FORMAL METHODS IN NETWORKING COMPUTER SCIENCE 598D, SPRING 2010 PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

LIGHTWEIGHT MODELING IN PROMELA/SPIN AND ALLOY

Pamela Zave

AT&T Laboratories—Research

Florham Park, New Jersey, USA

LIGHTWEIGHT MODELING

DEFINITION

- constructing a very abstract model of the core concepts of a system
- using a "push-button" analysis tool to explore its properties

"analysis" is more general than "verification"

WHY IS IT "LIGHTWEIGHT"?

- because the model is very abstract in comparison to a real implementation, and focuses only on core concepts, it is small and can be constructed quickly
- because the analysis tool is "pushbutton", it yields results with little effort

in contrast, theorem proving is not "push-button"

WHAT IS ITS VALUE?

 it is a design tool that reveals conceptual errors early

> decades of research on software engineering proves that the cost of fixing a bug rises exponentially with the delay in its discovery

- it is a documentation tool that provides complete, consistent, and unambiguous information to implementors and users
- it is easy (at least to get started) and fun!

"If you like surprises, you will love lightweight modeling." —Pamela Zave

Read introduction to *Software Abstractions* for Daniel Jackson's view.

WHY IS LIGHTWEIGHT MODELING EASY, SURPRISING?

EASY + SURPRISING = FUN

PROGRAMMING:

- 1 write a program
- 2 think of a test case
- 3 run the program on the test that you thought of

LIGHTWEIGHT MODELING

- 1 write a model (no bigger than a small program)
- 2 push the "analyze" button
- 3 get results from *all possible executions* in a particular category, including "tests" you would *never* have thought of!

HOW MODEL CHECKERS DO IT



the result is an explicit, finite reachability graph representing all possible states, state transitions, and executions (finite or infinite paths through the graph)

WHAT IS THE HIDDEN CHALLENGE?

It is so easy to write a model, ask the analyzer a question, get an answer . . .

... but not so easy to know what any of these means in the real world.

STATEMENTS IN MODEL

- knowledge: description of the environment in which the system will operate (fact or assumption)
- specification: an implementable description of how the hardware/software system should behave
- requirement: a description of how the environment should behave when the system is implemented and deployed
- sanity check: intended to be redundant

NONDETERMINISM IN MODEL



ANALYSIS QUESTIONS

- Is the model consistent (can be realized) ?
- Does the model mean what I think it means ("validation") ?

sanity checks help

Is the model correct ("verification") ?



Read "Deriving specifications from requirements: An example" for an example with all the parts.

SPIN AND PROMELA

SPIN IS A MODEL CHECKER

- originated in the 1980's at Bell Labs
- freely available and actively maintained
- well-engineered and mature
- large user base, in both academia and industry
- used in mission-critical and safetycritical software development
- Spin user workshops have been held annually since 1995

Read CalTech lecture for Holzmann's introduction to model checking.

PROMELA IS ITS MODELING LANGUAGE

- unlike most mature model checkers, Spin is intended for software verification, not hardware verification
- Promela" derived from "protocol modeling language"
- Promela resembles a primitive programming language
- it has built-in message queues for inter-process communication

Spin and other model checkers can also be used for verification of implementations, although that is not the focus here mtype = { invite, accept, reject } chan left = [3] of {mtype}; chan right = [3] of {mtype}; proctype caller (chan in, out) { out!invite: inviting: do :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?reject; goto end od: confirmed: do :: in?invite; out!accept :: out!invite; in?accept od: end: skip proctype callee (chan in, out) { in?invite; invited: do :: out!accept; goto confirmed :: out!reject; goto end od; confirmed: do :: in?invite; out!accept :: out!invite; in?accept od: end: skip init { atomic { run caller(left,right); run callee(right,left) } }

SIP VERSION 1

left (bounded, FIFO)



do statement executes zero or more guarded commands

a guarded command can be executed only if its guard is true/executable

chan?mtype reads a message of type *mtype* from *chan* executable iff. *chan* is not empty and its first message is of type *mtype*

chan!mtype writes a message of type *mtype* to *chan* executable iff. *chan* is not full and holds messages of type *mtype*

nondeterminism models:

environment choice concurrency



FIXES DEADLOCK DISCOVERED IN VERSION 1

mtype = { invite, accept, reject, race }

proctype caller (chan in, out) { proctype callee (chan in, out) { out!invite; in?invite; invited: inviting: do do :: out!accept; goto confirmed :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: out!reject; goto end :: in?reject; goto end od: od; confirmed: do confirmed: do :: in?invite; out!accept :: in?invite; out!accept :: out!invite; goto relnviting :: out!invite; goto reinviting od: od: relnviting: do relnviting: do :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?race; goto confirmed :: in?race; goto confirmed :: in?invite; out!race :: in?invite; out!race od: od: end: end: skip skip

