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Today’s Topics

- Concurrency
- Processes
- Threads

- Reminder:
  - Hope you’re all busy implementing your assignment
Concurrency and Process

- **Concurrency**
  - Hundreds of jobs going on in a system
  - CPU is shared, so are I/O devices
  - Each job would like to have its own computer

- **Process concurrency**
  - Decompose complex problems into simple ones
  - Make each simple one a process
  - Deal with one at a time
  - Each process feels like it has its own computer

- **Example: gcc (via “gcc –pipe –v”) launches**
  - /usr/libexec/cpp | /usr/libexec/cc1 | /usr/libexec/as | /usr/libexec/elf/ld
  - Each instance is a process
Process Parallelism

- Virtualization
  - Each process runs for a while
  - Each virtually has its own CPU
  - Make one CPU seem like many

- I/O parallelism
  - CPU process overlaps with I/O
  - Each runs almost as fast as if it had its own computer
  - Reduce total completion time for all processes

- CPU parallelism
  - Multiple CPUs (such as SMP)
  - Processes running in parallel
  - Speedup
More on Process Parallelism

- Process parallelism is common in real life
  - Each sales person sell $1M annually
  - Hire 100 sales people to generate $100M revenue

- Speedup
  - Ideal speedup is factor of N
  - Reality: bottlenecks + coordination overhead

- Question
  - Can you speed up by working with a partner?
  - Can you speed up by working with 20 partners?
  - Can you get super-linear (more than a factor of N) speedup?
Simplest Process

- Sequential execution
  - No concurrency inside a process
  - Everything happens sequentially
  - Some coordination may be required

- Process state
  - Registers
  - Main memory
  - I/O devices
    - File system
    - Communication ports
  - ...

Program and Process

Program

```
main()
{
  ...
  foo()
  ...
}

bar()
{
  ...
}
```

Process

```
main()
{
  ...
  foo()
  ...
}

bar()
{
  ...
}
```

heap

stack

registers

PC
Process vs. Program

- **Process > program**
  - Program is just part of process state
  - Example: many users can run the same program
    - Even though the program has a single set of variable names, the same variable in different instances may have different values
    - The different processes running the program have different address spaces

- **Process < program**
  - A program can invoke more than one process
  - Example: Fork off processes
Process Control Block (PCB)

- Process management info
  - State
    - Ready: ready to run
    - Running: currently running
    - Blocked: waiting for resources
  - Registers, EFLAGS, and other CPU state
  - Stack, code and data segment
  - Parents, etc

- Memory management info
  - Segments, page table, stats, etc

- I/O and file management
  - Communication ports, directories, file descriptors, etc.

- How OS takes care of processes
  - Resource allocation and process state transition

- Question: why is some information indirect?
Primitives of Processes

- Creation and termination
  - Exec, Fork, Wait, Kill
- Signals
  - Action, Return, Handler
- Operations
  - Block, Yield
- Synchronization
  - We will talk about this later
Make A Process

 Creation
  - Load code and data into memory
  - Create an empty call stack
  - Initialize state to same as after a process switch
  - Make the process ready to run

 Clone
  - Stop current process and save state
  - Make copy of current code, data, stack and OS state
  - Make the process ready to run
Example: Unix

- How to make processes:
  - fork clones a process
  - exec overlays the current process

```c
If ((pid = fork()) == 0) {
   /* child process */
   exec("foo");   /* does not return */
} else
   /* parent */
wait(pid);    /* wait for child to die */
```
Process Context Switch

- Save a context (everything that a process may damage)
  - All registers (general purpose and floating point)
  - All co-processor state
  - Save all memory to disk?
  - What about cache and TLB stuff?

- Start a context
  - Does the reverse

- Challenge
  - OS code must save state without changing any state
  - How to run without touching any registers?
    - CISC machines have a special instruction to save and restore all registers on stack
    - RISC: reserve registers for kernel or have way to carefully save one and then continue
Process State Transition

- Running
- Blocked
- Ready

Actions:
- Scheduler dispatch
- Wait for resource
- Resource becomes available
- Create
- Terminate
Threads

- **Thread**
  - A sequential execution stream within a process (also called lightweight process)
  - Threads in a process share the same address space

- **Thread concurrency**
  - Easier to program I/O overlapping with threads than signals
  - Users often like to do several things at a time: Web browser
  - A server (e.g. file server) serves multiple requests
  - Multiple CPUs sharing the same memory
Thread Control Block (TCB)

- **State**
  - Ready: ready to run
  - Running: currently running
  - Blocked: waiting for resources
- **Registers**
- **Status (EFLAGS)**
- **Program counter (EIP)**
- **Stack**
- **Code**
Typical Thread API

- **Creation**
  - Fork, Join

- **Mutual exclusion**
  - Acquire (lock), Release (unlock)

- **Condition variables**
  - Wait, Signal, Broadcast

- **Alert**
  - Alert, AlertWait, TestAlert
Revisit Process

- Process
  - Threads
  - Address space
  - Environment for the threads to run on OS (open files, etc)
- Simplest process has 1 thread
Thread Context Switch

- Save a context (everything that a thread may damage)
  - All registers (general purpose and floating point)
  - All co-processor state
  - Need to save stack?
  - What about cache and TLB stuff?
- Start a context
  - Does the reverse
- May trigger a process context switch
Procedure Call

- Caller or callee save some context (same stack)
- Caller saved example:

```c
save active caller registers
call foo

foo() {
    do stuff
}

restore caller regs
```
Threads vs. Procedures

- Threads may resume out of order
  - Cannot use LIFO stack to save state
  - Each thread has its own stack
- Threads switch less often
  - Do not partition registers
  - Each thread “has” its own CPU
- Threads can be asynchronous
  - Procedure call can use compiler to save state synchronously
  - Threads can run asynchronously
- Multiple threads
  - Multiple threads can run on multiple CPUs in parallel
  - Procedure calls are sequential
Process vs. Threads

- **Address space**
  - Processes do not usually share memory
  - Process context switch page table and other memory mechanisms
  - Threads in a process share the entire address space

- **Privileges**
  - Processes have their own privileges (file accesses, e.g.)
  - Threads in a process share all privileges

- **Question**
  - Do you really want to share the “entire” address space?
## Real Operating Systems

- One or many address spaces
- One or many threads per address space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 address space</th>
<th>Many address spaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 thread per address space</td>
<td>MSDOS, Macintosh</td>
<td>Traditional Unix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many threads per address spaces</td>
<td>Embedded OS, Pilot</td>
<td>VMS, Mach (OS-X), OS/2, Windows NT/XP/Vista, Solaris, HP-UX, Linux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

- Concurrency
  - CPU and I/O
  - Among applications
  - Within an application

- Processes
  - Abstraction for application concurrency

- Threads
  - Abstraction for concurrency within an application