Lecture 20: Little Languages

Over-simplified history of programming languages

- 1940's machine language
- 1950's assembly language
- 1960's high-level languages:

Algol, Fortran, Cobol, Basic

- 1970's systems programming: C
- 1980's object-oriented: C++
- 1990's strongly-hyped: Java
- 2000's lookalike languages: C#
- 2010's retry: Go, Rust, Swift

scripting languages: Snobol shell Awk Perl, Python, PHP, ... Javascript Dart, Typescript

Domain-specific languages

- also called application specific languages, little languages
- narrow domain of applicability
- not necessarily programmable or Turing-complete
 - often declarative, not imperative
- often small enough that you could build one yourself
- examples:
 - regular expressions
 - parser and lexer generators: YACC, LEX, ANTLR
 - shell, Awk
 - markup languages: XML, HTML, Troff, (La)TeX, Markdown
 - data format/exchange languages: YAML, JSON, ASN.1
 - database access: SQL
 - statistics: R
 - mathematical optimization: AMPL

- ...

Example: Markup / document preparation languages

- · illustrates topics of 333 in a different setting
 - tools
 - language design (good and bad); notation
 - evolution of software systems; maintenance
 - personal interest, research area for 10-20 years, heavy use in books

• examples:

- roff and related early formatters
- nroff (Unix **man** command still uses it)
- troff
- Tex / Latex
- HTML, Markdown, etc.

Unix document preparation: *roff

- text interspersed with formatting commands on separate lines
 - .sp 2
 - .in 5

This is a paragraph ...

- originally just ASCII output, fixed layout, single column
- nroff: macros, a event mechanism for page layout (Turing complete)
- troff: version of nroff for phototypesetters
 - adds features for size, font, precise positioning, bigger character sets
 - originally by Joe Ossanna (~1972); inherited by BWK ~1977
- photypesetter produces output on photographic paper or film
- first high-quality output device at a reasonable price (~\$15K)
 - predates laser printers by 5-10 years
 - predates Postscript (1982) by 10 years, PDF (1993) by 21 years
 - klunky, slow, messy (chemicals!), expensive media
- complex program, complex language
 - language reflects many of the weirdnesses of first typesetter
 - macro packages make it usable by mortals for standard tasks
- troff + phototypesetter enables book-quality output
 - ..., K&R, TPOP, Go, ...

Extension to complex specialized technical material

- mathematics
 - called "penalty copy" in the printing industry
- tables
- drawings
- graphs
- references
- indexes
- etc.
- at the time, done by hand composition
 - not much better than medieval technology
- Bell Labs authors writing papers and books with all of these
- being done by manual typewriters
- how can production be mechanized?

EQN: a language for typesetting mathematics

• BWK, with Lorinda Cherry ~1974



- idea: a language that matches the way mathematics is spoken aloud
- translate that into troff commands
 - since the language is so orthogonal, it wouldn't fit directly
 - and there isn't room anyway, since program has to be less than 65KB
 - troff is powerful enough
- use a pipeline: eqn | troff
- math mode in TEX (1978) was inspired by EQN

EQN examples

x sup 2 + y sup 2 = z sup 2 $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ f(t) = 2 pi int sin (omega t) dt $f(t) = 2\pi \int \sin(\omega t) dt$

lim from {x -> pi / 2} (tan x) = inf

$$\lim_{x \to \pi/2} (\tan x) = \infty$$

 $x = \{-b + - sqrt \{b sup 2 - 4ac\} over 2a\}$

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

EQN implementation

- based on a YACC grammar
 - first use of YACC outside mainstream compilers

• grammar is simple

- box model
- just combine boxes in various ways:
 concatenate, above/below, sub and superscript, sqrt, ...

eqn: box | eqn box

box: text | { eqn } | box over box | sqrt box

- I box sub box I box sup box I box from box to box I ...
- YACC makes experimental language design easy

Pic: a language for pictures (line drawings)

- new typesetter has more capabilities (costs more too: \$50K in 1977)
- · can we use troff to do line drawings?
- answer: invent another language, again a preprocessor
 - add simple line-drawing primitives to troff: line, arc, spline
- advantages of text descriptions of pictures
 - systematic changes are easy, always have correct dimensions,
 - Pic has loops, conditionals, etc., for repetitive structures Turing complete!
- implemented with YACC and LEX
 - makes it easy to experiment with syntax
 - human engineering:
 - free-form English-like syntax
 - implicit positioning: little need for arithmetic on coordinates

Pic examples

.PS arrow "input" above box "process" arrow "output" above .PE



Pic examples

```
.PS
V: arrow from 0,-1 to 0,1; " voltage" ljust at V.end
L: arrow from 0,0 to 4,0; " time" ljust at L.end
for i = 1 to 399 do X
    i = i+1
    line from (L + i/100, sin(i/10) / 3 + sin(i/20) / 2
     + \sin(i/30) / 4) to (L + j/100, \sin(j/10) / 3
     + \sin(j/20) / 2 + \sin(j/30) / 4)
X
                voltage
.PE
                                                          ► time
```

