## **COS429 Computer Vision: Course Project Presentation Review Form**

## **Instructions**

There are three categories of scores:

- **Quality of the presentation**: This category evaluates the clarity of the presentation, the organization and structuring of the materials, and the quality of the Q&A session;
- *Quality of the experiments*: This category evaluates the results of the work. Is the algorithm well evaluated and presented? Are the figures/plots well presented and technically convincing?
- **Quality of the overall work**: This category evaluates the overall quality of the project. Is it a technically challenging project? Is it well carried out?

For all three categories, please give the one of the following scores:

1 (unacceptable/poor) 2(fair) 3(good) 4(excellent) 5(outstanding/best)

Team	Team members	Quality of	Quality of	Quality of	Total
#		the	the	the overall	score
		presentation	experiments	work	(15)
1	Paul Kompfner				
2	Chong Wang				
3	Tom Brennan				
4	Sowmya Ramakrishnan				
5	Amirali Shanechi,				
	Parker Seidel				
6	Stephanie Chen				
7	Yongxin Xi				
8	Umar Javed				
9	Perry Morgan				
10	David Costanzo				
11	Connelly Barnes				
12	Min Sun				
13	Jeff Terrace				
14	Kyle Super				
15	Don Kim				
16	Doug Hohensee				
17	Alina Ene				
18	Lindsay Gorman				