

**Zee: Zero-Effort Crowdsourcing for Indoor Location (Rai *et al.*, ACM MobiCom 2012)**

## Background

- *What's the goal here?* Determine locate a mobile device by RF fingerprints of WiFi received signal strength.
  - Uses beacons transmitted by nearby access points (APs)
  - Mobile receives beacons; radio indicates received signal strength
  - Most prior fingerprinting-based work goes in two phases:
    1. Training (calibration) phase: record a vector  $(s_1, \dots, s_k)$  containing signal strength from each of  $k$  APs (this is a **fingerprint**) at many known locations; store in a database (the **radio map**)
    2. Operation phase: mobile receives beacons from APs ; match vector of signal strengths in the radio map.
  - RADAR ([pdf](#))
    - \* Training phase: Store average signal strengths for each location
    - \* Operation phase: Match measured fingerprint  $(s'_1, \dots, s'_k)$  to the nearest neighbor in signal space using Euclidean distance metric:  $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k (s_i - s'_i)^2}$ .
  - Horus ([pdf](#)): represent signal strength calibration measurements as a PDF and look at the distribution of signal strengths in the online phase.
  - Problems with calibration
    - \* Labor intensive
    - \* Must be redone if environment changes (often!)
- An alternative: RF propagation modeling
  - Use an RF propagation model to predict distance from AP  $d$  based on received signal power  $P_x$   
 $P_x = P_t - \gamma \log(d) + N$ , where  $P_t$  is power measured near transmitter and  $\gamma$  is a parameter representing the rate at which signal power decreases with increasing distance (typically learned from data).
  - Triangulate distances from multiple APs for location.
  - Example systems: EZ ([pdf](#)), RADAR variant, and others.

## Zee

- Main idea: Eliminate training phase—continuously “crowdsource” radio map based on measurements and a physical building map.
- Three data sources:
  1. Signal strengths from AP beacons received at smartphones
  2. Inertial sensors from smartphones
  3. Physical building map
- Combine signal strength and inertial sensor data with constraints imposed by the map (users can't walk through walls).
- Zee example scenario (slide)
  - Walk 1: from A to D
    - \* Initialize probability distribution of location to be uniform across the space.
    - \* Use accelerometer, compass, gyroscope to estimate motion
    - \* Update distribution by eliminating possibilities that would violate physical constraints floorplan imposes
    - \* Only one possible path in shape ABCD
  - **Backwards belief propagation:** infer a more certain location at beginning of walk, based on knowledge accumulated
  - **Recording WiFi measurements:** Zee runs the WiFi fingerprinting training phase simultaneously, result: tuples of  $\langle$ signal strength,  $x$ ,  $y$  $\rangle$

- Walk 2: D to A
  - \* Initialize probability distribution of location based on WiFi fingerprinting: better initial estimate.
  - \* After crowdsourcing enough WiFi fingerprints, can rely mostly on those.
- Zee architecture
  - Placement-Independent Motion Estimator (PIME)
    - \* Goal: Estimate user’s motion with accelerometer, compass, gyroscope sensor data. Generates an event each time a step occurs.
    - \* Heading offset: Angle between the orientation of the phone and the user’s direction of motion.
    - \* Heading offset is also an unknown, so it gets incorporated into the augmented particle filter.
  - Augmented Particle Filter (APF)
    - \* In prior work on localization, particles are usually location  $(x, y)$  data.
    - \* Problem: often can’t measure location directly (in absence of WiFi data); can only detect steps.
    - \* Zee augments location with stride length and heading offset.
- Counting Steps
  - Two steps: first walk detection, then step counting
  - Mini study on where people carry phones (men: pockets; women: hands or handbags)
  - First try for walk detection: compute  $\text{stddev}(\text{acceleration})$  over one-second periods, for ground-truth walking and idle periods
    - \* Less than .01g 99% of the time user is idle
    - \* More than .01g “almost 100%” of the time user is walking
    - \* Classifier would be threshold test on acceleration
    - \* Authors point out hand gestures could fool the test, but don’t give data to support this—their data indicate the test is good enough!
  - So exploit *periodicity* in walks:
    - \* Autocorrelation: Multiply and sum a delayed version of signal with itself (with mean subtracted out)
    - \* Autocorrelation will spike at delays equal to period of a person’s stride
    - \* Since we don’t know the stride period, try delays within a range  $\tau_{min}$  to  $\tau_{max}$ .
    - \* Once stride period is found to be  $\tau_{opt}$ , reduce window to a few samples around that number, and continuously update  $\tau_{opt}$  (but authors don’t tell us how).
  - *When does the stride period calculation happen?* Look at  $\sigma$  and  $\psi$
  - *When does a step event happen?* Every  $\tau_{opt}/2$  samples when in WALKING state.
  - Evaluation of step counting (slide)
    - \* Did not evaluate running, only walking with phone in various positions on user
    - \* How did the authors determine ground truth?
    - \* How robust is this approach to running, skipping, jumping, chatting in hallway, etc.?
    - \* Mistakes count steps, but what is the true negative column counting, and why is this an issue?
- Estimating heading offset (slide)
  - See slide for definitions.
  - Want to determine direction of user relative to true north based on phone’s compass reading, but there are two additional variables, magnetic offset and placement offset.
  - The quantity that is measured is the compass reading  $\theta$ , so heading offset (HO) is the difference between the direction of the user relative to true north and the compass reading.
  - Approach: first coarse estimate based on accelerometer then fine estimate using particle filter.
  - Coarse estimate (accelerometer)
    - \* Look at spectrum of the accelerometer signal
    - \* Peaks at multiples (“harmonics”) of a fundamental frequency
    - \* Fundamental frequency corresponds to two step “sway”
    - \* Empirical fact: The **second harmonic** is **very weak** in directions perpendicular to motion, but **dominant** in direction parallel to motion.
    - \* Why? Parallel to walk, each step registers (this is the second harmonic). Perpendicular to walk, only hip sway registers (this is the fundamental).

