

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

## 5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

---

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*



<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

## 5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

---

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

# Substring search

---

Goal. Find pattern of length  $M$  in a text of length  $N$ .

typically  $N \gg M$

*pattern* → N E E D L E

*text* → I N A H A Y S T A C K N E E D L E I N A

↑  
*match*

# Substring search applications

---


**Goal.** Find pattern of length  $M$  in a text of length  $N$ .

typically  $N \gg M$

*pattern* → N E E D L E

*text* → I N A H A Y S T A C K N E E D L E I N A

↑  
*match*



# Substring search applications

---

**Goal.** Find pattern of length  $M$  in a text of length  $N$ .

typically  $N \gg M$

*pattern* → N E E D L E

*text* → I N A H A Y S T A C K N E E D L E I N A

↑  
*match*

**Computer forensics.** Search memory or disk for signatures, e.g., all URLs or RSA keys that the user has entered.



<http://citp.princeton.edu/memory>

# Substring search applications

---

**Goal.** Find pattern of length  $M$  in a text of length  $N$ .

typically  $N \gg M$

*pattern* → N E E D L E

*text* → I N A H A Y S T A C K N E E D L E I N A

↑  
*match*

Identify patterns indicative of spam.

- PROFITS
- LOSE WEIGHT
- herbal Viagra
- There is no catch.
- This is a one-time mailing.
- This message is sent in compliance with spam regulations.



# Substring search applications

## Electronic surveillance.



Need to monitor all internet traffic.  
(security)

No way!  
(privacy)




Well, we're mainly interested in  
"ATTACK AT DAWN"

OK. Build a machine that just looks for that.




"ATTACK AT DAWN"  
substring search  
machine  
found



# Substring search applications

Screen scraping. Extract relevant data from web page.

Ex. Find string delimited by `<b>` and `</b>` after first occurrence of pattern Last Trade:.



<http://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=goog>

```
...
<tr>
<td class= "yfnc_tablehead1"
width= "48%">
Last Trade:
</td>
<td class= "yfnc_tabledata1">
<big><b>452.92</b></big>
</td></tr>
<td class= "yfnc_tablehead1"
width= "48%">
Trade Time:
</td>
<td class= "yfnc_tabledata1">
...

```

## Screen scraping: Java implementation

---

**Java library.** The `indexOf()` method in Java's string library returns the index of the first occurrence of a given string, starting at a given offset.

```
public class StockQuote
{
    public static void main(String[] args)
    {
        String name = "http://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=";
        In in = new In(name + args[0]);
        String text = in.readAll();
        int start    = text.indexOf("Last Trade:", 0);
        int from     = text.indexOf("<b>", start);
        int to       = text.indexOf("</b>", from);
        String price = text.substring(from + 3, to);
        StdOut.println(price);
    }
}
```

```
% java StockQuote goog
582.93
```

```
% java StockQuote msft
24.84
```



<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

## 5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

---

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

# Brute-force substring search

Check for pattern starting at each text position.

| <i>i</i> | <i>j</i> | <i>i+j</i>   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4            | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|----------|----------|--------------|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|
|          |          | <i>txt</i> → | A | B | A | C | A            | D | A | B | R | A | C  |
| 0        | 2        | 2            | A | B | R | A | ← <i>pat</i> |   |   |   |   |   |    |
| 1        | 0        | 1            |   | A | B | R | A            |   |   |   |   |   |    |
| 2        | 1        | 3            |   |   | A | B | R            | A |   |   |   |   |    |
| 3        | 0        | 3            |   |   |   | A | B            | R | A |   |   |   |    |
| 4        | 1        | 5            |   |   |   |   | A            | B | R | A |   |   |    |
| 5        | 0        | 5            |   |   |   |   |              | A | B | R | A |   |    |
| 6        | 4        | 10           |   |   |   |   |              |   | A | B | R | A |    |

*entries in black match the text*

*entries in red are mismatches*

*entries in gray are for reference only*

*return i when j is M*

*match*

## Brute-force substring search: Java implementation

---

Check for pattern starting at each text position.

```
public static int search(String pat, String txt)
{
    int M = pat.length();
    int N = txt.length();
    for (int i = 0; i <= N - M; i++)
    {
        int j;
        for (j = 0; j < M; j++)
            if (txt.charAt(i+j) != pat.charAt(j))
                break;
        if (j == M) return i; ← index in text where
    }                               pattern starts
    return N; ← not found
}
```

