COS 433 — Cryptography — Homework 2.

Boaz Barak

Total of 120 points. Due February 17th, 2010.

Exercise 1 (20 points). Prove that if (E, D) is a computationally secure encryption with $\ell(n)$ -long messages then for every polynomial-time algorithm Eve and large enough n, the probability that Eve wins in the following game is smaller than 0.34:

- 1. Eve gets as input 1^n , and gives Alice three strings $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$.
- 2. Alice chooses a random key $k \leftarrow_{\mathbb{R}} \{0,1\}^n$ and $i \leftarrow_{\mathbb{R}} \{0,1,2\}$ and computes $y = \mathsf{E}_k(x_i)$.
- 3. Eve gets y as input, and outputs an index $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.
- 4. Eve wins if j = i.

Note: This proof can be generalized to show that the probability Eve guesses which one of c messages was encrypted is at most $1/c + \mu(n)$ where μ is a negligible function (see also Exercise 6). It can also be shown that computational security implies many other reasonable conditions of security. For example, the KL book shows that if a message x is chosen at random, then the probability that a polynomial-time adversary can compute the i^{th} bit of x from an encryption of x is at most $1/2 + \mu(n)$ for a negligible μ (of course, she can always compute that bit with probability half by randomly guessing). This can also be generalized to show that for example that the probability that an adversary guesses the first c bits of x from an encryption of x is at most $2^{-c} + \mu(n)$ for a negligible μ .

Exercise 2 (20 points). For each of the following statements decide whether it's true or false, and prove it or give a counterexample: (you can use the conjecture made in class as an "axiom" for your proofs or counterexamples)

- 1. If (E, D) is a perfectly secure encryption then it is also computationally secure.
- 2. If (E, D) is a computationally secure encryption then it is also perfectly secure.
- 3. If (E, D) is a computationally secure encryption with n-sized key and $\ell(n)$ -sized messages then the following encryption scheme (E', D') with n-sized key and $2\ell(n)$ -sized messages is also computationally secure: To encrypt the string $x = x_1 \dots x_{2\ell(n)}$ with key $k \in \{0,1\}^n$, $\mathsf{E}'_k(x) = \mathsf{E}_k(x_1 \dots x_{\ell(n)}) \circ \mathsf{E}_k(x_{\ell(n)+1} \dots x_{2\ell(n)})$, where \circ denotes string concatenation. (Decryption is done in the obvious way.)
- 4. If (E, D) is a computationally secure encryption with n-sized key and $\ell(n)$ -sized messages then the following encryption scheme (E', D') with 2n-sized key and $2\ell(n)$ -sized messages is also computationally secure: To encrypt the string $x = x_1 \dots x_{2\ell(n)}$ with key $k \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}$, $E'_k(x) = E_{k_1 \dots k_{\ell(n)}}(x_1 \dots x_{\ell(n)}) \circ E_{k_{\ell(n)+1} \dots k_{\ell(n)}}(x_{\ell(n)+1} \dots x_{2\ell(n)})$, where \circ denotes string concatenation. (Decryption is done in the obvious way.)

Recall that we defined the *statistical distance* between two distributions X and Y over say $\{0,1\}^n$ to be

$$\Delta(X,Y) = \max_{f:\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}} |\Pr[f(X) = 1] - \Pr[f(Y) = 1]|$$

and the T-computational distance to be

$$\Delta_T(X,Y) = \max_{\substack{f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}\\ f \text{ computable by circuit of size } \leq T}} |\Pr[f(X) = 1] - \Pr[f(Y) = 1]|$$

We'll say that two sequences $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{Y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of distributions are computationally indistinguishable, denoted by $\{X_n\} \approx \{Y_n\}$, if there is some super-polynomial function $T: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and negligible function $\epsilon: \mathbb{N} \to [0,1]$ such that $\Delta_{T(n)}(X_n,Y_n) \leq \epsilon(n)$ for every n.

