Fundamental Questions

Duality, Universality, and Computability



Introduction to Computer Science · Sedgewick and Wayne · Copyright © 2007 · http://www.cs.Princeton.EDU/IntroCS

7.5 Turing Machines



Alan Turing (1912-1954)



- Q. Are there limits on the power of digital computers?
- Q. Are there limits on the power of machines we can build?

Pioneering work in the 1930s.

- Princeton == center of universe.
- Hilbert, Gödel, Turing, Church, von Neumann.
- Automata, languages, computability, universality, complexity, logic.







David Hilbert Kurt Gödel

Alan Turing

Alonzo Church John von Neumann

4

2

Turing Machine

Desiderata. Simple model of computation that is "as powerful" as conventional computers.

Intuition. Simulate how humans calculate.

Ex. Addition.



Turing Machine: Tape

Tape.

Tape head.

tape

- Stores input, output, and intermediate results.
- One arbitrarily long strip, divided into cells.
- Finite alphabet of symbols.



7



- Writes a symbol to active cell.
- Moves left or right one cell at a time.

1 1

tape head



►(H 5

#

Turing Machine: States

0 + 1 0 1 1 #

State. What machine remembers.

State transition diagram. Complete description of what machine will do.











State. What machine remembers. State transition diagram. Complete description of what machine will do.

L

if in state 3 and tape head is 0:

8

move tape head right

write a 1 go to state 2

Turing Machine: States

Turing Machine: Initialization and Termination

Initialization. Set input on some portion of tape; set tape head.





Termination. Stop if enter yes, no, or halt state.

infinite loop possible

Duality of Program and Data

Data. Sequence of symbols (interpreted one way). Program. Sequence of symbols (interpreted another way).

Program and data are interchangeable.

Ex 1. A compiler is a program that takes a program in one language as input and outputs a program in another language. \searrow Java

machine language

9

11

Duality of Program and Data

10

12

Data. Sequence of symbols (interpreted one way). Program. Sequence of symbols (interpreted another way).

Program and data are interchangeable.

Ex 2. Self-replication. [von Neumann 1940s]

Print the following statement twice, the second time in quotes. "Print the following statement twice, the second time in quotes."



Duality of Program and Data

Data. Sequence of symbols (interpreted one way). Program. Sequence of symbols (interpreted another way).

Program and data are interchangeable.

Ex 3. Self-replication. [Watson-Crick 1953]



self-replicating DNA

13

Duality of Program and Data

Data. Sequence of symbols (interpreted one way). Program. Sequence of symbols (interpreted another way).



Universal Machines and Technologies

14



Universal Turing Machine

Turing machine M. Given input x, Turing machine M outputs M(x).

Universal Turing machine U. Given input M and x, universal Turing machine U outputs M(x).



TM intuition. Software program that solves one particular problem. UTM intuition. Hardware platform that can implement any algorithm.

Church-Turing Thesis

Church Turing thesis (1936). Turing machines can do anything that can be described by any physically harnessable process of this universe.

Remark. "Thesis" and not a mathematical theorem because it's a statement about the physical world and not subject to proof.

but can be falsified

Implications.

- . No need to seek more powerful machines or languages.
- . Enables rigorous study of computation (in this universe).

Bottom line. Turing machine is a simple and universal model of computation.

Universal Turing Machine

Consequences. Your laptop (a UTM) can do any computational task.

. Java programming.

Pictures, music, movies, games.

- even tasks not yet contemplated when laptop was purchased
- Email, browsing, downloading files, telephony.
- Word-processing, finance, scientific computing.
- ...

Again, it [the Analytical Engine] might act upon other things besides numbers... the engine might compose elaborate and scientific pieces of music of any degree of complexity or extent. — Ada Lovelace



"universal"

19

Church-Turing Thesis: Evidence

Evidence.

- 7 decades without a counterexample.
- Many, many models of computation that turned out to be equivalent.

model of computation	description	
enhanced Turing machines	multiple heads, multiple tapes, 2D tape, nondeterminism	
untyped lambda calculus	method to define and manipulate functions	
recursive functions	functions dealing with computation on integers	
unrestricted grammars	's iterative string replacement rules used by linguists	
extended L-systems	parallel string replacement rules that model plant growth	
programming languages	Java, C, C++, Perl, Python, PHP, Lisp, PostScript, Excel	
random access machines	dom access machines registers plus main memory, e.g., TOY, Pentium	
cellular automata	cells which change state based on local interactions	
quantum computer	compute using superposition of quantum states	
DNA computer	compute using biological operations on DNA	

Halting Problem

7.7 Computability

Halting problem. Write a Java function that reads in a Java function f and its input x, and decides whether f(x) results in an infinite loop.

/ relates to famous open math conjecture

Ex. Does f(x) terminate?



