Performance Improvement

The material for this lecture is drawn, in part, from
The Practice of Programming (Kernighan & Pike) Chapter 7

Goals of this Lecture

- Help you learn about:
  - Techniques for improving program performance
    - How to make your programs run faster and/or use less memory
  - The GPROF execution profiler
- Why?
  - In a large program, typically a small fragment of the code consumes most of the CPU time and/or memory
  - A power programmer knows how to identify such code fragments
  - A power programmer knows techniques for improving the performance of such code fragments

Performance Improvement Pros

- Techniques described in this lecture can yield answers to questions such as:
  - How slow is my program?
  - Where is my program slow?
  - Why is my program slow?
  - How can I make my program run faster?
  - How can I make my program use less memory?

Performance Improvement Cons

- Techniques described in this lecture can yield code that:
  - Is less clear/maintainable
  - Might confuse debuggers
  - Might contain bugs
    - Requires regression testing
- So…

When to Improve Performance

"The first principle of optimization is

don't.

Is the program good enough already? Knowing how a program will be used and the environment it runs in, is there any benefit to making it faster?"

-- Kernighan & Pike

Execution Efficiency

- We propose 5 steps to improve execution (time) efficiency
- Let's consider one at a time…

Timing Studies

(1) Do timing studies

- To time a program… Run a tool to time program execution
  - E.g., Unix **time** command

```
$ time sort < bigfile.txt > output.txt
real     0m12.977s
user     0m12.860s
sys      0m0.010s
```

- Output:
  - **Real**: Wall-clock time between program invocation and termination
  - **User**: CPU time spent executing the program
  - **System**: CPU time spent within the OS on the program's behalf
- But, which *parts* of the code are the most time consuming?

7

Timing Studies (cont.)

- To time *parts of* a program... Call a function to compute **wall-clock time** consumed
  - E.g., Unix **gettimeofday()** function (time since Jan 1, 1970)

```
#include <sys/time.h>

struct timeval startTime;
struct timeval endTime;
double wallClockSecondsConsumed;

gettimeofday(&startTime, NULL);
<execute some code here>
gettimeofday(&endTime, NULL);
wallClockSecondsConsumed =
   endTime.tv_sec - startTime.tv_sec +
   1.0E-6 * (endTime.tv_usec - startTime.tv_usec);
```

  - Not defined by C90 standard

8

Timing Studies (cont.)

- To time *parts of* a program... Call a function to compute **CPU time** consumed
  - E.g. **clock()** function

```
#include <time.h>

clock_t startClock;
clock_t endClock;
double cpuSecondsConsumed;

startClock = clock();
<execute some code here>
endClock = clock();
cpuSecondsConsumed =
  ((double)(endClock - startClock)) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC;
```

  - Defined by C90 standard

9

Identify Hot Spots

(2) Identify hot spots

- Gather statistics about your program's execution
  - How much time did execution of a function take?
  - How many times was a particular function called?
  - How many times was a particular line of code executed?
  - Which lines of code used the most time?
  - Etc.
- How? Use an **execution profiler**
  - Example: **`gprof`** (GNU Performance Profiler)

10

GPROF Example Program

- Example program for GPROF analysis
  - Sort an array of 10 million random integers
  - Artificial: consumes much CPU time, generates no output

```
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

enum {MAX_SIZE = 10000000};
int a[MAX_SIZE]; /* Too big to fit in stack! */

void fillArray(int a[], int size) {
   int i;
   for (i = 0; i < size; i++)
      a[i] = rand();
}

void swap(int a[], int i, int j) {
   int temp = a[i];
   a[i] = a[j];
   a[j] = temp;
}
…
```

11

GPROF Example Program (cont.)

- Example program for GPROF analysis (cont.)

```
…
int partition(int a[], int left, int right) {
   int first = left-1;
   int last = right;
   for (;;) {
      while (a[++first] < a[right])
         ;
      while (a[right] < a[--last])
         if (last == left)
            break;
      if (first >= last)
         break;
      swap(a, first, last);
   }
   swap(a, first, right);
   return first;
}
…
```

12

GPROF Example Program (cont.)

- Example program for GPROF analysis (cont.)

```
…
void quicksort(int a[], int left, int right) {
   if (right > left)
   {
      int mid = partition(a, left, right);
      quicksort(a, left, mid - 1);
      quicksort(a, mid + 1, right);
   }
}

int main(void) {
   fillArray(a, MAX_SIZE);
   quicksort(a, 0, MAX_SIZE - 1);
   return 0;
}
```

13

Using GPROF

- Step 1: Instrument the program

  `gcc217 –pg mysort.c –o mysort`
  - Adds profiling code to mysort, that is…
  - "Instruments" **mysort**
- Step 2: Run the program

  `mysort`
  - Creates file **gmon.out** containing statistics
- Step 3: Create a report

  `gprof mysort > myreport`
  - Uses **mysort** and **gmon.out** to create textual report
- Step 4: Examine the report

  `cat myreport`

14

The GPROF Report

- Flat profile

% time	cumulative seconds	self seconds	calls	self s/call	total s/call	name
84.54	2.27	2.27	6665307	0.00	0.00	partition
9.33	2.53	0.25	54328749	0.00	0.00	swap
2.99	2.61	0.08	1	0.08	2.61	quicksort
2.61	2.68	0.07	1	0.07	0.07	fillArray

- Each line describes one function
  - name: name of the function
  - %time: percentage of time spent executing this function
  - cumulative seconds: [skipping, as this isn't all that useful]
  - self seconds: time spent executing this function
  - calls: number of times function was called (excluding recursive)
  - self s/call: average time per execution (excluding descendents)
  - total s/call: average time per execution (including descendents)

15

The GPROF Report (cont.)

