `int`

37

## Reading Other Data Types



- Issue: What functions should C provide for reading data of other primitive types?
- Thought process
  - Must convert external form (sequence of character codes) to internal form
  - Could provide `getshort()`, `getint()`, `getfloat()`, etc.
  - Could provide one parameterized function to read any primitive type of data
- Decisions
  - Provide `scanf()` function
  - Can read any primitive type of data
  - First parameter is a **format string** containing **conversion specifications**
- See King book for details

38

## Writing Other Data Types



- Issue: What functions should C provide for writing data of other primitive types?
- Thought process
  - Must convert internal form to external form (sequence of character codes)
  - Could provide `putshort()`, `putint()`, `putfloat()`, etc.
  - Could provide one parameterized function to write any primitive type of data
- Decisions
  - Provide `printf()` function
  - Can write any primitive type of data
  - First parameter is a **format string** containing **conversion specifications**
- See King book for details

39

## Other I/O Facilities



- Issue: What other I/O functions should C provide?
- Decisions
  - `fopen()`: Open a stream
  - `fclose()`: Close a stream
  - `fgetc()`: Read a character from specified stream
  - `fputc()`: Write a character to specified stream
  - `fgets()`: Read a line/string from specified stream
  - `fputs()`: Write a line/string to specified stream
  - `fscanf()`: Read data from specified stream
  - `fprintf()`: Write data to specified stream
- Described in King book, and later in the course after covering files, arrays, and strings

40

## Summary



- C's design goals affected decisions concerning language features:
  - Data types
  - Operators
  - Control statements
  - I/O facilities
- Knowing the design goals and how they affected the design decisions can yield a rich understanding of C