333 Project

- **a simulation of reality**
  - building a substantial system
  - in groups of 3 to 5 people

- **"three-tier" system for any application you like**

- **3 major pieces**
  - graphical user interface ("presentation layer")
  - processing in the middle ("business logic")
  - persistent storage / data management
- **examples: many web-based services**
  - Amazon, Facebook, Instagram, …
  - news, information services, bots, mashups
  - email, chat, search, code tools, maps, ...
  - cellphone systems are often like this too
- **your project**
  - make something of roughly this structure
  - but smaller, simpler, defined by your interests

Getting started

- **right now, if not sooner**
  - think about potential projects; form a group
    talk to TA's & bwk; look at previous projects;
    look around you; check out the external project ideas page
- **by Fri Mar 7: short meeting of group with bwk (earlier is desirable)**
  - to be sure your project idea is generally ok
  - you should have one pretty firm consensus idea, not several vague ones
- **Fri Mar 14: design document draft (before break)**
  - ~3-4 pages of text, pictures, etc. a template will be posted
  - overview, initial web page, elevator speech
    project name / title, paragraph on what it is, one person as project manager
  - components & interfaces
    major design choices: web vs. standalone, languages, tools, environment, …
    major pieces, how they fit together
  - milestones: clearly defined pieces either done or not
  - risks
- **must be based on significant thought and discussion**
- **don't throw it together at the last minute**
  - all components of the project are graded

Process: organizing what to do

- **you must use an orderly process or it won't work**
- **this is NOT a process:**
  - talk about the software at dinner
  - hack some code together
  - test it a bit
  - do some debugging
  - fix the obvious bugs
  - repeat from the top until the semester ends
- **classic "waterfall" model: a real process**

  specification
  requirements
  architectural design
  detailed design
  coding
  integration
  testing
  delivery
- **this is overkill for 333, but some process is essential …**

Informal process

- **conceptual design**
  - roughly, what are we doing? make **sketches, scenarios, screenshots**
- **requirements definition ("what")**
  - precise ideas about what it should do
  - explore options & alternatives on paper
  - specify more carefully with written docs
  - this should not change a lot once you start
- **architecture / design ("how")**
  - map out structure and appearance with diagrams, prototypes
  - partition into major subsystems or components
  - specify interactions and interfaces between components
  - decide pervasive design issues: languages, environment, database, ...
  - make versus buy decisions and what you can use from elsewhere
  - **resolve issues of connectivity, access to information, software, etc.**
- **implementation ("what by when")**
  - make prototypes
  - get real users as early as possible
  - deliver in stages, so that each does something and still works
  - test as you go: if your system is easy to break, it gets a lower grade

Interfaces

- **the boundary between two parts of a program**
- **a contract between the two parts**
- **what are the inputs and outputs?**
- **what is the transformation?**
- **who manages resources, especially memory and shared state?**

- **hide design & implementation decisions behind interfaces, so they can be changed later without affecting the rest of the program**
  - database system, data representations and file formats
  - specific algorithms
  - visual appearance

- **"I wish we had done interfaces better" is one of the most common comments**
  - less often: "We thought hard about the interfaces so it was easy to make changes without breaking anything."

Choices (a small and incomplete list)

- **user interface**
  - browser, desktop, phone, game console, API, ...
  - HTML/CSS/LESS, Javascript, Flash, Jquery, Bootstrap, Swing, ...
- **languages**
  - C++, Java, C#, Objective C, Perl, Python, PHP, Ruby, Javascript, ...
- **server**
  - own machine, OIT, CS, Google AppEngine, Amazon AWS, Heroku, ...
- **database**
  - MySQL, SQLite, Postgres, MongoDB, Redis, ...
- **information exchange formats**
  - text, JSON, XML, REST, ...
- **frameworks**
  - Django, Flask, Rails, Express, Google Web Toolkit
- **development environments**
  - XCode, Eclipse, Visual Studio, …

Deciding what to do

- **informal thinking and exploring early, so there's time for ideas to gel**
- **make big decisions first, to narrow the range of uncertainty later**
  - "large grain" decisions before "small grain" (McConnell)
  - web/standalone/phone? Unix/Windows/Mac; iPhone/Android?
    framework (GWT, Django, Rails) or roll your own?
    GUI in Java or .NET or Storyboard or ...?
    what kinds of windows will be visible?
    what do individual screens and menus look like?
  - server in Java or PHP or Python or ...?
    mix & match, or all the same?

- **think through decisions at each stage so you know enough to make decisions at next stage**

- **but this is still very iterative**
  - don't make binding decisions until you are all fairly comfortable with them
  - do simple experiments to test what works or doesn't
  - what do users see and do?
    scenarios (storyboards, "use cases"), sketches of screen shots
    diagrams of how information, commands, etc., will flow
    get real users involved
  - what data is stored and retrieved
    how is it organized?

