

Cryptography

- **history**
 - Caesar cipher, rot13
 - substitution ciphers, etc.
 - Enigma (Turing)
- **modern secret key cryptography**
 - DES, AES
- **public key cryptography**
 - RSA, PGP, PKI
- **crypto politics**

Cryptography basics

- **Alice & Bob want to exchange messages**
 - keeping the content secret
 - though not the fact that they are communicating
- **they need some kind of secret that scrambles messages**
 - makes them unintelligible to bad guys but intelligible to good guys
- **the secret is a "key" (like a password)**
 - known only to the communicating parties
 - that is used to do the scrambling and unscrambling

 - for Caesar cipher, the "key" is the amount of the shift (A => D, etc.)
 - for substitution ciphers, the key is the permutation of the alphabet
 - for Enigma, key is wiring and position of wheels plus settings of patches

 - for modern ciphers, the key is a large integer used as part of an intricate algorithmic operation on the bits of the message

Modern secret key cryptography

- **messages encrypted and decrypted with a shared secret key**
 - usually the same key for both operations ("symmetric")
- **encryption/decryption algorithm is known to adversaries**
 - "security by obscurity" *does not work*
- **attacks**
 - decrypt specific message(s) by analysis
 - various combinations of known or chosen plaintext and ciphertext
 - determine key by "brute force" (try all possible keys)
- **if key is compromised, all past and future messages are compromised**
- **big problem: key distribution**
 - need a secure way to get the key to both/all parties
 - diplomatic pouches, secret agents, ...
 - doesn't work when the parties don't know each other
 - or have no possible channel for exchanging a secret key
 - or when want to exchange secret messages with many different parties
 - e.g., credit card numbers on Internet

DES and AES

- **Data Encryption Standard (DES)**
 - developed ~1977 by IBM, with NSA involvement
 - widely used, though lingering concerns about trap doors
 - 56-bit key is now too short:
 - can exhaustively test all keys in a few hours
 - with comparatively cheap special-purpose hardware
 - "triple DES" uses 3 DES encryptions to increase effective key length
- **Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)**
 - result of an international competition run by NIST (www.nist.gov/aes)
 - completely open: algorithms and analyses in public domain
 - Rijndael: winning algorithm selected October 2000
 - approved as official US government standard
 - 128, 192, 256-bit keys
 - fast in both hardware and software implementations

Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)

- **Rijndael**
 - Joan Daemen & Vincent Rijmen, Belgium
 - 128, 192, 256-bit keys



Public key cryptography

- **fundamentally new idea**
 - Diffie & Hellman (USA, 1976); earlier in England but kept secret
- **each person has a public key and a private key**
 - the keys are mathematically related
 - a message encrypted with one can only be decrypted with the other
- **public keys are published, visible to everyone**
- **private keys are secret, known only to owner**

- **Alice sends a secret message to Bob by**
 - encrypting it with Bob's public key
 - only Bob can decrypt it, using his private key
- **Bob sends a secret reply to Alice by**
 - encrypting it with Alice's public key
 - only Alice can decrypt it, using her private key

Digital signatures

- **can use public key cryptography for digital signatures**
 - if Alice encrypts a message with her private key
 - and it decodes properly with her public key
 - it had to be Alice who encoded it
- **signature can be attached to a message**
 - Alice encrypts a message with her private key
 - Alice encrypts the result with Bob's public key
 - only Bob can decrypt this (with his private key)
 - but it won't make any sense yet
 - Bob then decrypts it with Alice's public key
 - if it decodes properly, it had to be Alice who encrypted it originally
- **necessary properties of digital signatures**
 - can only be done by the right person: can't be forged
 - can't re-use a signature to sign something else
 - signature attached to a document: signs specific contents
 - signature can't be repudiated

Digital signatures, continued

- **usually done by signing a "cryptographic hash" of a document, not the document itself**
- **secure hash is computed by an algorithm**
- **reduces any data to a comparatively short number such that**
 - can't deduce the original document from the number
 - any change to the original document produces a completely different hash
 - can't find another document that has the same hash
- **current secure hash algorithms**
 - MD5 (Rivest, MIT): 128 bits
 - SHA-1 (US government standard): 160 bits
- **new international competition to create a new version of SHA-1**
 - analogous to AES competition (again run by NIST)
 - first round submissions 10/31/08
 - e.g., <http://www.securityfocus.com/news/11536?ref=rss>