## Brute-force substring search: worst case

---

Brute-force algorithm can be slow if text and pattern are repetitive.

| <i>i</i> | <i>j</i> | <i>i+j</i>   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5            | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|----------|----------|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|---|---|---|
|          |          | <i>txt</i> → | A | A | A | A | A | A            | A | A | A | B |
| 0        | 4        | 4            | A | A | A | A | B | ← <i>pat</i> |   |   |   |   |
| 1        | 4        | 5            |   | A | A | A | A | B            |   |   |   |   |
| 2        | 4        | 6            |   |   | A | A | A | A            | B |   |   |   |
| 3        | 4        | 7            |   |   |   | A | A | A            | A | B |   |   |
| 4        | 4        | 8            |   |   |   |   | A | A            | A | A | B |   |
| 5        | 5        | 10           |   |   |   |   |   | A            | A | A | A | B |

↑  
*match*


**Worst case.**  $\sim MN$  char compares.

# Backup


In many applications, we want to avoid **backup** in text stream.

- Treat input as stream of data.
- Abstract model: standard input.

"ATTACK AT  
DAWN"  
substring search  
machine



Brute-force algorithm needs backup for every mismatch.



**Approach 1.** Maintain buffer of last  $M$  characters.

**Approach 2.** Stay tuned.

# Algorithmic challenges in substring search

---

Brute-force is not always good enough.

**Theoretical challenge.** Linear-time guarantee. ← fundamental algorithmic problem

**Practical challenge.** Avoid backup in text stream. ← often no room or time to save text

Now is the time for all people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for a lot of good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all of the good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for each good person to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Republicans to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many or all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Democrats to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for a lot of good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all of the good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their **attack at dawn** party. Now is the time for each person to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Republicans to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many or all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Democrats to come to the aid of their party.



<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

## 5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

---


- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ ***Knuth-Morris-Pratt***
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

# Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search

**Intuition.** Suppose we are searching in text for pattern BAAAAAAAAA.

- Suppose we match 5 chars in pattern, with mismatch on 6<sup>th</sup> char.
- We know previous 6 chars in text are BAAAAB.
- Don't need to back up text pointer!

assuming { A, B } alphabet



**Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm.** Clever method to always avoid backup. (!)

# Deterministic finite state automaton (DFA)

DFA is abstract string-searching machine.


- Finite number of states (including start and halt).
- Exactly one transition for each char in alphabet.
- Accept if sequence of transitions leads to halt state.

## internal representation

| j             | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |   |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |   |
| dfa[][j]      | A | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
|               | B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|               | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

If in state  $j$  reading char  $C$ :  
if  $j$  is 6 halt and accept  
else move to state  $dfa[C][j]$

## graphical representation




# DFA simulation demo

A A B A C A A B A B A C A A



|               |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|               | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |   |
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |   |
| dfa[][j]      | A | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
|               | B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|               | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |





# Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search: Java implementation

---

## Key differences from brute-force implementation.

- Need to precompute `dfa[][]` from pattern.
- Text pointer `i` never decrements.

```
public int search(String txt)
{
    int i, j, N = txt.length();
    for (i = 0, j = 0; i < N && j < M; i++)
        j = dfa[txt.charAt(i)][j];
    if (j == M) return i - M;
    else      return N;
}
```

← no backup

## Running time.

- Simulate DFA on text: at most  $N$  character accesses.
- Build DFA: how to do efficiently? [warning: tricky algorithm ahead]



# Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search: Java implementation

## Key differences from brute-force implementation.

- Need to precompute `dfa[][]` from pattern.
- Text pointer `i` never decrements.
- Could use **input stream**.

```
public int search(In in)
{
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0, j = 0; !in.isEmpty() && j < M; i++)
        j = dfa[in.readChar()][j];
    if (j == M) return i - M;
    else      return NOT_FOUND;
}
```

← no backup



# Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

---

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).



|               |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|               | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |
| dfa[][j]      | A |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | B |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | C |   |   |   |   |   |

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

# Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

---

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).

|               | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |
| dfa[][j]      | A |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | B |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | C |   |   |   |   |   |

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

# Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

**Match transition.** If in state  $j$  and next char  $c == \text{pat.charAt}(j)$ , go to  $j+1$ .

↑ first  $j$  characters of pattern have already been matched      ↑ next char matches      ↑ now first  $j+1$  characters of pattern have been matched

|               | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |
| dfa[][j]      | A |   | 3 |   | 5 |   |
|               | B | 2 |   | 4 |   |   |
|               | C |   |   |   |   | 6 |

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C



# Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

What are the values of `dfa['A'][5]`, `dfa['B'][5]`, and `dfa['C'][5]`?