Grap: a language for drawing graphs

- · line drawings, not "charts" in the Excel sense
- with Jon Bentley, ~1984
- a Pic preprocessor: grap | pic | troff





The Go Programming Language experience

- started with Markdown
 - very good for simple documents
- doesn't scale to books
 - too many special cases if material is complicated (e.g., fonts, layout)
 - very slow
- Alan Donovan wrote a version in Go
 - better, but still too many special cases
- · LaTeX?
 - it's complicated, inflexible and uncontrollable
- · convert book text to XML, process by a Go program
 - about 20 tags, with attributes
 - a nuisance to type, but many fewer special cases
 - generates HTML for proofing and ultimately ebooks
 - generates Troff for paper version
 - still lots of special-purpose shell scripts, e.g., indexing, special chars



AMPL: A big DSL that got bigger

- a language and system for
 - describing optimization problems in a uniform, natural way
 - compiling descriptions into form needed by solver programs
 - controlling execution of solvers
 - displaying results in problem terms



Robert Fourer David Gay Brian Kernighan



Cost minimization: a diet model

- Find a minimum-cost mix of TV dinners that satisfies requirements on the minimum and maximum amounts of certain nutrients.
- Given sets and parameters:
 - F, a set of foods
 - N, a set of nutrients
 - a_{ij} = amount of nutrient i in a package of food j
 - $c_j = \text{cost}$ of package of food j, for each $j \in \mathsf{F}$
 - $f_j^{\ -}$ = minimum packages of food j, for each $j \in F$
 - $f_{j}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ = maximum packages of food j, for each $j \in \mathsf{F}$
 - $n_i{}^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ = minimum amount of nutrient i, for each $i \in N$
 - $n_i{}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ = maximum amount of nutrient i, for each $i \in N$
- Define decision variables:

 X_j = packages of food j to buy, for each $j \in \mathsf{F}$

- Minimize objective: $\sum_{j \in F} c_j X_j$
- Subject to constraints:

$$\begin{split} n_i^- &\leq \sum_{j \,\in\, \mathsf{F}} \, a_{ij} \, X_j \leq n_i^+, \ \text{for each } i \in \mathsf{N} \\ \mathsf{f}_j^- &\leq X_j \leq \mathsf{f}_j^+, \ \text{for each } j \in \mathsf{F} \end{split}$$

AMPL version of the diet model

```
set FOOD;
```

set NUTR;

param amt {NUTR,FOOD} >= 0; param cost {FOOD} > 0; param f_min {FOOD} >= 0; param f_max {j in FOOD} >= f_min[j]; param n_min {NUTR} >= 0; param n_max {i in NUTR} >= n_min[i]; var Buy {j in FOOD} >= f_min[j], <= f_max[j]; minimize total_cost: sum {j in FOOD} cost[j] * Buy[j]; subject to diet {i in NUTR}: n_min[i] <= sum {j in FOOD} amt[i,j] * Buy[j] <= n_max[i];</pre>



AMPL FOR BUSINESS



Streamlined optimization development in business applications of all kinds. Read More

AMPL FOR TEACHING



IRIALS

Free AMPL and solvers. Full-featured, time-limited. Easy to install & distribute. Read More

AMPL FOR RESEARCH



Optimization modeling for engineering, science, economics, management. Read More

SOLVERS

Buy from us >>

CPLEX · Gurobi · Knitro · Xpress CONOPT · LOQO · MINOS · SNOPT — BARON · LGO

Open-source optimizers >>

Full solver list >>

WHAT'S NEW?

Visit us at INFORMS Analytics 2019 in Austin, April 14-16 Pre-conference workshop on Adding Optimization to Your Applications Technology tutorial on Model-Based Optimization + Application Programming

WHY AMPL?

The AMPL system supports the entire optimization modeling lifecycle — formulation, testing, deployment, and maintenance — in an integrated way promotes rapid development and reliable results. Using a high-level algebraic representation that describes optimization models in the same ways that people think about them, AMPL can provide the head start you need to successfully implement large-scale optimization projects.

AMPL integrates a modeling language for describing optimization data, variables, objectives, and constraints; a command language for debugging models and analyzing results; and a scripting language for manipulating data and implementing optimization strategies. All use

Why languages succeed

- solve real problems effectively
- culturally compatible and familiar
 - familiar syntax helps (e.g., C-like)
 - easy to get started with
 - portable to new environments

environmentally compatible

- don't have to buy into an entire new environment to use it
- e.g., can use standard tools and link to existing libraries
- open source, not proprietary
- weak competition
- good luck

Why languages fail to thrive

niche or domain disappears

poor engineering

- too big, too complicated, too slow, too late
- incompatible with environments

poor philosophical choices

- ideology over functionality
- single programming paradigm
- too "mathematical"
- too different, too incompatible