RSA public key cryptographic algorithm

- **most widely used public key system**
- **invented by Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir, Len Adleman, 1977?**
 - patent expired Sept 2000, now in public domain
- **based on (apparent) difficulty of factoring very large integers**
 - "large" ≥ 1024 bits ~ 300 digits
 - public key based on product of two large (secret) primes
 - encrypting and decrypting require knowledge of the factors
- **slow, so usually use RSA to exchange a secret "session key"**
 - session key used for secret key encryption with AES
 - used by SSH for secure login
 - used by browsers for secure exchange of credit card numbers
https: http with encryption
 - SSL (Secure Sockets Layer) or TLS (Transport Layer Security)
used to encrypt TCP/IP

Public key personae

- **Martin Hellman,
Whitfield Diffie**



- **Adi Shamir,
Ron Rivest,
Len Adleman**



How does RSA work? (you are not expected to remember this)

- choose two big primes p and q (~100 digits each)
- compute $N = p \times q$ (~200 digits)
- select e , relatively prime to $(p-1) \times (q-1)$
- compute d such that $e \times d = 1 \pmod{(p-1) \times (q-1)}$
- public key is (e, N) , private key is d

- to encode message m , $c = m^e \pmod N$
- to decode message c , $m = c^d \pmod N$
- decoding is easy if you know d , but hard if you don't:
 - you have to figure out $p-1$ and $q-1$
 - so you have to figure out p and q
 - so you have to factor N
 - and that's too hard

A tiny example

- $p = 17$, $q = 11$ (primes)
- $N = 17 \times 11 = 187$
- $e = 7$ (relatively prime to $p-1 \times q-1 = 16 \times 10 = 160$)
- $d = 23$ ($7 \times 23 = 161 = 1 \pmod{160}$)
- public key is $(7, 187)$; private key is 23

- to encode "X" (88 in ascii),
compute $88^7 \pmod{187} = 11$

- to decrypt 11,
compute $11^{23} \pmod{187} = 88$

Properties of public/private keys

- can't deduce the public key from the private, or vice versa
- can't find another encryption key that works with the decryption key
- keys are long enough that brute force search is infeasible
- **nasty problems:**
 - if a key is lost, all messages and signatures are lost
 - if a key is compromised, all messages and signatures are compromised
 - it's hard to revoke a key
 - it's hard to repudiate a key (and hard to distinguish that from revoking)
- **authentication**
 - how do you know who you are talking to? is that really Alice's public key?
 - public key infrastructure, web of trust, digital certificates

Crypto politics

- **cryptographic techniques as weapons of war?**
 - until recently, (strong) cryptography was classified as "munitions" in USA
 - falls under International Traffic in Arms Regulations and follow-ons
- **export control laws prohibited export of cryptographic code**
 - though it was ok to export books and T-shirts with code and everyone else in the world had it anyway
 - changed during 2000, but there are still restrictions
- **does the government have the right/duty ...**
 - to control cryptographic algorithms and programs?
 - to require trapdoors, key escrow, or similar mechanisms?
 - to prevent reverse-engineering of cryptographic devices?
 - to prevent research in cryptographic techniques?
- **do corporations have the right ...**
 - to prevent publication of cryptographic techniques?
 - to prevent reverse-engineering of cryptographic devices?
- **how do we balance individual rights, property rights, & societal rights?**

Summary of crypto

- **secret/symmetric key algorithms: DES, AES**
 - key distribution problem: everyone has to have the key
- **public key algorithms: RSA, ...**
 - solves key distribution problem, but authentication is still important
 - also permits digital signatures
 - much slower than secret key, so used mainly for key exchange
- **security is entirely in the key**
 - "security by obscurity" does not work: bad guys know everything
 - brute force attacks work if keys are too short or easy
- **good cryptography is hard**
 - you can't invent your own methods
 - you can't trust "secret" or proprietary methods
- **people are the weak link**
 - complicated or awkward systems will be subverted, ignored or misused
 - social engineering attacks are effective
 - ignorance, incompetence, misguided helpfulness
- **if all else fails, try bribery, burglary, blackmail, brutality**