- A. 0, 0, and 6      [21488]                      C. 1, 2, and 6      [21459]
- B. 1, 0, and 6      [21458]                      D. 1, 4, and 6      [21460]

[pollEv.com/jhug](http://pollEv.com/jhug)

text to **37607**

|  |                            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |                            | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |   |
|  | <code>pat.charAt(j)</code> | A | B | A | B | A | C |   |
|  | <code>dfa[][j]</code>      | A | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | ? |
|  |                            | B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | ? |
|  |                            | C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? |

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C




# Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

What are the values of `dfa['A'][5]`, `dfa['B'][5]`, and `dfa['C'][5]`?  
D. 1, 4, and 6 [21460]

|  |                            |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |                            | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | <code>pat.charAt(j)</code> | A | B | A | B | A | C |
|  | <code>dfa[] [j]</code>     |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|  | A                          | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
|  | B                          | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | C                          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C



# How to build DFA from pattern?

---

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).

|               | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |
| dfa[][j]      | A |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | B |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | C |   |   |   |   |   |

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

# How to build DFA from pattern?

**Match transition.** If in state  $j$  and next char  $c == \text{pat.charAt}(j)$ , go to  $j+1$ .

↑ first  $j$  characters of pattern have already been matched      ↑ next char matches      ↑ now first  $j+1$  characters of pattern have been matched

|               |   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |   |
| dfa[][j]      | A | 1 |   | 3 |   | 5 |   |
|               | B |   | 2 |   | 4 |   |   |
|               | C |   |   |   |   |   | 6 |



# How to build DFA from pattern?

**Mismatch transition.** If in state  $j$  and next char  $c \neq \text{pat.charAt}(j)$ , then the last  $j-1$  characters of input are  $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$ , followed by  $c$ .

To compute  $\text{dfa}[c][j]$ : Simulate  $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$  on DFA and take transition  $c$ .

Running time. Seems to require  $j$  steps.


still under construction (!)

Ex.  $\text{dfa}['A'][5] = 1$ ;  $\text{dfa}['B'][5] = 4$

simulate BABA;  
take transition 'A'  
=  $\text{dfa}['A'][3]$

simulate BABA;  
take transition 'B'  
=  $\text{dfa}['B'][3]$

| $j$                    | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\text{pat.charAt}(j)$ | A | B | A | B | A | C |



# How to build DFA from pattern?

**Mismatch transition.** If in state  $j$  and next char  $c \neq \text{pat.charAt}(j)$ , then the last  $j-1$  characters of input are  $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$ , followed by  $c$ .

← state X

To compute  $\text{dfa}[c][j]$ : Simulate  $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$  on DFA and take transition  $c$ .

Running time. Takes only **constant time** if we maintain state  $X$ .


Ex.  $\text{dfa}['A'][5] = 1$ ;     $\text{dfa}['B'][5] = 4$      $X' = 0$

from state X,  
take transition 'A'  
=  $\text{dfa}['A'][X]$

from state X,  
take transition 'B'  
=  $\text{dfa}['B'][X]$

from state X,  
take transition 'C'  
=  $\text{dfa}['C'][X]$

|   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A | B | A | B | A | C |



# Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo (in linear time)

---

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).



|               |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|               | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| pat.charAt(j) | A | B | A | B | A | C |
| dfa[][j]      | A |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | B |   |   |   |   |   |
|               | C |   |   |   |   |   |

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

# Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search: Java implementation

---

For each state  $j$ :

- Copy `dfa[][X]` to `dfa[][j]` for mismatch case.
- Set `dfa[pat.charAt(j)][j]` to  $j+1$  for match case.
- Update  $X$ .

```
public KMP(String pat)
{
    this.pat = pat;
    M = pat.length();
    dfa = new int[R][M];
    dfa[pat.charAt(0)][0] = 1;
    for (int X = 0, j = 1; j < M; j++)
    {
        for (int c = 0; c < R; c++)
            dfa[c][j] = dfa[c][X];
        dfa[pat.charAt(j)][j] = j+1;
        X = dfa[pat.charAt(j)][X];
    }
}
```

← copy mismatch cases

← set match case

← update restart state

**Running time.**  $M$  character accesses (but space/time proportional to  $R M$ ).

# KMP substring search analysis


---

**Proposition.** KMP substring search accesses no more than  $M + N$  chars to search for a pattern of length  $M$  in a text of length  $N$ .

