Monte Carlo Integration for Image Synthesis

COS 526, Fall 2008

Adam Finkelstein

Slides from Funkhouser, Rusinkiewicz, Shirley


Outline

- Motivation
- Monte Carlo integration
- Monte Carlo path tracing
- Variance reduction techniques
- Sampling techniques
- Conclusion

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
  - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
 - Caustics

---

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
  - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
  - Caustics

$$L_p = \int_S L(x \to e)\,dA$$

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
  - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
  - Caustics

$$L(x,\bar{w}) = L_e(x,x \to e) + \int_S f_r(x,x' \to x, x \to e)L(x' \to x)V(x,x')G(x,x')dA$$

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
  - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
  - Caustics

Herf

$$L(x,\bar{w}) = L_e(x,x \to e) + \int_S f_r(x,x' \to x, x \to e)L(x' \to x)V(x,x')G(x,x')dA$$

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
 - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
  - Caustics

Surface
Light
Eye
ω ω'
x
Surface

$$L_o(x,\vec{w}) = L_e(x,\vec{w}) + \int_\Omega f_r(x,\vec{w}',\vec{w})L_i(x,\vec{w}')(\vec{w}' \bullet \vec{n})d\vec{w}$$

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
  - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
  - Caustics

Debevec

$$L_o(x,\vec{w}) = L_e(x,\vec{w}) + \int_\Omega f_r(x,\vec{w}',\vec{w})L_i(x,\vec{w}')(\vec{w}' \bullet \vec{n})d\vec{w}$$

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
 - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
  - Caustics

Specular Surface
Light
Eye
ω ω'
x
Diffuse Surface

$$L_o(x,\vec{w}) = L_e(x,\vec{w}) + \int_\Omega f_r(x,\vec{w}',\vec{w})L_i(x,\vec{w}')(\vec{w}' \bullet \vec{n})d\vec{w}$$

Motivation

- Rendering = integration
  - Antialiasing
  - Soft shadows
  - Indirect illumination
  - Caustics

Jensen

$$L_o(x,\vec{w}) = L_e(x,\vec{w}) + \int_\Omega f_r(x,\vec{w}',\vec{w})L_i(x,\vec{w}')(\vec{w}' \bullet \vec{n})d\vec{w}$$

Challenge

- Rendering integrals are difficult to evaluate
  - Multiple dimensions
  - Discontinuities
    - Partial occluders
    - Highlights
    - Caustics

Drettakis

$$L(x,\vec{w}) = L_e(x,x \to e) + \int_S f_r(x,x' \to x, x \to e)L(x' \to x)V(x,x')G(x,x')dA$$

Challenge

- Rendering integrals are difficult to evaluate
  - Multiple dimensions
  - Discontinuities
   - Partial occluders
    - Highlights
    - Caustics

Jensen

$$L(x,\vec{w}) = L_e(x,x \to e) + \int_S f_r(x,x' \to x, x \to e)L(x' \to x)V(x,x')G(x,x')dA$$

Outline

- Motivation
- Monte Carlo integration
- Monte Carlo path tracing
- Variance reduction techniques
- Sampling techniques
- Conclusion

Integration in 1D

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx = ?$$

f(x)

x=1

Slide courtesy of
Peter Shirley

We can approximate

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx = \int_0^1 g(x)dx$$

f(x)   g(x)

x=1

Slide courtesy of
Peter Shirley

Or we can average

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx = E(f(x))$$

f(x)

E(f(x))

x=1

Slide courtesy of
Peter Shirley

Estimating the average

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)$$

f(x)

E(f(x))

x_1 x_N

Slide courtesy of
Peter Shirley

Other Domains

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = \frac{b-a}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)$$

f(x)

$< f >_{ab}$

x=a   x=b

Slide courtesy of
Peter Shirley

Multidimensional Domains

- Same ideas apply for integration over …
  - Pixel areas
  - Surfaces
  - Projected areas
 - Directions
  - Camera apertures
  - Time
  - Paths

$$\int_{UGLY} f(x)dx = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$

Eye

Pixel

x

Surface

Outline

- Motivation
- Monte Carlo integration
- Monte Carlo path tracing
- Variance reduction techniques
- Sampling techniques
- Conclusion

Monte Carlo Path Tracing

- Integrate radiance for each pixel by sampling paths randomly

Specular Surface

Light

Eye

Pixel

x

Diffuse Surface

$$L_o(x,\vec{w}) = L_e(x,\vec{w}) + \int_{\Omega} f_r(x,\vec{w}',\vec{w}) L_i(x,\vec{w}')(\vec{w}' \bullet \vec{n}) d\vec{w}$$

Simple Monte Carlo Path Tracer

- *Step 1: Choose a ray p=camera, d=(θ,φ); assign weight = 1*

- *Step 2: Trace ray to find intersection with nearest surface*

- *Step 3: Randomly choose between emitted and reflected light*
  - *Step 3a: If emitted,*
    *return weight * Le*
  - *Step 3b: If reflected,*
    *weight *= reflectance*
    Generate ray in random direction
    Go to step 2

Monte Carlo Path Tracing

Big diffuse light source, 20 minutes

Jensen

Monte Carlo Path Tracing

- Advantages
  - Any type of geometry (procedural, curved, ...)
  - Any type of BRDF (specular, glossy, diffuse, ...)
  - Samples all types of paths (L(SD)*E)
  - Accuracy controlled at pixel level
  - Low memory consumption
  - Unbiased - error appears as noise in final image
- Disadvantages
  - Slow convergence
  - Noise in final image