- \* What is the algorithm here? Not explicitly stated, but look at magnitude of the second harmonic of the spectrum in each accelerometer direction.
  - \* Phone measures acceleration (force) parallel and perpendicular to magnetic north ( $N^\circ$ ), allowing computation of  $\alpha + \theta = \arctan(F_x/F_y)$  [**Personal communication with authors: the equation  $\alpha + \theta + \gamma = \arctan(F_x/F_y)$  in the paper is incorrect**]
- Tracking using augmented particle filter (APF)
    - Simultaneously estimating user's stride length, placement offset ( $\alpha$ ), and location.
    - Stride length estimation example (Figure 13): start with a small set of initial positions, grows because of different stride lengths. When user turns a corner, incorrect stride lengths' particles collide with walls and get eliminated.
    - Update the user's location based on  $\alpha + \theta$ , which is HO without the magnetic offset.
    - Add noise  $\beta_i$  at each step to try to adapt to magnetic offset.
    - Particles that collide with walls get eliminated and a new particle randomly chosen from previous step and updated.
    - Backward belief propagation traces surviving particles back in time to estimate location at beginning of a walk.
  - WiFi beacon based localization
    - Zee periodically scans for beacons transmitted from nearby WiFi APs, records received signal strength (RSS).
    - Series of readings: (location, AP identifier, RSS)
    - Two localization approaches: Horus (map-based) and EZ (modelling-based)
    - Initialize location probability distribution of particle filter with WiFi based location information
    - The authors don't discuss continuously feeding in WiFi localization information in to the particle filter
  - Evaluation
    - Methodology
      - \* One user carrying a phone continuously for 15 hours
      - \* Only process accelerometer data while walking detected in the past ten seconds
      - \* Collect WiFi measurements continuously. Why?
      - \* Stop and start Zee to generate different walks
    - Questions to answer:
      - \* How well is Zee able to track users? (slide)
        - Run Zee with particle filter in forward direction
        - High initial error (initial location uniform across floor), turns improve localization error to less than one meter
        - Take nine checkpoints (predetermined locations where ground truth location is recorded and compared with Zee's reported location)
        - This graph shows just walk #1
        - Somewhere between checkpoints at steps 80 and 100, a turn eliminated spurious location possibilities in particle filter and error dropped sharply.
        - What data could have substantiated this claim?
      - \* Does lack of knowledge of initial location or heading offset problem impair system performance?
        - Knowing HO alone, Zee converged much faster (particle filter has less uncertainty)
        - Knowing HO and initial location, Zee converged even faster after 60 steps, but error started low, then increased (stride length estimation error, then decreased again). **Authors claim incorrect stride length estimation caused this.**
      - \* How well does stride length estimation/HO estimation work?
        - What could strengthen our confidence in this result even further?
      - \* Initial location data from previous walks improves performance
        - Used EZ (model based approach) to provide WiFi location data at **starting location**
        - What source of information did the authors not incorporate?
        - Not quite as accurate as backprop; why?
      - \* How well do WiFi-based localization schemes perform when using location maps that Zee builds?
        - Baseline: collect WiFi beacon measurements at 117 locations, 1000 beacons per location