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## Complex Appearance



## Appearance Acquisition



## Challenge

- Given: dense set of measurements of light transport function.
- Provide: intuitive representation that is compact and allows editing.


Original Measured
Appearance


Result of Editing
Material Properties

## Spatially-Varying Reflectance



Spatially-Varying Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function

Observation \#I:
Represent high-dimensional measured function as tree-structured collection of lower-dimensional parts.

Observation \#2:
Decomposition at each level is matrix factorization.

Observation \#3: Intuitive decomposition achieved using constrained factorization.
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## Fitting Parametric Models

- Cluster fits of parametric BRDF:
[Lensch et al. 03], [Goldman et al. 05].
- Editable if nice clusters
- Single analytic BRDF limits accuracy


Lensch et al. 2003

## Dimensionality Reduction

- Apply rank-reduction algorithms to data matrix: [Dana et al. 99], [Chen et al. 02], [Tsumura et al. 03]
- Compact and accurate
- Cannot be directly edited


HOSVD "Basis Images" from Wang et al. 2005
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## Tabulate Raw Data



## Tabulate Raw Data



## Factorization of SVBRDF




## 6D SVBRDF



## 2D Spatial <br> Blending Weights

## 6D SVBRDF



4D Basis BRDFs

## Research Challenge

Providing an intuitive factorization:


## Key Idea

## Incorporate domain-specific knowledge as constraints of factorization:



Plausible BRDFs

## Factorization Constraints

- Non-negativity:

Reflectance functions are non-negative

- Sparsity:

Few BRDFs at each position

- Domain-specific:

Energy-conservation, monotonicity, etc.

## Factorization Algorithms

| Algorithm Groups | Properties |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Linear | Positive | Sparse | Domain |
| PCA | $\checkmark$ | X | X | X |
| Clustering | K | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | K |
| NMF | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | K | K |
| Our Method | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Our Method

## Alternating Constrained Least Squares (ACLS)


I. Initialize W and H
2. Update W
3. Update H
4. Iterate until convergence

## Our Method

Alternating Constrained Least Squares (ACLS)


## Convex QP Problem

I. Initialize W and H
2. Update W
3. Update H

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{\vec{w}}\|\vec{v}-\vec{w} H\|^{2} \\
& \vec{l} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\vec{w}^{T} \\
A \vec{w}^{T}
\end{array}\right\} \leq \vec{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Iterate until convergence
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## Measure of Sparsity



## Measure of Sparsity



## Season's Greetings Dataset



Gold Foil Silver Foil White Paper Blue Paper

## Season's Greetings Dataset

Factorization Computed with ACLS (4 Terms)


Silver Foil


Gold Foil


White Paper


Blue Paper

## Wood+Tape Dataset

12 Camera Positions x 480 Light Positions = 6,000 Images


Oak Wood
(Anisotropic)


Semi-Transparent Tape


Retroreflective Bicycle Tape

## Wood+Tape Dataset



Blending Weights from ACLS (5 Terms)


Scotch Tape


Dark Grain


Light Grain


Red Bicycle White Bicycle




2D Blending Weights

4D Basis BRDFs
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## Specular Highlight Edit



## Material Replacement




## Blending Weights Edit
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## Conclusion

Inverse Shade Trees enable applications with measured appearance data:

Compression for interactive rendering
Editing of texture and reflectance


## Concurrent Work



Translucent
[Peers et al. 06]


Time-Varying
[Gu et al. 06]

## Future Work

- Automatic selection of tree topology
- Additional composition nodes: (e.g. over operators, masks, etc.)
- Higher-dimensional light transport functions
- Other linear decomposition problems
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