

Assignment #4

Due: Wed, November 22

Sanjeev Arora

Suggested reading (for lectures 11–15): Sipser Chapters 6 and 7. Handout 2 on Gödel’s Theorem.

Problems (from lectures upto Nov. 13)

1. Show that no infinite subset of MIN_{TM} is recognizable.
2. The axiomatic system given in class has a finite number of axioms and derivation rules. Argue briefly that Gödel’s Theorem also holds for any alternative system whose axioms and derivation rules are enumerable.
3. A 2CNF formula is an AND of clauses, where each clause is an OR of at most two literals. Let $2SAT = \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a satisfiable 2CNF formula}\}$. Show that $2SAT \in P$.
4. Point out the fallacy in the following proof that the language $\overline{CLIQUE} = \{\langle G, k \rangle : \text{every clique in graph } G \text{ has size less than } k\}$ is in NP.

In class we saw that $CLIQUE$ is in NP. Just take that NDTM and swap its accept and reject states.

5. Suppose $P = NP$. Show that then we can do the following in polynomial time (be very careful, since the problems listed below are not decision problems!). (i) Given a boolean formula, find a satisfying assignment for it (if one exists). (ii) Given a graph and a number k , find a clique of size k in the graph (if one exists). (iii) Given $\langle T \rangle 1^n$, where T is a number-theoretic statement, find a proof of T in Peano Arithmetic of size n (if one exists)¹.
6. For each of the following languages, state whether it is one or more of the following: in P, in NP, NP-complete, NP-hard. If you can’t classify some language in any of these four categories, mention if you think that the exact classification is an open problem. Justify your answer in each case.
 - (i) $A_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle : M \text{ is a deterministic TM that accepts } w\}$.
 - (ii) $\{\langle M, w, k \rangle : M \text{ is an NDTM that accepts } w \text{ in time } k\}$.
 - (iii) $\{\langle M, w, 1^k \rangle : M \text{ is an NDTM that accepts } w \text{ in time } k\}$.

¹In other words, if $P = NP$ mathematical proofs can be mechanically discovered in time that is polynomial in the number of symbols in the proof. Ergo, mathematicians had better hope that $P \neq NP$.

7. (*Just when you thought you would never see calculus ever again...*) Show that the following problem is NP-complete. Given integers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$, to decide if

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \cos a_i \theta \right) d\theta \neq 0.$$

(Hint: Generalize the formula $\cos A \cos B = (\cos(A + B) + \cos(A - B))/2$ and do a reduction from PARTITION.)

8. (*Extra credit*) Describe an algorithm and some $c < 1$ such that the algorithm can decide satisfiability for 3CNF formulae on n variables in 2^{cn} time.