**Pf.** Each pattern char accessed once when constructing the DFA; each text char accessed once (in the worst case) when simulating the DFA.

**Proposition.** KMP constructs `dfa[][]` in time and space proportional to  $R M$ .

**Larger alphabets.** Improved version of KMP constructs `nfa[]` in time and space proportional to  $M$ .



# Knuth-Morris-Pratt: brief history

---

- Independently discovered by two theoreticians and a hacker.
  - Knuth: inspired by esoteric theorem, discovered linear algorithm
  - Pratt: made running time independent of alphabet size
  - Morris: built a text editor for the CDC 6400 computer
- Theory meets practice.

SIAM J. COMPUT.  
Vol. 6, No. 2, June 1977

## FAST PATTERN MATCHING IN STRINGS\*

DONALD E. KNUTH†, JAMES H. MORRIS, JR.‡ AND VAUGHAN R. PRATT¶

**Abstract.** An algorithm is presented which finds all occurrences of one given string within another, in running time proportional to the sum of the lengths of the strings. The constant of proportionality is low enough to make this algorithm of practical use, and the procedure can also be extended to deal with some more general pattern-matching problems. A theoretical application of the algorithm shows that the set of concatenations of even palindromes, i.e., the language  $\{\alpha\alpha^R\}^*$ , can be recognized in linear time. Other algorithms which run even faster on the average are also considered.



Don Knuth



Jim Morris



Vaughan Pratt

## 5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

---

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*



Robert Boyer



J. Strother Moore

# Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

---

## Intuition.

- Scan characters in pattern from right to left.
- Can skip as many as  $M$  text chars when finding one not in the pattern.

| <i>i</i> | <i>j</i>      | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |
|----------|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
|          | <i>text</i> → | F | I | N | D | I | N | A | H | A | Y | S  | T  | A  | C  | K  | N  | E  | E  | D  | L  | E  | I  | N  | A  |  |
| 0        | 5             | N | E | E | D | L | E |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |
| 5        | 5             |   |   |   |   |   | N | E | E | D | L | E  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |
| 11       | 4             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    | N  | E  | E  | D  | L  | E  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |  |
| 15       | 0             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    | N  | E  | E  | D  | L  | E  |    |    |  |


*return i = 15*

# Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

---

Q. How much to skip?

Case 1. Mismatch character not in pattern.





mismatch character 'T' not in pattern: increment  $i$  one character beyond 'T'

# Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

---

Q. How much to skip?

Case 2a. Mismatch character in pattern.




mismatch character 'N' in pattern: align text 'N' with rightmost pattern 'N'

# Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

---

Q. How much to skip?

Case 2b. Mismatch character in pattern (but heuristic no help).




mismatch character 'E' in pattern: align text 'E' with rightmost pattern 'E' ?

# Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

---

Q. How much to skip?

Case 2b. Mismatch character in pattern (but heuristic no help).



mismatch character 'E' in pattern: increment  $i$  by 1

# Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

A. Precompute index of rightmost occurrence of character  $c$  in pattern (-1 if character not in pattern).

```
right = new int[R];
for (int c = 0; c < R; c++)
    right[c] = -1;
for (int j = 0; j < M; j++)
    right[pat.charAt(j)] = j;
```

|     |    | N  | E  | E  | D  | L  | E  |          |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----------|
| $c$ |    | 0  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | right[c] |
| A   | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1       |
| B   | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1       |
| C   | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1       |
| D   | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 3  | 3  | 3  | 3        |
| E   | -1 | -1 | 1  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 5  | 5        |
| ... |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | -1       |
| L   | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 4  | 4  | 4        |
| M   | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1       |
| N   | -1 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0        |
| ... |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | -1       |

