Algorithms and Data Structures Final exam: Reference solutions

## 1. Minimal spanning tree [12 points]

A set of edges is highlighted in different copies of the same graph in each of the figures below. Determine whether it could possibly represent a partial spanning tree obtained from (a prematurely stopped) Prim's algorithm, (a prematurely stopped) Kruskal's algorithm, both, or neither. Write "Prim's", "Kruskal's", "Both", or "Neither" the blank below each figure.



#### 2. 2d tree [8 points]



A 2d-tree contains ten points (denoted A, B, ... J). The tree is shown in Figure 1 and the points are shown in Figure 2.

A. Points W, X, Y, and Z with the coordinates shown in Figure 2 are inserted into the tree in that order. Show where the four new points will be inserted by filling in the blanks below. (Write 'left' or 'right' in the first blank of each statement).

W will be the **right** child of **D**.

X will be the **left** child of **H**.

Y will be the **left** child of **G**.

Z will be the **left** child of **X**.

B. Suppose we do a nearest neighbor search for a point with the same x- and y-coordinates as D (before inserting W, X, Y, Z). How many distance computations between the query point and a point in the tree will be performed?

3

C. Complete the following sentence with a succinct phrase. In general, if we do a nearest-neighbor search for a query point that equals a point *P* that's already in a kd-tree, the number of distance computations between points that will be performed is

#### one more than the depth of P.

#### 3. Boyer-Moore [9 points]

A. Suppose we search for the pattern WAYNE in the text BOBSEDGEWICKWEYNEKEVIN. 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 B O B S E D G E W I C K W E Y N E K E V I N W A Y N E 0 1 2 3 4

Show the sequence of pairs of indices that will be compared by the Boyer-Moore algorithm by filling in the blanks below. The first pair is already shown. Note that there may be more blanks than necessary.

Index <i>i</i> in text:	4	3	8	12	16	15	14	13	17	
Index <i>j</i> in pattern:	4	3	4	4	4	3	2	1	4	

B. Suppose we search for the pattern AA...A of length m in the text BAA..ABAA..ABAA..A, consisting of repeated chunks of a single 'B' followed by m-1 'A's. For example, if m=3, then the pattern is AAA and the text is BAABAABAA...

How many character comparisons will the Boyer-Moore algorithm make? Express your answer in tilde notation as a function of the length of the text n and the length of the pattern m. You may assume that n is much larger than m.

|--|

# 4. NFA [10 points]

Consider the following NFA:



A. Write the regular expression that this NFA matches:

(AB\* | BC\*)<sup>+</sup>

B. Select *all* states that the NFA can be in after processing the string ABB. If the NFA gets stuck while processing the string, select *None*.



C. Select *all* states that the NFA can be in after processing the string AACBA. If the NFA gets stuck while processing the string, select *None*.



D. Does the NFA accept the string BBB? Write Yes or No.



E. Does the NFA accept the string ABBBBCCCC? Write Yes or No.



# 5. Compression [12 points]

A. Decode the following LZW-encoded bitstream represented as a sequence of hex characters:

	46	41	44	45	44	81	43	82	84	45	86	84	80
Decoded string:	F	A	D	E	D	FA	С	AD	ED	E	FAC	ED	

Recall that:

- 1. The ASCII values of the uppercase letters in hex are A: 41, B: 42, C: 43, etc.
- 2. We use hex 80 as a stop symbol, and codes for new codewords start at hex 81.

Show the codeword table that results from decoding by filling in the cells below. Note that there may be more cells in the table than necessary.

Code	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	<b>8</b> a	8b
Codeword	FA	AD	DE	ED	DF	FAC	CA	ADE	EDE	EF	FACE

B. Construct a Huffman code for the string "SLEEPLESSNESS\_LESSENS\_SENSE". Count the frequency of each of the six characters (including the underscore) that appear in the string, and write the binary code for each.

	Freq	Code
E	8	10
L	3	1111
N	3	110
Р	1	11100
S	10	0
_	2	11101

Note: many other correct answers are possible.

## 6. Shortest paths [12 points]



A. Simulate Dijkstra's algorithm on the above edge-weighted digraph, starting from vertex o. Show the resulting distTo[] and edgeTo[] arrays below. Recall that distTo[] entries are initialized to  $\infty$  and edgeTo[] entries are initialized to null.