- Call graph profile

```
index % time    self  children    called     name
                                                 <spontaneous>
[1]    100.0    0.00    2.68                 main [1]
                0.08    2.53       1/1           quicksort [2]
                0.07    0.00       1/1           fillArray [5]
-----------------------------------------------
                             13330614             quicksort [2]
                0.08    2.53       1/1           main [1]
[2]     97.4    0.08    2.53       1+13330614 quicksort [2]
                2.27    0.25 6665307/6665307     partition [3]
                             13330614             quicksort [2]
-----------------------------------------------
                2.27    0.25 6665307/6665307     quicksort [2]
[3]     94.4    2.27    0.25 6665307         partition [3]
                0.25    0.00 54328749/54328749     swap [4]
-----------------------------------------------
                0.25    0.00 54328749/54328749     partition [3]
[4]      9.4    0.25    0.00 54328749         swap [4]
-----------------------------------------------
                0.07    0.00       1/1           main [1]
[5]      2.6    0.07    0.00       1         fillArray [5]
-----------------------------------------------
```

16

The GPROF Report (cont.)

- Call graph profile (cont.)
  - Each section describes one function
    - Which functions called it, and how much time was consumed?
    - Which functions it calls, how many times, and for how long?
  - Usually overkill; we won't look at this output in any detail

17

GPROF Report Analysis

- Observations
  - **`swap()`** is called very many times; each call consumes little time; **`swap()`** consumes only 9% of the time overall
  - **`partition()`** is called many times; each call consumes little time; but **`partition()`** consumes 85% of the time overall
- Conclusions
  - To improve performance, try to make **`partition()`** faster
  - Don't even think about trying to make **`fillArray()`** or **`quicksort()`** faster

18

GPROF Design

- Incidentally…
- How does GPROF work?
  - Good question!
  - Essentially, by randomly sampling the code as it runs
  - … and seeing what line is running, & what function it's in

19

Algorithms and Data Structures

(3) Use a better algorithm or data structure

- Example:
  - For mysort, would mergesort work better than quicksort?
- Depends upon:
  - Data
  - Hardware
  - Operating system
  - …

20

Compiler Speed Optimization

(4) Enable compiler speed optimization

```
gcc217 -Ox mysort.c -o mysort
```

- Compiler spends more time compiling your code so…
- Your code spends less time executing
- **x** can be:
  - 1: optimize
  - 2: optimize more
  - 3: optimize yet more
- See "man gcc" for details

- Beware: Speed optimization can affect debugging
  - E.g. Optimization eliminates variable => GDB cannot print value of variable

21

Tune the Code

(5) Tune the code

- Some common techniques
  - **Factor** computation out of loops
    - Example:

```
for (i = 0; i < strlen(s); i++) {
   /* Do something with s[i] */
}
```

    - Faster:

```
length = strlen(s);
for (i = 0; i < length; i++) {
   /* Do something with s[i] */
}
```


Tune the Code (cont.)

- Some common techniques (cont.)
  - **Inline** function calls
    - Example:

```
void g(void) {
   /* Some code */
}
void f(void) {
   …
   g();
   …
}
```

    - Maybe faster:

```
void f(void) {
   …
   /* Some code */
   …
}
```

    - Beware: Can introduce redundant/cloned code
    - Some compilers support `inline` keyword

Tune the Code (cont.)

- Some common techniques (cont.)
  - **Unroll** loops
    - Example:

```
for (i = 0; i < 6; i++)
   a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
```

    - Maybe faster:

```
for (i = 0; i < 6; i += 2) {
   a[i+0] = b[i+0] + c[i+0];
   a[i+1] = b[i+1] + c[i+1];
}
```

    - Maybe even faster:

```
a[i+0] = b[i+0] + c[i+0];
a[i+1] = b[i+1] + c[i+1];
a[i+2] = b[i+2] + c[i+2];
a[i+3] = b[i+3] + c[i+3];
a[i+4] = b[i+4] + c[i+4];
a[i+5] = b[i+5] + c[i+5];
```

    - Some compilers provide option, e.g. `–funroll-loops`

Tune the Code (cont.)

- Some common techniques (cont.):
  - Rewrite in a lower-level language
    - Write key functions in **assembly language** instead of C
      - Use registers instead of memory
      - Use instructions (e.g. **adc**) that compiler doesn't know
    - Beware: Modern optimizing compilers generate fast code
      - Hand-written assembly language code could be *slower* than compiler-generated code, especially when compiled with speed optimization

25

Execution Efficiency Summary

- Steps to improve execution (time) efficiency:
  (1) Do timing studies
  (2) Identify hot spots
  (3) Use a better algorithm or data structure
  (4) Enable compiler speed optimization
  (5) Tune the code

26

Improving Memory Efficiency

- These days, memory is cheap, so…
- Memory (space) efficiency typically is less important than execution (time) efficiency
- Techniques to improve memory (space) efficiency…

27

Improving Memory Efficiency

(1) Use a smaller data type
- E.g. `short` instead of `int`

(2) Compute instead of storing
- E.g. To determine linked list length, traverse nodes instead of storing node count

(3) Enable compiler ***size*** optimization

```
gcc217 -Os mysort.c –o mysort
```

28

Summary

- Steps to improve execution (time) efficiency:
  - (1) Do timing studies
  - (2) Identify hot spots *
  - (3) Use a better algorithm or data structure
  - (4) Enable compiler speed optimization
  - (5) Tune the code

  * Use GPROF
- Techniques to improve memory (space) efficiency:
  - (1) Use a smaller data type
  - (2) Compute instead of storing
  - (3) Enable compiler size optimization
- And, most importantly…

29

Summary (cont.)

Clarity supersedes performance

Don't improve performance unless you must!!!

30