Exercise 3 (20 points). We call a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of distributions *pseudorandom* if it's computationally indistinguishable from the sequence $\{U_n\}$ where U_n is the uniform distribution over $\{0,1\}^n$. Are the following sequences pseudorandom? prove or refute.

- 1. $\{X_n\}$ where X_n be the following distribution: we pick x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} uniformly at random in $\{0,1\}^{n-1}$, and let x_n be the parity (i.e. XOR) of x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} , we output x_1, \ldots, x_n .
- 2. $\{Z_n\}$ where for n large enough, with probability $2^{-n/10}$ we output an n bit string encoding the text ''This is not a pseudorandom distribution'' (say encode in ASCII and pad with zeros), and with probability $1 2^{-n/10}$ pick a random string. For n that is not large enough to encode the text, Z_n always outputs the all zeroes string.

Exercise 4 (20 points). Prove the following properties of computational indistinguishability:

- 1. It's weaker than statistical indistinguishability: if for every n, $\Delta(X_n, Y_n) \leq \epsilon(n)$ for some negligible function $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ (i.e., $\epsilon(n) = n^{-\omega(1)}$) then $\{X_n\} \approx \{Y_n\}$. (Recall that Δ denotes statistical distance.)
- 2. If $\{X_n\} \approx \{Y_n\}$ and $f: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ is a function computable in polynomial time, then $\{f(X_n)\} \approx \{f(Y_n)\}.$
- **Exercise 5** (20 points). 1. Let X, Y, X', Y' be four distributions over $\{0, 1\}^n$ such that $\Delta(X, Y) \le \epsilon$ and $\Delta(X', Y') \le \epsilon$. Prove that $\Delta(X \circ X', Y \circ Y') \le 10\epsilon$, $X \circ X'$ denotes the distribution obtained by concatenating two independent samples from X and X', and $Y \circ Y'$ is defined analogously. See footnote for hint¹
 - 2. Let $\{X_n\}, \{X_n'\}, \{Y_n\}, \{Y_n'\}$ be four sequences of distributions such that $\{X_n\} \approx \{Y_n\}$ and $\{X_n'\} \approx \{Y_n'\}$, prove that $\{X_n \circ X_n'\} \approx \{Y_n \circ Y_n'\}$. See footnote for hint²

Exercise 6 (20 points). Recall that we defined a function $\epsilon : \mathbb{N} \to [0, 1]$ to be polynomially bounded if $\epsilon(n) = n^{-O(1)}$ (equivalently, $\log(1/e(n)) = O(\log n)$) and negligible if $\epsilon(n) = n^{-\omega(1)}$ (equivalently, $\log(1/\epsilon(n)) = \omega(\log n)$). Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of probabilistic events. Prove that the following two conditions are equivalent:

• For every polynomially bounded $\epsilon: \mathbb{N} \to [0,1]$ and large enough $n, \Pr[A_n] \leq \epsilon(n)$.

¹**Hint:** Use the definition of statistical distance based on functions, and the following simple fact: if f is a function mapping $\{0,1\}^{2n}$ to $\{0,1\}$ and Z and W are two independent distributions over $\{0,1\}^n$ such that $\Pr[f(Z,W)=1] \ge p$, then there exists a fixed string Z in the support of Z such that $\Pr[f(Z,W)=1] \ge p$.

²Hint: use the fact that "hardwiring" of advice to the adversary/distinguisher is allowed.

• There exists a negligible function $\mu : \mathbb{N} \to [0,1]$ such that $\Pr[A_n] \leq \mu(n)$ for every n.

Note: This exercise may not be the most exciting, but it gives a useful result, since it means that in making various game-type definitions, instead of saying "for every constant c and large enough n, the probability that Eve wins is at most $1/2 + 1/n^c$ ", we can equivalently say "for every n, the probability that Eve wins is at most $1/2 + \epsilon(n)$ where ϵ is some negligible function"