



### Goals of this Lecture • Learn to write code that works with multiple: • Hardware platforms • Operating systems • Compilers • Human cultures • Why?

- Moving existing code to a new context is easier/cheaper than writing new code for the new context
- Code that is portable is (by definition) easier to move; portability reduces software costs
- Relative to other high-level languages (e.g., Java), C is notoriously non-portable

# The Real World is Heterogeneous

- Multiple kinds of hardware
  - 32-bit Intel Architecture
  - 64-bit IA, PowerPC, Sparc, MIPS, Arms, ...
- Multiple operating systems
  - Linux
  - Windows, Mac, Sun, AIX, ...
- Multiple character sets
  - ASCII
  - Latin-1, Unicode, ...
- Multiple human alphabets and languages

#### Portability

Goal: Run program on any system
 No modifications to source code required
 Program continues to perform correctly
 Ideally, the program performs well too

#### C is Notoriously Non-Portable



- Recall C design goals...
- Create Unix operating system and associated software
- Reasonably "high level", but...
- Close to the hardware for efficiency
- So C90 is underspecified
- Compiler designer has freedom to reflect the design of the underlying hardware
- But hardware systems differ!
- So C compilers differ
- Extra care is required to write portable C code

# Structure of This Talk • General heuristics • Heuristics for handling differences • Hardware • OS • Compiler • Library • Cultural • General themes • Be aware of your assumptions • Avoid being too clever

## **General Heuristics**

Some general portability heuristics...

# Intersection

- (1) Program to the intersection
  Use only features that are common to all target environments
  - I.e., program to the *intersection* of features, not the *union*

• When that's not possible...

# Encapsulation

# 

#### (2) Encapsulate

- Localize and encapsulate features that are not in the intersection
- Use parallel *source code* files -- so non-intersection code can be chosen at *link-time*
- Use parallel *data* files so non-intersection data (e.g. textual messages) can be chosen at *run-time*
- When that's not possible, as a last resort...









#### **Natural Word Size**

- Obstacle: Natural word size
- In some systems, natural word size is 4 bytes
  In some (esp. older) systems, natural word size is 2
- bytes
- In some (esp. newer) systems, natural word size is 8 bytes

1

 C90 intentionally does not specify sizeof(int); depends upon natural word size of underlying hardware





























#### **OS Differences**

• Some **operating system** differences, and corresponding portability heuristics...

1



























## **Compiler Differences**

Compilers may differ because they:
 Implement underspecified features of the C90 standard in different ways, or

- Extend the C90 standard
- Some **compiler** differences, and corresponding portability heuristics...







### **Evaluation Order**



- Obstacle: Evaluation order
  - C90 specifies that side effects and function calls must be completed at ";"
- But multiple side effects within the same expression can have unpredictable results









### **Char Signedness**



- Obstacle: Char signedness
- C90 does not specify signedness of char
- On some systems, char means signed char
  On other systems, char means unsigned char









## Library Differences

• Some **library** differences, and corresponding portability heuristics...







#### **Cultural Differences**

·Some cultural differences, and corresponding portability heuristics...

# **Character Code Size**

# -

- Obstacle: Character code size
  - United States
    - Alphabet requires 7 bits => 1 byte per character
      Popular character code: ASCII

  - Western Europe
    - Alphabets require 8 bits => 1 byte per character
  - Popular character code: Latin-1
  - · China, Japan, Korea, etc.
  - Alphabets require 16 bits => 2 bytes per character • Popular character code: Unicode







• Obstacle: Humans speak different natural languages!

-







Human Language (cont.)		
Maybe even better: encapsulate <i>data</i>		
	<pre>/* messages.h */ char *getMsg(int msgNum);</pre>	
Messages moduls	<pre>/* messages.c */ enum (MSG_COUNT = 100); char *getMsG_int msgNum) {    static char *msg(MSG_COUNT);    static int firstCall = 1;    if (firstCall) {       <red all="" appropriate="" file="" from="" into="" messages="" messages.txt="" msg=""></red></pre>	/* englishmessages.txt */ 
	<pre>firstCall = 0; } return msg[msgNum]; }</pre>	···
Choose appropriate "message.txt" file at <i>run-time</i>		



## Summary

- General heuristics
- (1) Program to the intersection
- (2) Encapsulate
- (3) Use conditional compilation (as a last resort)
- (4) Test!!!

# Summary (cont.)

- Heuristics related to hardware differences
   (5) Don't assume data type sizes
  - (6) Don't right-shift signed ints
  - (7) Don't rely on byte order in code
  - (8) Use text for data exchange
  - (9) Write and read 1 byte at a time
- Heuristics related to **OS** differences
   (10) Use binary mode for textual data exchange
   (11) Don't rely on data alignment
   (12) Don't assume ASCII

51

**(** 

# Summary (cont.)

- Heuristics related to compiler differences
   (13) Stick to the standard language
   (14) Don't assume evaluation order
   (15) Don't assume signedness of char
- Heuristic related to **library** differences (16) Stick to the standard library
- Heuristics related to **cultural** differences
   (17) Don't assume 1-byte char code size
   (18) Don't assume English