. f(6): 6 3 10 5 16 8 4 2 1

- f(27): 27 82 41 124 62 31 94 47 142 71 214 107 322 ... 4 2 1
- f(-17): -17 -50 -25 -74 -37 -110 -55 -164 -82 -41 -122 ... -17 ...

Undecidable Problem

A yes-no problem is undecidable if no Turing machine exists to solve it.

and (by universality) no Java program either

Theorem. [Turing 1937] The halting problem is undecidable.

Proof intuition: lying paradox.

- Divide all statements into two categories: truths and lies.
- . How do we classify the statement: I am lying.

Key element of lying paradox and halting proof: self-reference.

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt(f,x):

- Input: a function f and its input x.
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.
- Note: halt(f,x) does not go into infinite loop.

We prove by contradiction that halt(f,x) does not exist.

 Reductio ad absurdum: if any logical argument based on an assumption leads to an absurd statement, then assumption is false.



hypothetical halting function

24

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt(f,x):

- Input: a function f and its input x.
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.

Construct function strange(f) as follows:

- If halt(f, f) returns true, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop.
- If halt(f, f) returns false, then strange(f) halts.

f is a string so legal (if perverse) to use for second input

<pre>public void strange(String f) if (halt(f, f)) {</pre>	{
<pre>// an infinite loop while (true) { }</pre>	
}	

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt(f,x):

- Input: a function ${\tt f}$ and its input ${\tt x}.$
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.

Construct function strange(f) as follows:

- If halt(f, f) returns true, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop.
- If halt(f, f) returns false, then strange(f) halts.

In other words:

28

32

- If f(f) halts, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop.
- If f(f) does not halt, then strange(f) halts.

Call strange() with ITSELF as input.

- If strange(strange) halts then strange(strange) does not halt.
- If strange(strange) does not halt then strange(strange) halts.

Either way, a contradiction. Hence halt (f, x) cannot exist.

Consequences

- Q. Why is debugging hard?
- A. All problems below are undecidable.

Halting problem. Give a function f, does it halt on a given input x? Totality problem. Give a function f, does it halt on every input x? No input halting problem. Give a function f with no input, does it halt? Program equivalence. Do two functions f and always return same value? Uninitialized variables. Is the variable x initialized before it's used? Dead code elimination. Does this statement ever get executed?

More Undecidable Problems

Hilbert's 10th problem.



Devise a process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations whether a given multivariate polynomial has an integral root.

- $f(x, y, z) = 6x^3 y z^2 + 3xy^2 x^3 10.$ yes
- $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 3.$
- -10. yes : f(5, 3, 0) = 0.no.

Definite integration. Given a rational function f(x) composed of polynomial and trig functions, does $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) dx$ exist?

- $g(x) = \cos x (1 + x^2)^{-1}$ yes, $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x) dx = \pi/e$.
- $h(x) = \cos x (1 x^2)^{-1}$ no, $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x) du$ indefined.

33

More Undecidable Problems

Optimal data compression. Find the shortest program to produce a given string or picture.

Mandelbrot set (40 lines of code)

More Undecidable Problems

Virus identification. Is this program a virus?

Private Sub AutoOpen()	
On Error Resume Next	
If System.PrivateProfileString("", CURRENT_USER "Level") <> "" T	<pre>Software\Microsoft\Office\9.0\Word\Security", then</pre>
CommandBars("Macro").Controls("Security").En	abled = False
	N
For oo = 1 To AddyBook.AddressEntries.Count	Can write programs in MS Word.
<pre>Peep = AddyBook.AddressEntries(x)</pre>	This statement disables security
BreakUmOffASlice.Recipients.Add Peep	
$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + 1$	
If x > 50 Then oo = AddyBook.AddressEntries.	Count
Next oo	
BreakUmOffASlice.Subject = "Important Message F	'rom " & Application.UserName
BreakUmOffASlice.Body = "Here is that document	you asked for don't show anyone else ;-)"

Melissa virus March 28, 1999

Turing's Key Ideas

Context: Mathematics and Logic

Mathematics. Formal system powerful enough to express arithmetic.

Principia Mathematics Peano arithmetic Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory

Complete. Can prove truth or falsity of any arithmetic statement. Consistent. Can't prove contradictions like 2 + 2 = 5. Decidable. Algorithm exists to determine truth of every statement.

Q. [Hilbert] Is mathematics complete and consistent?

A. [Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem, 1931] No!!!

Q. [Hilbert's Entscheidungsproblem] Is mathematics decidable?

A. [Church 1936, Turing 1936] No!



Hailed as one of top 10 science papers of 20th century.

Reference: On Computable Numbers, With an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem by A. M. Turing. In Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, ser. 2. vol. 42 (1936-7), pp.230-265.

34