## Note on the averaging and hybrid arguments and prediction vs. distinguishing.

## March 30, 2006

Averaging argument Let f be some function. The averaging argument is the following claim: if we have a circuit C such that C(x, y) = f(x) with probability at least  $\rho$  where x is chosen at random and y is chosen independently from some distribution Y over  $\{0, 1\}^m$  (which might not even be efficiently sampleable) then there exists a single string  $y_0 \in \{0, 1\}^m$  such that  $\Pr_x[C(x, y_0) = f(x)] \ge \rho$ .

Indeed, for every y define  $p_y$  to be  $\Pr_x[C(x, y) = f(x)]$  then

$$\Pr_{x,y}[C(x,y) = f(x)] = \mathbb{E}_y[p_y]$$

and then this reduces to the claim that for every random variable Z, if  $\mathbb{E}[Z] \ge \rho$  then  $\Pr[Z \ge \rho] > 0$  (this holds since  $\mathbb{E}[Z]$  is the weighted average of Z and clearly if the average of some values is at least  $\rho$  then one of the values must be at least  $\rho$ .

**Hybrid argument** The hybrid argument is the following: suppose that you have m distributions  $H_1, \ldots, H_m$  (say over  $\{0, 1\}^n$ ) and some function  $D : \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}$ . Then there exists i between 1 and m - 1 such that

$$|\Pr[D(H_i) = 1] - \Pr[D(H_{i+1}) = 1]| > \frac{|\Pr[D(H_1) = 1] - \Pr[D(H_m) = 1]|}{m}$$

As we saw in class this follows by defining  $p_i = \Pr[D(H_i) = 1]$  and noting that

$$|p_1 - p_m| = |p_1 - p_2 + p_2 \dots - p_{m-1} + p_{m-1} - p_m| \le |p_1 - p_2| + \dots + |p_{m-1} - p_m|$$

## **Prediction vs. distinguishing** Suppose that X is some distribution over $\{0,1\}^n$ and $D: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ such that $\Pr[D(X) = 1] - \Pr[D(U_n) = 1] \ge \epsilon$ then there exists P of comparable effi-

ciency to D and i between 0 and n-1 such that

$$\Pr[P(X_{[1,i]}) = x_{i+1}] \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

To prove this we define  $H_i$  to be the distribution where the first *i* bits are chosen from X and the rest are chosen uniformly (denote  $H_i = X_{[1,i]}U_{n-i}$ ), and by the hybrid argument ther's an *i* such that

$$\Pr[D(X_{[1,i+1]}U_{n-i-1}) = 1] - \Pr[D(X_{[1,i]}U_{n-i}) = 1] \ge \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

(we can get rid of the absolute value by possibly negating D).

By the averaging argument there exists a fixing  $y_0$  of the last n - i - 1 bits of the uniform distribution such that

$$\Pr[D(X_{[1,i+1]}y_0) = 1] - \Pr[D(X_{[1,i]}U_1y_0) = 1] \ge \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

Our algorithm to compute  $x_{i+1}$  from  $x_{[1,i]}$  will be the following: guess b at random from  $\{0, 1\}$ and run  $D(x_{[1,i]}by_0)$  if the result is 1 then output b and otherwise output the complement of  $b, \overline{b}$ .

Define now p to be  $\Pr[D(X_{[1,i+1]}y_0) = 1]$ ,  $r = \Pr[D(X_{[1,i]}U_1y_0) = 1]$  (and so r ) and define <math>q to be  $\Pr[D(X_{[1,i]}\overline{X_{i+1}}y_0) = 1]$  (that is, the  $i + 1^{th}$  bit of X is flipped). Since a uniform bit will equal to  $X_i$  with probability half and  $\overline{X_i}$  with probability half, we have that

$$r = \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}q$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}q \le p - \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

or

$$q \le p - \frac{2\epsilon}{n}$$

If  $b = x_{i+1}$  our algorithm will answer the right answer if  $D(x_{[1,i]}by_0) = 1$  and if  $b = \overline{x_{i+1}}$  then our algorithm will provide the right answer if  $D(x_{[1,i]}by_0) \neq 1$  and so the overall probability that we answer the right answer is

$$\frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}(1-q) \ge \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{1}{2}(1-p + \frac{2\epsilon}{n}) \ge \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$