Vertex	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
distTo	0	3	œ	5	7	7	12
edgeTo	null	0	null	0	1	3	5

B. For each of the sentences below, write 'T' or 'F' in the corresponding box to indicate whether the statement is true or false. Note that when the statements refer to a digraph, it is not necessarily the digraph in part 'A' above. Assume positive edge weights throughout.

If we run different implementations of Dijkstra's algorithm on the same digraph, the values of the resulting distTo[] array are guaranteed to be the same.

If we run different implementations of Dijkstra's algorithm on the same digraph, the values of the resulting edgeTo[] array are guaranteed to be the same.

If we run different implementations of Dijkstra's algorithm on the same digraph with distinct edge weights, the values of the resulting edgeTo[] array are guaranteed to be the same.

Suppose different implementations of Dijkstra's algorithm use the same priority queue code that breaks ties in delMin() based on the order of insertion (i.e. the least recently added minimum element will be returned first). If we run those implementations on the same digraph, then the values of the edgeTo[] array are guaranteed to be the same.

The last one is tricky! The order of vertices returned by adj() might vary between implementations.

T

|--|

ł	ĩ	

F

## 7. String symbol tables [11 points]



A. A Ternary Search Trie (TST) is shown above. Values are indicated with a number next to the node. List all the strings that the TST contains. Note that there might be more spaces below than necessary, and that the strings might not be English words. There is a score penalty for incorrect answers.

#### m, a, ax, min, meo, ore, oore, ost

B. For each of the following three string symbol table implementations, indicate how characters and strings are stored by picking the best match from among three choices:

	Hash table	R-way trie	TST
Neither characters nor strings are stored explicitly		•	
Characters are stored explicitly but strings are not			•
Strings (and characters) are stored explicitly	•		

## Linear probing

C. Suppose a million random strings, each of length 10, over a 256-character alphabet are inserted into a symbol table.

Among the above three implementations, which one would consume the most space?

Answer:	R-way trie
h of the three we	and consume the least are

Which of the three would consume the least space?

Answer:

Hash table
------------

TSTs are space efficient for typical inputs (where most strings are prefixes of other strings) but inefficient for random inputs since most characters will require separate nodes.

## 8. Max-flow [10 points]



A. In the flow network above, what is the worst-case number of augmenting paths found by the Ford Fulkerson algorithm if augmenting paths are selected in an arbitrary way (i.e., no heuristic is used)?

Answer: 161

B. What is the worst-case number of augmenting paths if the shortest path heuristic is used to select augmenting paths, with ties broken arbitrarily?

Answer: 4

C. What is the worst-case number of augmenting paths if the fattest path heuristic is used to select augmenting paths, with ties broken arbitrarily? Recall that this heuristic selects the path with the maximum bottleneck capacity.

Answer:

2
---

# 9. True or False [14 points]

For each statement below, write 'T' or 'F' in the corresponding box to indicate whether the statement is true or false.

Suppose we explore the right/top subtree before the left/bottom subtree in 2d-tree range search. Then it may return the wrong answer.

Suppose we explore the right/top subtree before the left/bottom subtree in 2d-tree range search. Then it may explore more nodes.

Ignoring the encoding of the trie, the Huffman encoding of a message will never be longer than the message itself (assume that the message is represented using a fixed-length code such as ASCII).

Let *G* be a connected edge-weighted graph and *G*' be a graph obtained by adding a single edge to *G*. Then *G* has an MST *T* and *G*' an MST *T*' such that there is at most one edge in *T* that is not in *T*'.

For any regular expression with m characters, there is a DFA with at most  $m^2$  states that recognizes the same set of strings matched by the regular expression.

Given a flow network with V vertices and E edges, as well as a min-cut of the network, the value of the max-flow can be computed in time proportional to E+V.

MSD radix sort is applicable only when the input strings all have the same length.

Some explanations:

- We know that Huffman encoding produces an optimal prefix-free code, i.e., it requires no more bits than any other prefix-free code. A fixed-length code is a prefix-free code.
- Let *T* be any MST of *G* and *e* the new edge in *G*'. There is a cycle in  $T \cup \{e\}$ . Remove the max-weight edge in this cycle. This results in a MST of *G*'.
- The DFA may have exponentially many states.
- The value of the max-flow equals the capacity of the min-cut, which can be computed by iterating over the edges and summing the weights of the ones that cross the cut.

F	

Т
---

T
---

Т
---

# F

# 10. Data structure and algorithm design [20 points]

By the late 19th century, most of the world's major cities were connected by the telegraph network. Your goal in this problem is to model this network to understand how quickly news would propagate around the world.

