

Refining and Personalizing Searches

1

Themes

- Explicit **feedback** versus search **history**
- **Personalized** history versus **crowd** history

2

Refining and Personalizing: Targets

- collection
 - **focused crawling**
- query ←
- satisfying documents
 - increase set?
- ranking ←

3

Refine initially: query

- Help user get better query
- Commonly, query expansion
 - add synonyms
 - Improve recall
 - Hurt precision?
 - Sometimes done automatically – with care
 - Modify based on **prior searches** same query
 - Not automatic
 - All prior searches - eg. suggested search terms vs
 - *your* prior searches

4

Refining after search

- Use **user feedback**
or pseudo-feedback
 - Approximate feedback with first results
- **or implicit feedback**
 - e.g. clicks
- change ranking of current results
- search again with modified query
- change ranking for future searches

5

Explicit user feedback

- User must participate
- User marks (some) relevant results
or
- User changes order of results
 - Can be more nuanced than relevant or not
 - Can be less accurate than relevant or not
 - Example: User moves 10th item to first
 - says 10th better than first 9
 - Does not say which, if any, of first 9 relevant

6

Implicit user feedback

- Click-throughs
 - Use as relevance judgment
 - Use as reranking:
 - When click result, moves it ahead of all results didn't click that come before it
 - Problems?
- Better implicit feedback signals?

7

User feedback in classic vector model

- User marks top p documents for relevance
 - $p = 10$ to 20 “typical”
- Construct new weights for terms in query vector
 - Modifies query
 - Could use just on initial results to re-rank

8

Deriving new query for vector model

For collection C of n doc.s

- Let C_r denote set all relevant docs in collection,

Perfect knowledge Goal:

Vector \mathbf{q}_{opt} =

$1/|C_r| * (\text{sum of all vectors } \mathbf{d}_j \text{ in } C_r) -$

$1/(n - |C_r|) * (\text{sum of all vectors } \mathbf{d}_k \text{ not in } C_r)$

centroids

9

Deriving new query for vector model: Rocchio algorithm

Give query \mathbf{q} and relevance judgments for a subset of retrieved docs

- Let D_r denote set of docs judged relevant
- Let D_{nr} denote set of docs judged not relevant

Modified query:

Vector $\mathbf{q}_{new} = \alpha \mathbf{q} +$

$\beta/|D_r| * (\text{sum of all vectors } \mathbf{d}_j \text{ in } D_r) -$

$\gamma/(|D_{nr}|) * (\text{sum of all vectors } \mathbf{d}_k \text{ in } D_{nr})$

For tunable weights α, β, γ

10

Remarks on new query

- α : importance original query
- β : importance effect of terms in relevant docs
- γ : importance effect of terms in docs not relevant

- Usually terms of docs not relevant are least important
 - Reasonable values $\alpha=1, \beta=.75, \gamma=.15$
- Reweighting terms leads to long queries
 - **Many** more non-zero elements in query vector \mathbf{q}_{new}
 - Can reweight only most important (frequent?) terms
- Most useful to improve recall
- Users don't like: work + wait for new results

11

Simple example user feedback in vector model

- $\mathbf{q} = (1, 1, 0, 0)$
- Relevant: $\mathbf{d1} = (1, 0, 1, 1)$
 $\mathbf{d2} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$
- Not relevant: $\mathbf{d3} = (0, 1, 1, 0)$
- $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1$
- $\mathbf{q}_{new} = (1, 1, 0, 0) + (1, 1/2, 1, 1) - (0, 1, 1, 0)$
 $= (2, 1/2, 0, 1)$

Term weights change New term

Observe: Can get negative weights

12

Explicit feedback: Re-ranking

- Can disambiguate within given results
 - jaguar car versus jaguar animal
- Can modify rankings for future searches
- Algorithms usually based on machine learning
 - Learn ranking function that best matches partial ranking(s) given
- Simpler strategies:
 - use for repeat of same search
 - user reorder or select best
 - Google experiment circa 2007

13

Behavior History

- Going beyond behavior on **same** query.
- **Personal** history versus **Crowd** history
 - Crowd history
 - Primarily search history
 - Google's claim Bing copies
 - Personal history
 - characterize behavior
 - characterize interests: **topics**
 - **what use?**

14

Personal History: sources

- Your searches
- Your social networks
 - Your content
- Other behavior – browsing, mail?, ...

15

Collaborative history

- History of **people “like” you**
- How get?
 - For “free”: **social networks**
 - friends, lists, ...
 - Deduce: **Crowd history + personal history**
 - recommendations
- How characterize?
 - Shared **behaviors**
 - Shared **topics**

16

Social Networks and Obtaining Information

17

Social networks

- Catch-all term for
 - social networking sites
 - Facebook
 - microblogging sites
 - Twitter
 - blog sites (for some purposes)
- Now interested in social networks in **content** sense.
 - not totality of Web
 - not Wikipedia encyclopedia pages
 - yes Wikipedia talk pages?

18

Ways we can use social networks to find information

- **Search site**
- **Aggregate site information** to get trends
- Use **site information as meta-information** for search
- Use **site properties as meta-information** for search

19

Use site information as meta- information for search

- **disambiguate queries** (Teeven et al 2011 suggested)
 - search Twitter with query
 - analyze content of matching tweets to identify most current, most popular meaning
- factor in **ranking URLs** (Dong et. al. 2010 studied)
 - harvest URLs mentioned in tweets
 - associate a URL with tweeted text surrounding it
- other uses for tweet text?
- similar analyses of social networking sites such as Facebook?

20

Use site properties as meta-information for search

- interactions: friends, followers, likes, retweets, more?
- Uses
 - ranking by [popularity](#) of [content](#)
 - ranking by [influence](#) of [author](#)
- temporal relevance
 - [ranking](#)
 - [discover URLs](#) faster (Dong et. al. 2010)

21