Boyer-Moore skip table computation

# Boyer-Moore: Java implementation

---

```
public int search(String txt)
{
    int N = txt.length();
    int M = pat.length();
    int skip;
    for (int i = 0; i <= N-M; i += skip)
    {
        skip = 0;
        for (int j = M-1; j >= 0; j--)
        {
            if (pat.charAt(j) != txt.charAt(i+j))
            {
                skip = Math.max(1, j - right[txt.charAt(i+j)]);
                break;
            }
        }
        if (skip == 0) return i;
    }
    return N;
}
```

compute skip value

in case other term is nonpositive

match

## Boyer-Moore: analysis

---

**Property.** Substring search with the Boyer-Moore mismatched character heuristic takes about  $\sim N/M$  character compares to search for a pattern of length  $M$  in a text of length  $N$ .  *sublinear!*

**Worst-case.** Can be as bad as  $\sim MN$ .

| <i>i</i> | <i>skip</i>  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|----------|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|          | <i>txt</i> → | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| 0        | 0            | A | B | B | B | B |   |   |   |   |   |
| 1        | 1            |   | A | B | B | B |   |   |   |   |   |
| 2        | 1            |   |   | A | B | B | B |   |   |   |   |
| 3        | 1            |   |   |   | A | B | B | B |   |   |   |
| 4        | 1            |   |   |   |   | A | B | B | B | B |   |
| 5        | 1            |   |   |   |   |   | A | B | B | B | B |

**Boyer-Moore variant.** Can improve worst case to  $\sim 3N$  character compares by adding a KMP-like rule to guard against repetitive patterns.

# Boyer-Moore vs. KMP

---

## Crudely:

- Boyer-Moore thrives on long mismatches.
  - Large alphabets.
  - Random input.
  - Long patterns.
  - Example: Sentences in English.
- KMP thrives on partial matches.
  - Small alphabets.
  - Structured data.
  - Example: Genomes
- Experiments needed to know which is best for your data set.

## Puzzle (discuss in groups)

---

### Given

$$48390279381551 \% 7 = 2$$

### Find

$$83902793815518 \% 7$$

### Hint

$$10^{13} \% 7 = 3$$

### Solution

$$\text{big8} = (4\text{big} - 4 * 10^{13}) * 10 + 8$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{big8} \% 7 &= ((2 - 4 * 3) * 10 + 8) \% 7 \\ &= 6 \end{aligned}$$



<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

## 5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

---

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*



**Michael Rabin, Turing Award '76**

**Dick Karp, Turing Award '85**

# Rabin-Karp fingerprint search

Basic idea = modular hashing.

- Compute a hash of pattern characters 0 to  $M - 1$ .
- For each  $i$ , compute a hash of text characters  $i$  to  $M + i - 1$ .
- If pattern hash = text substring hash, check for a match.

|   |   | pat.charAt(i) |   |   |   |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
|---|---|---------------|---|---|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|
| i | 0 | 1             | 2 | 3 | 4 |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
|   | 2 | 6             | 5 | 3 | 5 | % 997 = 613 |             |             |             |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
|   |   | txt.charAt(i) |   |   |   |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
| i | 0 | 1             | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5           | 6           | 7           | 8           | 9           | 10          | 11          | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|   | 3 | 1             | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9           | 2           | 6           | 5           | 3           | 5           | 8           | 9  | 7  | 9  | 3  |
| 0 | 3 | 1             | 4 | 1 | 5 | % 997 = 508 |             |             |             |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
| 1 |   | 1             | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9           | % 997 = 201 |             |             |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
| 2 |   |               | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9           | 2           | % 997 = 715 |             |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
| 3 |   |               |   | 1 | 5 | 9           | 2           | 6           | % 997 = 971 |             |             |             |    |    |    |    |
| 4 |   |               |   |   | 5 | 9           | 2           | 6           | 5           | % 997 = 442 |             |             |    |    |    |    |
| 5 |   |               |   |   |   | 9           | 2           | 6           | 5           | 3           | % 997 = 929 |             |    |    |    |    |
| 6 | ← | return i = 6  |   |   |   |             | 2           | 6           | 5           | 3           | 5           | % 997 = 613 |    |    |    |    |

*match*

# Efficiently computing the hash function

**Modular hash function.** Using the notation  $t_i$  for `txt.charAt(i)`, we wish to compute

$$x_i = t_i R^{M-1} + t_{i+1} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M-1} R^0 \pmod{Q}$$