Each telegraph link connects a pair of cities. When news originates in a city, it is sent to each of the cities to which it is linked. The first time a city hears a piece of news, it will send that news to each of the cities to which it is linked.

Some links are congested, which means that messages will have to wait exactly one hour before being sent through them (in either direction). There are no other delays in the system: when a city hears a piece of news, all cities linked to it via uncongested links immediately hear it as well. The network is given to you as a sequence of pairs of cities, along with congestion status:

London	New_York	Congested
New_York	Atlanta	Uncongested
Washington	Philadelphia	Congested
•••		

There are *V* cities and *E* telegraph links in the input. Assume that the lengths of city names are at most some constant number.

Your answers below will be graded for correctness, efficiency, and clarity. Answer in prose, but feel free to use some pseudocode if you think it will improve clarity. You may make any standard technical assumptions that we have seen in this course.

A. Describe how you will pre-process the input so that you can quickly answer queries about propagation time for news originating in any given city (i.e. the queries in parts C-E). For full credit, this preprocessing must complete in time proportional to  $E \log V$  (or better) in the worst case.

Hints:

- 1. Read the entire question before answering part A, so that you understand what is required of the preprocessing step.
- 2. In our reference solution, the majority of the text is in part A, whereas the solutions to parts C-E are relatively succinct.

The key idea is that this world is partitioned into connected components within which news propagates instantly, and more slowly between components. The pre-processing step seeks to identify these components (the uf0 object below).

For simplicity of exposition, we'll assume that graph vertices as well as union-find inputs can be arbitrary objects (recall that we can use a hash table to map strings to integers).

Create two Weighted Quick Union Find objects with V elements each, uf\_all and uf0. For every link between cities u and v, invoke uf\_all.union(u, v). For every uncongested link between cities u and v, invoke uf0.union(u, v).

Create a hash table T that maps each component of uf0 to the set of cities in that component. Specifically, for each city u, add u to the set T.get(uf0.find(u)).

Create an undirected graph G with one vertex for each connected component in uf0.

For every congested link between cities u and v, add an edge in G between the vertices corresponding to uf0.find(u) and uf0.find(v).

An alternative to union-find is to use (multiple invocations of) DFS or BFS to find connected components, and store the mapping from vertices to component IDs in an array. This will result in a running time of E + V, which is better than the stated performance requirement.

Note that Dijkstra's algorithm or other shortest paths algorithms are inapplicable here, since no source vertex is given at this stage.

B. What is the order-of-growth running time of your solution to part A? Briefly justify your answer.

The running time is dominated by union-find operations. The number of operations is proportional to E, and the data structure has at most V items, so the total cost is proportional to  $E \log V$ .

Note that the running times of some steps are proportional to *V*, such as initializing union-find. But we know that  $V \le 2E$ , because each line of input results in at most two new cities. So we can ignore terms proportional to *V*.

C. Given the names of two cities, determine whether they are connected via a path of telegraph links. For full credit, this must complete in time proportional to  $\log V$  (or better) in the worst case.

Check whether the two cities are connected in uf\_all using find operations.

D. Given the names of two cities, determine whether news originating in one of them will reach the other instantly (i.e., via uncongested links). For full credit, this must complete in time proportional to  $\log V$  (or better) in the worst case.

Check whether the two cities are connected in uf0 using find operations.

E. Given an originating city, sort the *V* cities based on the time at which they will hear news originating in the given city. If two cities hear about the news at the same time, they may be sorted relative to each other in either order. For full credit, your algorithm must complete in time proportional to E + V (or better) in the worst case.

Run a modified BFS on G starting from the source uf0.find(originating\_city). Modify BFS so that for each vertex in G (i.e. component of the original network) that it visits, output all the cities in that component using the lookup table T.

Finally, output the cities unreachable from the originating city (i.e. cities in the components not marked as visited by BFS).

Alternate approach (requires no preprocessing except creating a weighted graph of cities and links). Modify BFS to run on 0/1 weighted graphs (instead of unweighted graphs), and to use a deque instead of a queue. For edges of weight 0, add the new vertex to the front of the queue. For edges of weight 1, add it to the end. Always dequeue from the front.

Note that Dijkstra's algorithm and MST algorithms are too slow to meet the performance requirements.

F. What is the order-of-growth running time of your solution to part E? Briefly justify your answer.

BFS runs in time proportional to E' + V' where  $E' \le E$  and  $V' \le V$ . The other steps complete in time proportional to *V*.