Things to keep in mind

- **project management**
  - everyone has to pull together, someone has to be in charge
- **architecture**
  - how do the pieces fit together?
  - make it work like the product of a single mind but with multiple developers
    "Good interfaces make good neighbors"?
- **user interface**
  - what does it look like?
  - make it look like the product of a single mind
- **development**
  - everyone has to do a significant part of the coding
- **quality assurance / testing**
  - make sure it always works
    should always be able to compile and run it: fix bugs before adding features
- **documentation**
  - internals doc, web page, advertising, presentation, final report, ...
- **risks**
  - what could go wrong?
  - what are you dependent on that might not work out?

Things to do from the beginning

- **think about schedule**
  - keep a log of what you intend and what you did (always current)
- **plan for a sequence of stages**
  - do not build something that requires a "big bang" where nothing works until everything works
  - always be able to declare success and walk away
- **simplify**
  - don't take on too big a job
  - don't try to do it all at the beginning, but don't try to do it all at the end
- **use source code control for everything**
  - SVN, Git or equivalent is mandatory
- **leave lots of room for "overhead" activities**
  - testing: build quality in from the beginning
  - documentation: you have to provide written material
  - deliverables: you have to package your system for delivery
  - changing your mind: decisions will be reversed and work will be redone
  - disaster: lost files, broken hardware, overloaded systems, ...
  - sickness: you will lose time for unavoidable reasons
  - health: there is more to life than this project!

2014 Project Schedule

	Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa	
Feb							1	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	<- you are here
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
	23	24	25	26	27	28		
Mar							1	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	initial talk with bwk this week
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	design doc before break
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	spring break (don't waste it)
	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	weekly TA meetings begin
	30	31						
Apr			1	2	3	4	5	
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	project prototype
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	alpha test
	27	28	29	30				
May					1	2	3	beta test
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	demo days: project presentations
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	Dean's date: all done
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	

Scripting languages

- **originally tools for quick hacks, rapid prototyping, gluing together other programs, ...**
- **evolved into mainstream programming tools**
- **characteristics**
  - text strings as basic (or only) data type
  - regular expressions (maybe built in)
  - associative arrays as a basic aggregate type
  - minimal use of types, declarations, etc.
  - usually interpreted instead of compiled

- **examples**
  - **shell**
  - **Awk**
  - **Perl, PHP, Ruby, Python, Tcl, Lua, ...**
  - **Javascript**
  - **Visual Basic, (VB|W|C)Script, PowerShell**
  - **…**

Shells and shell programming

- **shell: a program that helps run other programs**
  - intermediary between user and operating system
  - basic scripting language
  - programming with programs as building blocks
- **an ordinary program, not part of the system**
  - it can be replaced by one you like better
  - therefore there are lots of shells, reflecting history and preferences
- **popular shells:**
  - sh Bourne shell (Steve Bourne, Bell Labs -> ... -> El Dorado Ventures)
    emphasizes running programs and programmability
    syntax derived from Algol 68
  - csh C shell (Bill Joy, UC Berkeley -> Sun -> Kleiner Perkins)
    interaction: history, job control, command & filename completion, aliases
    more C-like syntax, but not as good for programming (at least historically)
  - ksh Korn shell (Dave Korn, Bell Labs -> AT&T Labs)
    combines programmability and interaction
    syntactically, superset of Bourne sh
    provides all csh interactive features + lots more
  - bash GNU shell
    mostly ksh + much of csh
  - tcsh
    evolution of csh

Features common to Unix shells

- **command execution**
  + built-in commands, e.g., cd
- **filename expansion**
  * ? [...]
- **quoting**
  rm '*' **Careful !!!**
  echo "It's now `date`"
- **variables, environment**
  PATH=/bin:/usr/bin in ksh & bash
  setenv PATH /bin:/usr/bin in (t)csh
- **input/output redirection, pipes**
  prog <in >out, prog >>out
  who | wc
  slow.1 | slow.2 & *asynchronous operation*
- **executing commands from a file**
  arguments can be passed to a shell file ($0, $1, etc.)
  if made executable, indistinguishable from compiled programs

provided by the shell, not each program

Shell programming

- **the shell is a programming language**
  - the earliest scripting language
- **string-valued variables**
- **limited regexprs** **mostly for filename expansion**
- **control flow**
  - if-else
    if cmd; then cmds; elif cmds; else cmds; fi (sh…)
    if (expr) cmds; else if (expr) cmds; else cmds; endif (csh)
  - while, for
    for var in list; do commands; done (sh, ksh, bash)
    foreach var (list) commands; end (csh, tcsh)
  - switch, case, break, continue, ...
- **operators are programs**
  - programs return status: 0 == success, non-0 == various failures
- **shell programming out of favor**
  - graphical interfaces
  - scripting languages
    e.g., system administration
    setting paths, filenames, parameters, etc
    now often in Perl, Python, PHP, ...

Shell programming

- **shell programs are good for personal tools**
  - tailoring environment
  - abbreviating common operations
    (aliases do the same)
- **gluing together existing programs into new ones**
- **prototyping**
- **sometimes for production use**
  - e.g., configuration scripts

- **But:**
  - shell is poor at arithmetic, editing
  - macro processing is a mess
  - quoting is a mess
  - sometimes too slow
  - can't get at some things that are really necessary

- **this leads to scripting languages**