Extracting Information from Social Networks

Reminder: Social networks

- Catch-all term for
  - social networking sites
    - Facebook
  - microblogging sites
    - Twitter
  - blog sites (for some purposes)

Ways we can use social networks to find information

- ✓ Extract meta-information for "regular" Web search
  - site information
  - site properties
- Extract information to use directly
  - search content of social site
  - aggregate information from site content
  - information from structure of social network

Searching social network content

- How does searching a social network site differ from searching the Web with a SE?
- Does this affect
  - indexing?
  - query evaluation?

- social site - Facebook
- microblog site - Twitter

Searching Facebook

- search for objects (e.g. people) as well as information
- focused searches
  - people
  - friends
  - photos
- link structure central
  - find friends who …
- updates important
- other?

5

Searching Twitter vs Web: User behavior

- Study by Teevan, Ramage and Morris pub. 2011
- Experimental setup
  - data from browser logs from Bing Toolbar
  - harvest queries issued to search engines
    - "general purpose" : Bing, Google, Yahoo
    - "vertical search engines": Twitter
    - associate with user IDs and timestamps
  - Sampled 126,316 queries to Twitter
    - subset of 33,405 users
  - 2.5 million queries by same subset users from Bing, Google, Yahoo

6

Teevan et al results

- unsurprising:
  - top 10 Web searches navigational
  - top 10 Twitter queries mixed celebrities, movies, games, memes (eg "#theresway2many"): popular items
- more surprising:
  - 23.19% Twitter queries issued only once, vs 49.73% Web
  - 55.76% Twitter queries issued more than once by same user, vs 34.71% Web

7

more results Teevan, Ramage and Morris

- temporal characteristics
  - session = series queries by user "in close succession". Use 15 min. inactive as delimiter
  - Twitter sessions shorter: 2.2 queries vs 2.88 Web
  - 9.38 sec btwn Twitter queries in session vs 13.63
- combined Twitter, Web searches
  - informational: monitor with Twitter, learn with Web
  - 61.92% of time start on Web
  - 20.56 sec. btwn queries in a session
  - 6.13 queries per session
  - 43.74% queries issued to both in one session

8

Twitter characteristics that may change search approach?

- history more important – Twitter findings
- recency more imporant – trending
- popularity more important?
- labels available – hashtags
- other?

9

Searching Social Networks: system demands

- Twitter Earlybird 2012
- Facebook Unicorn 2013

10

Earlybird: Real-Time Search at Twitter
by many Twitter researchers (2012)

- Designed for properties of tweets
  - Handle high rate of queries
  - Handle large number updates in real time
    - "Flash crowds"
    - Update info, eg number of retweets
  - Large number concurrent reads and writes
  - Time stamp dominant ranking signal

11

Elements

- Distributed server architecture
  - Tweets hash partitioned across servers
- New concurrency management
- Customized query processing
- Customized inverted index

12

Query processing

- Full Boolean query language
- Results returned most recent first
- Personalized signals in relevance algorithm (not described)
  - User's local social graph
  - "actual query algorithm isn't particularly interesting"
    "reuse existing Lucene query eval code"

13

Inverted Index

- Organized in segments
  - Each server has small number segments (12)
  - Each segment has small number tweets, ≤ 2^{23}
  - Only one segment active
    - can modify
  - In-active segments read-only
    - Optimize for compression and query eval

14

Dictionary

- Hash table
  - No binary search
  - No wildcard queries
- Term => term ID
  - Monotonically increasing in order seen
- Parallel arrays for data
  - Number of postings in postings list for term
  - Pointer to tail of the postings list
  - Each array indexed by term ID

15

Active segment index

- Posting is 32-bit integer
  - 24 bits doc ID; 8 bits term position
  - each occurrence in tweet is new posting
- Postings list: pre-allocated integer array
  - Dynamic allocation
- Traversing newest first = iterate bkwds
- Can traverse bkwds from any point while concurrently adding new postings
- Can binary search for doc ID
  - Eliminate need skip pointers

16

Dynamic space allocation

- Addresses wide variation in postings list sizes
  - Zipf's law
- Uses 4 dynamic arrays called pools
  - A pools holds "slices" of a certain size
  - A slice is part of a postings list
  - Slice sizes 2^1, 2^4, 2^7, 2^{11}
- A posting list starts in a slice of the smallest pool
- When fills slice in a pool, continue list in larger pool
- Can use many slices in largest pool
- Slices linked together with pointers: large to small
- Tail of postings list in largest pool occupied

17

In-active segments

- Replaces an active segment when done
- One fixed-size integer array
  - Dictionary points to different postings lists
- Arranged reverse chronologically
- Compressed
  - Short postings list: as before
  - Long postings list:
    - uses gaps
    - block-based compression

18

Earlybird performance

- Compare prior MySQL-based
  - 1000 tweets per second indexing
  - 12,000 queries per second
- Earlybird memory
  - Full active index segment (16M tweets) 6.7 GB
  - Full in-active index segment ~ 55% above
- Queries per second
  - 5000 for fully-loaded server (114M tweets)
- Tweets per second
  - 7000 in "stress test"- heavy query load

19

Unicorn: A System for Searching the Social Graph

by many Facebook researchers (2013)

- primary backend for Facebook Graph Search
- "designed to search trillions of edges between tens of billions of users and entities and entities on thousands of commodity servers"
- thousands of edge types used
  - including obvious "friend" "like"
- graph sparse:
  - typical node < 1000 edges
  - average user has ~130 friends

20

Unicorn: graph querying

- query language on edge relationships
  "find female friends of user 6" becomes query
  `(and friend:6 gender:1)` intersection of sets
- supports queries on paths
  - rounds of basic query evaluation
    "find pages liked by friends of user 7 who like Emacs (object 42)" becomes
    `(and friend:7 likers:42)` giving {resultID$_1$, …, resultID$_k$} followed by
    `(or likes:resultID`$_1$ … `likes:resultID`$_k$`)`
  - does through APPLY operator
    `(apply likes: (and friend:7 likers:42) )`

21

Unicorn APPLY operator

- applies "or" to results of inner query

`(apply likes: (and friend:7 likers:42) )`

- can nest APPLY arbitrarily deep
  - friends of friends of friends of friends of user 21

`(apply friend:(apply friend:(friend 21) ) )`

- limit on number results of inner query
  - solution: drop some results
  - issue: performance
  - cut-off ~100,000 terms applied to outer query

22

Unicorn: index struture

- index represents adjacency list
- index term <edge-type>:<id>
  - `friend:5` points to list of friends of userID 5
- form of adj. list entry:
  - ((sortkey, userID), other info)
  - nodes on adjacency list sorted first by sortkey, then by userID

23

Unicorn: some details

- Distributed architecture: partition by resultIDs
  - Graceful degradation lose machine
- "index servers" store partial indexes
  - Store "few billion" index terms
- "top aggregator" => "rack aggregators" => "index servers"
- APPLY operator evaluated by "top aggregator" merging intermediate results

24

Unicorn performance

query "people who like computer science"

- > 6 million results - ask for 100 returned
- run 100 times
- average performance
  - latency 11 ms
  - aggregate CPU across 37 index servers 31.22 ms

query "friends of likers of computer science"

- for APPLY with trunction limit 10^5, latency almost 2 sec.
- for APPLY with trunction limit 10^3, latency about 100ms

25