**Intuition.**  $M$ -digit, base- $R$  integer, modulo  $Q$ .

**Horner's method.** Linear-time method to evaluate degree- $M$  polynomial.

|   | pat.charAt() |           |                               |                                 |                                    |
|---|--------------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| i | 0            | 1         | 2                             | 3                               | 4                                  |
|   | 2            | 6         | 5                             | 3                               | 5                                  |
| 0 | 2            | % 997 = 2 |                               |                                 |                                    |
| 1 | 2            | 6         | % 997 = (2*10 + 6) % 997 = 26 |                                 |                                    |
| 2 | 2            | 6         | 5                             | % 997 = (26*10 + 5) % 997 = 265 |                                    |
| 3 | 2            | 6         | 5                             | 3                               | % 997 = (265*10 + 3) % 997 = 659   |
| 4 | 2            | 6         | 5                             | 3                               | 5 % 997 = (659*10 + 5) % 997 = 613 |

```
// Compute hash for M-digit key
private long hash(String key, int M)
{
    long h = 0;
    for (int j = 0; j < M; j++)
        h = (h * R + key.charAt(j)) % Q;
    return h;
}
```

$$26535 = (((((2) * 10 + 6) * 10 + 5) * 10 + 3) * 10 + 5$$

# Efficiently computing the hash function

**Challenge.** How to efficiently compute  $x_{i+1}$  given that we know  $x_i$ .

$$x_i = t_i R^{M-1} + t_{i+1} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M-1} R^0$$

$$x_{i+1} = t_{i+1} R^{M-1} + t_{i+2} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M} R^0$$

**Key property.** Can update hash function in constant time!

$$x_{i+1} = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{current} \\ \text{value}}}{x_i} - \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{subtract} \\ \text{leading digit}}}{t_i R^{M-1}} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{multiply} \\ \text{by radix}}}{R} + \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{add new} \\ \text{trailing digit}}}{t_{i+M}}$$

(can precompute  $R^{M-1}$ )

| i                    | ... | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7                             | ... |
|----------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-----|
| <i>current value</i> | 1   | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6                             | 5   |
| <i>new value</i>     |     | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6                             | 5   |
|                      |     | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | <i>current value</i>          |     |
|                      | -   | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |                               |     |
|                      |     |   | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | <i>subtract leading digit</i> |     |
|                      |     |   |   | * | 1 | 0 | <i>multiply by radix</i>      |     |
|                      |     | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 0 |                               |     |
|                      |     |   |   |   | + | 6 | <i>add new trailing digit</i> |     |
|                      |     | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | <i>new value</i>              |     |

↔ *text*

# Rabin-Karp substring search example

**Mathematical trick.** To keep numbers small, take result modulo Q.

| i  | 0 | 1                | 2                             | 3                               | 4                                | 5                                | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|----|---|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|
|    | 3 | 1                | 4                             | 1                               | 5                                | 9                                | 2   | 6   | 5   | 3   | 5   | 8   | 9  | 7  | 9  | 3  |
| 0  | 3 | % 997 = 3        |                               |                                 |                                  |                                  |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 1  | 3 | 1                | % 997 = (3*10 + 1) % 997 = 31 |                                 |                                  |                                  |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 2  | 3 | 1                | 4                             | % 997 = (31*10 + 4) % 997 = 314 |                                  |                                  |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 3  | 3 | 1                | 4                             | 1                               | % 997 = (314*10 + 1) % 997 = 150 |                                  |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 4  | 3 | 1                | 4                             | 1                               | 5                                | % 997 = (150*10 + 5) % 997 = 508 |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 5  |   | 1                | 4                             | 1                               | 5                                | 9                                | % 997 = ((508 + 3*(997 - 30))*10 + 9) % 997 = 201 |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 6  |   |                  | 4                             | 1                               | 5                                | 9                                | 2   | % 997 = ((201 + 1*(997 - 30))*10 + 2) % 997 = 715 |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 7  |   |                  |                               | 1                               | 5                                | 9                                | 2   | 6   | % 997 = ((715 + 4*(997 - 30))*10 + 6) % 997 = 971 |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 8  |   |                  |                               |                                 | 5                                | 9                                | 2   | 6   | 5   | % 997 = ((971 + 1*(997 - 30))*10 + 5) % 997 = 442 |   |   |    |    |    |    |
| 9  |   |                  |                               |                                 |                                  | 9                                | 2   | 6   | 5   | 3   | % 997 = ((442 + 5*(997 - 30))*10 + 3) % 997 = 929 |   |    |    |    |    |
| 10 | ← | return i-M+1 = 6 |                               |                                 |                                  |                                  | 2   | 6   | 5   | 3   | 5   | % 997 = ((929 + 9*(997 - 30))*10 + 5) % 997 = 613 |    |    |    |    |

