COS 341 Discrete Mathematics

Discussion Sessions

- Discussion Session on Monday 5-6:00pm, 105 CS building
- Mailing list for class:
- Send mail to majordomo@cs.princeton.edu
- subscribe cs341 in the body

Administrative Information

• http://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall02/cs341/

 Professor: Moses Charikar moses@cs.princeton.edu 305 CS building, 8-7477

Office hours: Tue, 2:30-4:30

• Teaching Assistants:

Adriana Karagiozova karagioz@cs.princeton.edu 414 CS Building, 8-5388

Office hours: Tue: 4:30-5:30

Renato Werneck rwerneck@princeton.edu

314 CS Building, 8-5135 Office hours: Fri: 2:30-3:30

2

Proof Techniques: the pigeonhole principle

- n+1 pigeons in n holes
- There exists one hole with at least 2 pigeons
- Generalization: a pigeons in b holes
- There exists one hole with at least [a/b] pigeons

3

A nontrivial proof

Consider the numbers 1,2 ... 1000. Show that amongst any 501 of them there exist two numbers such that one divides the other.

5

Building blocks of logic

• Proposition: declarative sentence that is true or false (but not both).

x + y = z 2 + 2 = 3Today is Wednesday

- Basic building blocks of logic
- Usually denoted by lowecase letters: p, q, r, s
- Truth value of proposition denoted by T or F

A nontrivial proof

Consider the numbers 1,2 ... 1000. Show that amongst any 501 of them there exist two numbers such that one divides the other.

Write each number in the form

 $2^{k}(2m+1), k,m \geq 0$

Since *m* takes at most 500 distinct values, the set contains two numbers of the form

 $2^{k}(2m+1)$ and $2^{k'}(2m+1)$

6

Building New Propositions

Negation

p	¬р
T	F
F	Т

Truth table

Conjunction (AND)

p	q	p q
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Disjunction (OR)

p	q	p∨q
T	T	Т
T	F	Т
F	Т	Т
F	F	F

9

Exclusive OR

p	q	p⊕q
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Disjunction (OR)

p	q	p∨q
T	T	T
T	F	Т
F	Т	Т
F	F	F

10

Implication

p	q	p→q
Т	T	T
Т	F	F
F	T	Т
F	F	Т

p implies q
if p, then q
q if p
q when p
q whenever p
q follows from p
p is sufficient for q
a sufficient condition for q is p
q is necessary for p
a necessary condition for p is q
p only if q

11

Implication

p	q	p→q
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	Т
F	F	Т

Biconditional

p	q	$p{\leftrightarrow}q$
T	T	Т
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	Т

p if and only if q
p iff q
p is necessary and sufficient for q
if p then q and conversely

Translating from English

"You can access the internet from campus only if you are a computer science major or you are not a freshman"

a: You can access the internet from campus

c: You are a computer science major

f: You are a freshman

$$a \rightarrow (c \lor \neg f)$$

13

Logical Equivalences

- A compound proposition that is always true is called a tautology.
- Propositions p and q are logically equivalent if they have the same truth values in all possible cases, i.e. if p→q is a tautology
- This is denoted by the notation $p \equiv q$
- Proved by verifying that truth tables agree or by using rules of logical equivalence

Precendence rules

Operator	Precedence
П	1
٨	2
V	3
\rightarrow	4
\leftrightarrow	5

14

Equivalence by truth table

Show that $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \lor q$ are logically equivalent

p	q	¬р	$\neg p \vee q$	$p{\rightarrow} q$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

16

Logical Equivalences

Equivalence	Name
$\begin{array}{c} p \wedge T \equiv p \\ p \vee F \equiv p \end{array}$	Identity laws
$\begin{array}{c} p \lor T \equiv T \\ p \land F \equiv F \end{array}$	Domination laws
$p \lor p \equiv p$ $p \land p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$\neg \ (\neg \ p) \equiv p$	Double negation law

17

Logical Equivalences

Equivalence	Name
$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$ $\neg (p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$ $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$\begin{array}{c} p \vee \neg p \equiv T \\ p \wedge \neg p \equiv F \end{array}$	Negation laws

Logical Equivalences

г ' 1	N
Equivalence	Name
$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$	Commutative laws
$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	
$(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$ $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$	Associative laws
$\begin{aligned} p \lor (q \land r) &\equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r) \\ p \land (q \lor r) &\equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r) \end{aligned}$	Distributive laws

18

Applying equivalence laws: example

Show that $(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q)$ is a tautology

$$\begin{array}{ll} (p \wedge q) {\longrightarrow} (p \vee q) \\ & \equiv \neg \ (p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q) & \text{example} \\ & \equiv (\neg \ p \vee \neg \ q) \vee (p \vee q) & \text{first De Morgan's Law} \\ & \equiv (\neg \ p \vee p) \vee (\neg \ q \vee q) & \text{associative and commutative laws} \\ & \equiv T \vee T & \text{domination law} \end{array}$$

20

Other Equivalences

Implication

$$\begin{aligned} p \rightarrow q &\equiv \neg \ p \lor q \\ p \rightarrow q &\equiv \neg \ q \rightarrow \neg \ p \\ (p \rightarrow q) \land (p \rightarrow r) &\equiv p \rightarrow (q \land r) \\ (p \rightarrow r) \land (q \rightarrow r) &\equiv (p \lor q) \rightarrow r \end{aligned}$$

Biconditionals

$$\begin{aligned} p &\leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p) \\ p &\leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg \ q \leftrightarrow \neg \ p \\ p &\leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg \ p \land \neg \ q) \\ \neg \ (p \leftrightarrow q \) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q \end{aligned}$$

21

Rules of inference

Justification of steps used to show conclusion follows logically from a set of hypothesis.

e.g. The tautology $(p \land (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$ gives the following rule of inference called modus ponens

$$\frac{p}{p \to q}$$

23

Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier \forall \forall x P(x)
- Existential quantifier $\exists x \ P(x)$

Negation of quantifiers

$$\neg \forall x \ P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$
$$\neg \exists x \ Q(x) \equiv \forall x \neg Q(x)$$

22

Rule of inference	Name
$\begin{matrix} p \\ \therefore p \lor q \end{matrix}$	Addition
$\begin{array}{c} p \wedge q \\ \therefore p \end{array}$	Simplification
$\begin{array}{c} p \\ q \\ \therefore p \wedge q \end{array}$	Conjunction
$\begin{array}{c} p \\ p \rightarrow q \\ \therefore q \end{array}$	Modus ponens
$ \begin{array}{c} \neg q \\ p \rightarrow q \\ \therefore \neg p \end{array} $	Modus tollens
$\begin{aligned} p &\to q \\ q &\to r \\ \therefore p &\to r \end{aligned}$	Hypothetical syllogism
$\begin{array}{c} p \vee q \\ \neg p \\ \therefore q \end{array}$	Disjunctive syllogism