Monte Carlo Path Tracing

1000 paths/pixel

Jensen

Variance

$$Var[f(x)] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [f(x_i) - E(f(x))]^2$$

$E(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Variance

$$Var[E(f(x))] = \frac{1}{N} Var[f(x)]$$

Variance decreases as 1/N
Error decreases as 1/sqrt(N)

$E(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Outline

- Motivation
- Monte Carlo integration
- Monte Carlo path tracing
- Variance reduction techniques
- Sampling techniques
- Conclusion

Variance

- Problem: variance decreases with 1/N
 - Increasing # samples removes noise slowly

$E(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Variance Reduction Techniques

- Importance sampling
- Stratified sampling
- Metropolis sampling
- Quasi-random

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$

Importance Sampling

- Put more samples where f(x) is bigger

$$\int_\Omega f(x)dx = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i$$

$$Y_i = \frac{f(x_i)}{p(x_i)}$$

$E(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Importance Sampling

- This is still unbiased

$$E[Y_i] = \int_\Omega Y(x)p(x)dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega \frac{f(x)}{p(x)}p(x)dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega f(x)dx$$

for all N

$E(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Importance Sampling

- Zero variance if p(x) ~ f(x)

$$p(x) = cf(x)$$

$$Y_i = \frac{f(x_i)}{p(x_i)} = \frac{1}{c}$$

$$Var(Y) = 0$$

Less variance with better
importance sampling

$E(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Stratified Sampling

- Estimate subdomains separately

Arvo

$E_k(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Stratified Sampling

- This is still unbiased

$$F_N = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{M} N_i F_i$$

$E_k(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Stratified Sampling

- Less overall variance if
  less variance in subdomains

$$Var[F_N] = \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{k=1}^{M} N_i Var[F_i]$$

$E_k(f(x))$

x_1 x_N

Outline

- Motivation
- Monte Carlo integration
- Monte Carlo path tracing
- Variance reduction techniques
- Sampling techniques
- Conclusion

Simple Monte Carlo Path Tracer

- *Step 1: Choose a ray (u,v,θ,φ); assign weight = 1*

- *Step 2: Trace ray to find intersection with nearest surface*

- *Step 3: Randomly choose between emitted and reflected light*
  - *Step 3a: If emitted,*
     *return weight * Le*
  - *Step 3b: If reflected,*
     *weight *= reflectance*
     Generate ray in random direction
     Go to step 2

Sampling Techniques

- Problem: how do we generate random points/directions during path tracing?
  - Non-rectilinear domains
  - Importance (BRDF)
  - Stratified

Generating Random Points

- Uniform distribution:
  - Use random number generator

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
 - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

y

Cumulative Probability

0 1

Ω

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

y

Cumulative Probability

0 1

Ω

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

y

x

Cumulative Probability

0 1

Ω

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

Cumulative Probability

0 1

Ω

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

Probability

0 1

Ω

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

Probability

0 1

Ω

Generating Random Points

- Specific probability distribution:
  - Function inversion
  - Rejection
  - Metropolis

Combining Multiple PDFs

- Balance heuristic
  - Use combination of samples generated for each PDF
  - Number of samples for each PDF chosen by weights
  - Near optimal

Monte Carlo Path Tracing Image

2000 samples per pixel, 30 computers, 30 hours

Jensen

Monte Carlo Extensions

- Unbiased
  - Bidirectional path tracing
  - Metropolis light transport
- Biased, but consistent
  - Noise filtering
  - Adaptive sampling
  - Irradiance caching

Monte Carlo Extensions

- Unbiased
  - Bidirectional path tracing
  - Metropolis light transport
- Biased, but consistent
  - Noise filtering
  - Adaptive sampling
  - Irradiance caching

RenderPark

Monte Carlo Extensions

- Unbiased
  - Bidirectional path tracing
  - Metropolis light transport
- Biased, but consistent
  - Noise filtering
  - Adaptive sampling
  - Irradiance caching

Heinrich

Monte Carlo Extensions

- Unbiased
  - Bidirectional path tracing
  - Metropolis light transport
- Biased, but consistent
  - Noise filtering
  - Adaptive sampling
  - Irradiance caching

Unfiltered

Filtered

Jensen

Monte Carlo Extensions

- Unbiased
  - Bidirectional path tracing
  - Metropolis light transport
- Biased, but consistent
  - Noise filtering
  - Adaptive sampling
 - Irradiance caching

Fixed

Adaptive   Ohbuchi

Monte Carlo Extensions

- Unbiased
  - Bidirectional path tracing
  - Metropolis light transport
- Biased, but consistent
  - Noise filtering
 - Adaptive sampling
  - Irradiance caching

Jensen

Summary

- Monte Carlo Integration Methods
  - Very general
  - Good for complex functions with high dimensionality
  - Converge slowly (but error appears as noise)
- Conclusion
  - Preferred method for difficult scenes
  - Noise removal (filtering) and irradiance caching (photon maps) used in practice

Programming Assignment

Jensen

More Information

- Books
  - *Realistic Ray Tracing*, Peter Shirley
  - *Realistic Image Synthesis Using Photon Mapping*, Henrik Wann Jensen
- Theses
  - *Robust Monte Carlo Methods for Light Transport Simulation*, Eric Veach
  - *Mathematical Models and Monte Carlo Methods for Physically Based Rendering*, Eric La Fortune
- Course Notes
  - *Mathematical Models for Computer Graphics*, Stanford, Fall 1997
  - *State of the Art in Monte Carlo Methods for Realistic Image Synthesis*, Course 29, SIGGRAPH 2001