ADDS BYE AND ITS ACK TO END DIALOG

mtype = { invite, accept, reject, race, bye, byeAck }

proctype caller (chan in, out) {	proctype	callee (chan in, out) {
out!invite;		in?invite;
inviting: do	invited:	do
:: in?accept; goto confirmed		:: out!accept; goto confirmed
:: in?reject; goto end		:: out!reject; goto end
od;		od;
confirmed: do	confirmed: do	
:: in?invite; out!accept		:: in?invite; out!accept
:: in?bye; out!byeAck; goto end		:: in?bye; out!byeAck; goto end
:: out!invite; goto relnviting		:: out!invite; goto relnviting
:: out!bye; goto end		:: out!bye; goto end
od;		od;
relnviting: do	relnviting	g: do
:: in?accept; goto confirmed	•	:: in?accept; goto confirmed
:: in?race; goto confirmed		:: in?race; goto confirmed
:: in?invite; out!race		:: in?invite; out!race
od;		od;
end: skip	end:	skip
}	}	-



FIXES BLOCKAGE IN VERSION 3

proctype callee (chan in, out) { proctype caller (chan in, out) { in?invite; out!invite; invited: do inviting: do :: out!accept; goto confirmed :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: out!reject; goto end :: in?reject; goto end od; od: confirmed: do confirmed: do :: in?invite; out!accept :: in?invite; out!accept :: in?bye; out!byeAck; :: in?bye; out!byeAck; goto end goto end :: out!invite; goto relnviting :: out!invite; goto relnviting :: out!bye; goto end :: out!bye; goto end od; od: relnviting: do relnviting: do :: in?invite; out!race :: in?invite; out!race :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?race; goto confirmed :: in?race; goto confirmed :: in?bye; out!byeAck; :: in?bye; out!byeAck; goto end goto end od: od; skip end: skip end: }



GUARANTEES THAT BOTH PROCESSES ARE INPUT-ENABLED

proctype	caller (chan in, out) {	in every st	ate a response to	
inviting:	iting: do :: in?invite; assert(false) :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?reject; goto end :: in?race; assert(false)		relnviting: do	
confirmed	<pre>:: in?bye; assert(false) :: in?byeAck; assert(false) od; d: do :: in?invite; out!accept :: in?accept; assert(false) :: in?reject; assert(false) :: in?race; assert(false) :: in?bye; out!byeAck; goto end :: in?byeAck; assert(false) :: out!invite; goto reInviting :: out!bye; goto Byeing od; assertions tell us which messages can really arrive</pre>	Byeing: end: }	<pre>:: in?invite; out!race :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?reject; assert(false) :: in?race; goto confirmed :: in?bye; out!byeAck; goto end :: in?byeAck; assert(false) od; do :: in?invite :: in?accept; assert(false) :: in?reject; assert(false) :: in?race; assert(false) :: in?bye; out!byeAck :: in?byeAck; goto end od; skip</pre>	

LOOKS BETTER WHEN UNREACHABLE CODE REMOVED

proctype caller (chan in, out) { proctype callee (chan in, out) { out!invite; in?invite; inviting: invited: do do :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: out!accept; goto confirmed :: in?reject; goto end :: out!reject; goto end od; od; confirmed: do confirmed: do :: in?invite; out!accept :: in?invite; out!accept :: in?bye; out!byeAck; :: in?bye; out!byeAck; qoto end goto end :: out!invite; goto relnviting :: out!invite; goto relnviting :: out!bye; goto Byeing :: out!bye; goto Byeing od; od; relnviting: do relnviting: do :: in?invite; out!race :: in?invite; out!race :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?accept; goto confirmed :: in?race; goto confirmed :: in?race; goto confirmed :: in?bye; out!byeAck; :: in?bye; out!byeAck; goto end goto end od; od; **Byeing:** do Byeing: do :: in?invite :: in?invite :: in?bye; out!byeAck :: in?bye; out!byeAck :: in?byeAck; goto end :: in?byeAck; goto end od; od; skip skip end: end: