PRINCETON UNIVERSITY SPR'12	$\cos 521$:	Advanced	Algorithms
Homework 4			
Out: Apr 14			Due: May 1

You can collaborate with your classmates, but be sure to list your collaborators with your answer. If you get help from a published source (book, paper etc.), cite that. Also, limit your answers to one page or less —you just need to give enough detail to convince the grader. If you suspect a problem is open, just say so and give reasons for your suspicion.

- §1 Use semidefinite programming to give a better approximation to MAX-2SAT than the 3/4-approximation we gave via linear programming. Ideally your approximation ratio should exceed 0.8
- §2 We are given an undirected graph G = (V, E) with capacities c_e on edges, and m pairs of (source, sink) pairs $(s_1, t_1), \ldots, (s_m, t_m)$ with $s_i, t_i \in V$. An integral flow between a source sink pair (s, t) is a single path connecting s and t and a quantity q indicating the amount of flow shipped on that path (the difference between this and the usual notion of flow is that all the flow is on one path). Let M be the largest number for which it is possible to M units of integral flow between all the (s_i, t_i) pairs simultaneously without violating the edge capacities. Give a $O(\log n)$ approximation for M using linear programming. (hint: randomized rounding).
- §3 Every positive semidefinite matrix can be written as a sum of outer products $A = XX^T = \sum_i x_i x_i^T$ where x_i are the columns of X. The Laplacian matrix of a *d*-regular graph is defined to be L = dI A where A is the adjacency matrix (recall that in the Cheeger lecture, we dealt with the normalized Laplacian $\frac{1}{d}L = I \frac{1}{d}A$). Write L as a sum of outer products. What is the appropriate generalization to irregular graphs? Weighted graphs? What is the nullspace of a Laplacian matrix?
- §4 Use the Chebyshev iteration to show that the diameter of an undirected unweighted graph is at most $O(\sqrt{\kappa(L)} \log n)$ where $\kappa(L) = \frac{\lambda_{max}(L)}{\lambda_{min}(L)}$ is the condition number of the Laplacian (ignoring the zero eigenvalue). (hint: to bound the distance between vertices i, j, consider the number of iterations that the method needs to solve $Lx = e_i e_j$ where e_i, e_j are standard basis vectors).
- §5 The spectral norm of a symmetric matrix may be defined equivalently as

$$||A|| = \max_{i} |\lambda_{i}(A)| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||}{||x||} = \max_{x \neq q} \frac{x^{T}Ax}{x^{T}x} = \max_{x,y \neq 0} \frac{x^{T}Ay}{||x|| ||y||}$$

Show that these definitions are equivalent.

§6 Suppose A is the adjacency matrix of a d-regular graph G = (V, E) with second eigenvalue λ_2 . Prove that for any two subsets $S, T \subset V$ of the vertices,

$$\left| E(S,T) - \frac{d}{n} |S| |T| \right| \le \lambda_2(A) \cdot \sqrt{|S||T|},$$

where E(S, T) denotes the number of edges with one endpoint in S and one in T. (hint: apply the last characterization of the spectral norm in the last lecture to indicator vectors of S and T).

This statement is known as the expander mixing lemma and tells us that in a graph with small λ_2 (i.e., large spectral gap $d - \lambda_2$), the number of edges between any two sets is close to what we would expect in a random graph with density d/n.

§7 The Chebyshev method takes $O(\sqrt{\kappa(A)}\log(1/\epsilon))$ iterations to solve Ax = b, where each iteration requires a multiplication by A. The preconditioned Chebyshev method solves instead the system $P^{-1}Ax = P^{-1}b$ for some invertible P called a *preconditioner*, and takes $O(\sqrt{\kappa(P^{-1}A)}\log(1/\epsilon))$ iterations with each iteration now requiring multiplication by both P^{-1} and A.

Suppose we are interested in solving $L_G x = b$ for L_G the Laplacian of an undirected graph G = (V, E) with m edges. We will show that this can be done in time $O(m^{3/2} \log^c n)$ by taking the preconditioner $P = L_T$ to be the Laplacian of a suitably chosen tree.

• The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a matrix $A = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} u_{i} u_{i}^{T}$ with eigenvalues λ_{i} and eigenvectors u_{i} is defined to be

$$A^+ = \sum_{i:\lambda_i \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} u_i u_i^T.$$

Show that for a square symmetric matrix A the pseudoinverse satisfies

$$AA^+ = A^+A = \sum_{\lambda_i \neq 0} u_i u_i^T,$$

the projection onto the range of A. In particular, A^+ acts as an inverse if we restrict attention to vectors in range(A), and as long as we are dealing with such vectors we may take A to be invertible.

In particular for the special case of a Laplacian, L^+ is the inverse on all vectors orthogonal to the all 1's vector.

• Let

 $A \preceq B$

denote

$$x^T A x < x^T B x \qquad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Let e = (u, v) be an edge connecting two vertices $u, v \in V$, and let P be a path connecting the same two vertices. Prove that

$$L_e \leq \text{length}(\mathbf{P})L_P,$$

where L_e and L_P are the Laplacians of the edge and path respectively. (hint: use induction and Cauchy-Schwarz.)

• Suppose $T \subset G$ is a spanning tree of G. Show that

$$L_T \preceq L_G$$

Now for any pair u, v define the stretch $\operatorname{str}_T(u, v)$ to be the length of the unique path between u and v in T. Use the previous inequality to show that

$$L_G \preceq \left(\sum_{uv \in E} \operatorname{str}_T(u, v)\right) \cdot L_T.$$

(hint: use the outer product expansion to write L_G as a sum of Laplacians of edges.)

• Show that for any invertible A, B, the condition number satisfies:

$$\kappa(AB^{-1}) = \kappa(BA^{-1}) = \left(\max_{x \neq 0} \frac{x^T A x}{x^T B x}\right) \cdot \left(\max_{y \neq 0} \frac{y^T B y}{y^T A y}\right).$$

This quantity is sometimes called the *relative condition number* and measures the maximum multiplicative distortion between the quadratic forms of A and B. Conclude that $A \leq B \leq \kappa \cdot B$ implies that $\kappa(AB^{-1}) \leq \kappa$ for any symmetric invertible matrices A, B.

More generally, show that as long as A and B (not necessarily invertible) have the same nullspace, the same conclusion holds for $\kappa(AB^+)$, where we only consider vectors orthogonal to the nullspace.

• Conclude that for a spanning tree $T \subset G$,

$$\kappa(L_G L_T^+) \le \left(\sum_{uv \in E} \operatorname{str}_T(u, v)\right),$$

where the condition number is the ratio of the largest to the smallest eigenvalue, ignoring the 0 eigenvalue since all vectors are orthogonal to the 1's vector.

- Show that for any tree T and any vector y orthogonal to the all 1s vector, the inversion L_T^+y can be computed in O(n) time.
- A result of Abraham, Bartal, and Neiman shows that every graph G contains a tree T of total stretch at most $O(m \log n \log \log n)$, and moreover such a tree can be found in $O(m \log^2 n)$ time. Assuming this result, combine everything you have shown so far to derive an $O(m^{3/2} \log^c n)$ time algorithm for solving $L_G x = b$.