Refining and Personalizing Searches







- Use user feedback
- or
- Approximate feedback with first results
 Pseudo-feedback
 - Example: "Yahoo assist" (?still)
- change ranking of current results
 - or
- search again with modified query

Explicit user feedback

- User must participate
- User marks (some) relevant results
 or
- · User changes order of results
 - Can be more nuanced than relevant or not
 - Can be less accurate than relevant or not
 - Example: User moves 10th item to first
 says 10th better than first 9
 - Does not say which, if any, of first 9 relevant

User feedback in classic vector model

User marks top p documents for relevance

p = 10 to 20 "typical"

- Construct new weights for terms in query vector
 - Modifies query
 - Could use just on initial results to re-rank

Deriving new query for vector model

For collection C of n doc.s

· Let C, denote set all relevant docs in collection,

Perfect knowledge Goal:

Vector **q**_{opt} = 1/|C_r| * (sum of all vectors d_j in C_r) -1/(n- |C_r|) * (sum of all vectors d_k not in C_r) centroids

Deriving new query for vector model: Rocchio algorithm

Give query **q** and relevance judgments for a subset of retrieved docs

- · Let Dr denote set of docs judged relevant
- + Let D_{nr} denote set of docs judged not relevant

Modified query:

Vector $\mathbf{q}_{new} = \alpha \mathbf{q} + \beta/|\mathbf{D}_r| * (sum of all vectors <math>\mathbf{d}_j \text{ in } \mathbf{D}_r) - \gamma/(|\mathbf{D}_{nr}|) * (sum of all vectors <math>\mathbf{d}_k \text{ in } \mathbf{D}_{nr})$

For tunable weights $\alpha,\,\beta,\,\gamma$

Remarks on new query

- α : importance original query
- β: importance effect of terms in relevant docs
- $\boldsymbol{\gamma}:$ importance effect of terms in docs not relevant
- Usually terms of docs not relevant are least important
- Reasonable values α =1, β =.75, γ =.15
- Reweighting terms leads to long queries
 - Many more non-zero elements in query vector $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text{new}}$
 - Can reweight only most important (frequent?) terms
- Most useful to improve recall
- Users don't like: work + wait for new results 10



<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>



Behavior History

- Going beyond behavior on *same* query.
- Personal history versus Group history
- Group history
 - Primarily search history
 Google's claim Bing copies
- · Personal history
 - Searches
 - Other behavior browsing, mail?, ...
 - Characterize interests: topics

14







Con	tent-ba	sed ex	ample		
user bought – what if ac Average boo Score new b – dot produ decide thres	book 1 and tually rated? oks bought = ooks ict gives: sc hold for reco	book 2 (0, 1, 0.5, ore(A) = 0.	0) 5; score (B on)= 1	
	1 st person	romance	mystery	sci-fi	
book 1	0	1	1	0	

1

.5

1

0

0

.2 19

0

0

0

Example w	/ith expli	cit use	er pi	refer	ences		
How use scores o	of books bou	ght?		nt k -			
user pref f	or characteri	nere con stic k if ≠	npone 6 0	ent k =			
avg. comp. k of books bought when user pref =0 0 pref for user = "don't care"							
		1 st per	rom	mys	sci-fi		
p =(0, 1, 0.5, -5) New scores?	user pref	0	1	0	-5		
	book 1	0	1	1	0		
p •B = 0	book 2	0	1	0	0		
-	new A	1	.5	0	0		
	new B	0	1	0	2 20		

Content-based: issues

· Vector-based one alternative

0

1

0

- Major alternatives based on machine-learning
- · For vector based

book 2

new book A

new book B

- how build a preference vector
 - how combined vectors for items rated by user – our example only 0/1 rating
 - how include explicit user preferences
- what metric use for similarity between new items and preference vector
- normalization

– threshold?

Limitations of Content-based

- Can only recommend items similar to those user rated highly
- New users
 Insufficient number of rated items
- Only consider features explicitly associated with items
 - Do not include attributes of user

Collaborative Filtering

- Recommend new items liked by other users similar to this user
- need items already rated by user and other users
- · don't need characteristics of items
 - each rating by individual user becomes characteristic
- · Can combine with item characteristics
 - hybrid content/collaborative

23

21

Method types

22

24

(see Adomavicius and Tuzhilin paper)

Memory-Based

- Similar to vector model
- Use (user × item) matrix
- Use similarity function
- Prediction based on previously rated items
- Model-Based
 - Machine-learning methods
 - Model of probabilities of (users × items)







Co	llabora	tive filt	ering e	examp	le
user		book 1	book 2	book 3	book 4
ratings	user 1	5	1	2	0
	user 2	x	5	2	5
	user 3	3	1	х	2
	user 4	4	0	2	?
[book 1	book 2	book 3	book 4
adj. user ratings	user 1	3	-1	0	-2
user	user 2	x	1	-2	1
user ratings	user 2 user 3	x 1	1 -1	-2 x	1 0















Personalized PageRank Kamvar et. al.

- Random leaps are biased by personal interests change \pmb{v}
- Combined with use of block structure to make more efficient:
 - Divide Web graph into blocks (clusters)
 - Use high-level domains (e.g. princeton.edu)
 - Calc. local PageRank within each block
 - Collapse each block into 1 node new graph
 Weighted edges between nodes
 - Calc. PageRank with biased leaps for block structure

37

- Weight local PageRanks with block PageRank
 - Use to initialize power calcuation

Summary

- Looked at several techniques for modifying search
 - Explicit User feedback
 - revise query
 - Implicit User feedback behavior history

38

- Individual history
- Group history
- Collaborative history
- Recommender systems
- Modifying PageRank