# Rabin-Karp: Java implementation

---

```
public class RabinKarp
{
    private long patHash;    // pattern hash value
    private int M;          // pattern length
    private long Q;         // modulus
    private int R;          // radix
    private long RM;        //  $R^{(M-1)} \% Q$ 

    public RabinKarp(String pat) {
        M = pat.length();
        R = 256;
        Q = longRandomPrime();

        RM = 1;
        for (int i = 1; i <= M-1; i++)
            RM = (R * RM) % Q;
        patHash = hash(pat, M);
    }

    private long hash(String key, int M)
    { /* as before */ }

    public int search(String txt)
    { /* see next slide */ }
}
```

← a large prime  
(but avoid overflow)

← precompute  $R^{M-1} \pmod{Q}$

## Rabin-Karp: Java implementation (continued)

---

**Monte Carlo version.** Return match if hash match.

```
public int search(String txt)
{
    int N = txt.length();
    int txtHash = hash(txt, M);
    if (patHash == txtHash) return 0;
    for (int i = M; i < N; i++)
    {
        txtHash = (txtHash + Q - RM*txt.charAt(i-M) % Q) % Q;
        txtHash = (txtHash*R + txt.charAt(i)) % Q;
        if (patHash == txtHash) return i - M + 1;
    }
    return N;
}
```

check for hash collision  
using rolling hash function



**Las Vegas version.** Check for substring match if hash match;  
continue search if false collision.

# Rabin-Karp analysis

---

**Theory.** If  $Q$  is a sufficiently large random prime (about  $MN^2$ ), then the probability of a false collision is about  $1/N$ .


**Practice.** Choose  $Q$  to be a large prime (but not so large to cause overflow). Under reasonable assumptions, probability of a collision is about  $1/Q$ .

## Monte Carlo version.

- Always runs in linear time.
- Extremely likely to return correct answer (but not always!).

## Las Vegas version.

- Always returns correct answer.
- Extremely likely to run in linear time (but worst case is  $MN$ ).



# Rabin-Karp fingerprint search

---

## Advantages.

- Extends to 2d patterns.
- Extends to finding multiple patterns.

## Disadvantages.

- Arithmetic ops slower than char compares.
- Las Vegas version requires backup.
- Poor worst-case guarantee.

Q. How would you extend Rabin-Karp to efficiently search for any one of  $P$  possible patterns in a text of length  $N$ ?



## Substring search cost summary

Cost of searching for an  $M$ -character pattern in an  $N$ -character text.

| algorithm               | version   | operation count |         | backup<br>in input? | correct?               | extra<br>space |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|
|                         |   | guarantee       | typical |                     |                        |                |
| brute force             | —   | $MN$            | $1.1 N$ | <i>yes</i>          | <i>yes</i>             | 1              |
| Knuth-Morris-Pratt      | <i>full DFA<br/>(Algorithm 5.6)</i>                           | $2 N$           | $1.1 N$ | <i>no</i>           | <i>yes</i>             | $MR$           |
|                         | <i>mismatch<br/>transitions only</i>                          | $3 N$           | $1.1 N$ | <i>no</i>           | <i>yes</i>             | $M$            |
| Boyer-Moore             | <i>full algorithm</i>   | $3 N$           | $N / M$ | <i>yes</i>          | <i>yes</i>             | $R$            |
|                         | <i>mismatched char<br/>heuristic only<br/>(Algorithm 5.7)</i> | $MN$            | $N / M$ | <i>yes</i>          | <i>yes</i>             | $R$            |
| Rabin-Karp <sup>†</sup> | <i>Monte Carlo<br/>(Algorithm 5.8)</i>                        | $7 N$           | $7 N$   | <i>no</i>           | <i>yes<sup>†</sup></i> | 1              |
|                         | <i>Las Vegas</i>  | $7 N†$          | $7 N$   | <i>yes</i>          | <i>yes</i>             | 1              |

<sup>†</sup> probabilistic guarantee, with uniform hash function