## CS 226 Lecture I: Introduction

## Analysis of algorithms

## Why study algorithms?

Using a computer?

- want it to go faster
- want it to process more data
- want to do something that would otherwise be impossible
Technology improves things by a constant factor
...but might be costly
Good algorithm design can do much better
...and might be cheap
supercomputer cannot rescue a bad algorithm
Algorithms as a field of study
- old enough that basics are known
- new enough that new discoveries arise
- burgeoning application areas
- philosophical implications
- want it to go faster
- want it to process more data
- want to do something that would otherwise be impossible

Technology improves things by a constant factor
...but might be costly
Good algorithm design can do much better
...and might be cheap

Supercomputer cannot rescue a bad algorithm

Algorithms as a field of study

- old enough that basics are known
- new enough that new discoveries arise
- philosophical implications

```
Compare algorithms by comparing estimated costs
N: size of the input
Typical running times (within constant factor)
    - I
    - log N
    -N
    -N log}
    - N^2
    -2^N
Worst Case (guarantee)
Average Case (prediction)
Other functions sometimes arise
    -sqrt N
    - loglog N [log(log N)]
    - log* N number of logs until I reached

\section*{Sample problem: Online connectivity}
```

Input:

- sequence of pairs of integers $(p, q)$
- $p$ "is connected to" $q$
Output:
- nothing if $p$ and $q$ are already connected
- ( $p, q$ ) otherwise
Assume "is connected to" is commutative and transitive
- if $p$ is connected to $q$ then $q$ is connected to $p$
- if (also) $q$ is connected to $r$ then $p$ is connected to $r$
Output lists previously unknown connections
Example of application
- integers represent computers
- pairs represent network connections
- can $p$ and $q$ communicate through network? 1.4

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline in & out & evidence \\
\hline 34 & 34 & \\
\hline 49 & 49 & \\
\hline & 80 & \\
\hline & 23 & \\
\hline & 56 & \\
\hline & & (2--3--4--9) \\
\hline 59 & 59 & \\
\hline & 73 & \\
\hline & 48 & \\
\hline 56 & & (5--6) \\
\hline & & (2--3--4--8--0) \\
\hline & 61 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Disconnected piece may be hard to spot
...particularly for a computer!
Number of nodes and edges can be huge
    - Internet
    - computer chip
Need to design data structure and algorithms
Data structure to record connectivity information
Algorithm to use it to test connectivity (FIND)
Algorithm to update data structure (UNION)

\section*{Network connectivity example}


\section*{Quick-find algorithm}

Maintain array with names for components
- if \(i\) and \(j\) are connected,
- id[i] and id[j] are the same

To maintain this property for \(p-q\) connection
- ignore if id[p] = id[q]
- change all entries with \(p\) 's id to \(q\) 's id

QUICK-FIND name due to constant-time test to find out if edge makes a new connection SLOW-UNION?
```

3-4

```

```

4-9

```

```

8-0
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```

```

5-6

```

```

5-9
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7-3
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4-8
01000 0 0 0 0 0 0
6-1
1

```
main(int argc, char *argv[])
{ int i, p, q, t, N = atoi(argv[1]);
    int *id = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
    for (i = 0; i < N; i++) id[i] = i;
    while (scanf("%d %d\n", &p, &q) != EOF)
        {
            if (id[p] == id[q]) continue;
            t = id[p];
            for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
            if (id[i] == t) id[i] = id[q];
        printf(" %d %d\n", p, q);
    }
}
```


## Quick-find example

```
Rough standard for 2000
    - 10^9 operations per second
    - 10^9 words of memory
    - touch each word in approximately I second
            (roughly unchanged since at least 1950)
Ex: huge problem for quick-find
    - 10^10 edges connecting 10^9 nodes
            (edges need not fit in memory)
    - Quick-find might take 10^20 operations
            (relabel each node (10 ops) for each edge)
    -3000 years of computer time (too much)
```

Quick estimate of running time

- number of edges and nodes both $O(N)$
- running time of quick-find $O\left(N \not \wedge_{2}\right)$
$(10 N) \wedge_{2} / 10=10 N^{\prime}$


## Gap grows as scale increases

new computer may be 10 times faster
...but has 10 times as much memory
so (with quadratic algorithm)
...takes 10 times as long to finish!

## Quick-union algorithm

Maintain array with names for components

- if $i$ and $j$ are connected,
- (id[i])* and (id[j])* are the same
- where (id[i])* = id[id[id[...id[i]]]]
(go until it doesn't change)

To maintain this property for $p-q$ connection

- ignore if (id[p])* = (id[q])*
- set id[i] to $j$

QUICK-UNION: constant-time for new connection SLOW-FIND?

## Quick-union implementation

```
main(int argc, char *argv[])
{ int i, j, p, q, t, N = atoi(argv[1])
    int *id = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
    for (i = 0; i < N; i++) id[i] = i;
    while (scanf("%d %d\n", &p, &q) != EOF)
        {
            i = p; j = q;
            while (i != id[i]) i = id[i];
            while (j != id[j]) j = id[j];
            if (i == j) continue;
            id[i] = j;
        }
```

\}


## Weighted quick-union algorithm

Quick-find defect:

- UNION could be too expensive
- trees are flat, but too hard to keep them flat Quick-union defect:
- FIND could be too expensive
- trees could get tall

Modify quick-union to avoid tall trees

- keep track of size of each component
- balance by linking small one below large one

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) id[i] = i;
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) sz[i] = 1;
while (scanf("%d %d\n", &p, &q) != EOF)
    {
    for (i = p; i != id[i]; i = id[i]) ;
    for (j = q; j != id[j]; j = id[j]) ;
    if (i == j) continue;
    if (sz[i] < sz[j])
        { id[i] = j; sz[j] += sz[i]; }
    else
        { id[j] = i; sz[i] += sz[j]; }
    printf(" %d %d\n", p, q);
    }
```


## Weighted quick-union example



## Weighted quick union analysis

## Is performance improved?

To answer this question, need to:

- run empirical studies
- analyze the algorithm


## Good news:

- Worst case is $O(\lg N)$ steps per edge


## Better news:

- Average case is $O(1)$ steps per edge

Ex: huge practical problem

- 10^10 edges connecting $10^{\wedge} 9$ nodes
- reduces time from 3000 years to 1 minute


## Supercomputer wouldn't help much

Good algorithm makes solution possible

## Path compression for weighted quick-union

## Stop at guaranteed acceptable performance?

 ...not hard to improve alg furtherModify weighted quick-union to compress tree

- make every node hit point to the new root


## No reason not to!

In practice, keeps trees almost completely flat same effect as quick-find, without the work

## Path compression implementation

```
for (i = O; i < N; i++) id[i] = i;
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) sz[i] = 1;
while (scanf("%d %d\n", &p, &q) != EOF)
{
    for (i = p; i != id[i]; i = id[i]) ;
    for (j = q; j != id[j]; j = id[j]) ;
    if (i == j) continue;
    if (sz[i] < sz[j])
            { id[i] = j; sz[j] += sz[i]; t = j; }
    else
        { id[j] = i; sz[i] += sz[j]; t = i; }
    for (i = p; i != id[i]; i = id[i])
        id[i] = t;
    for (j = q; j != id[j]; j = id[j])
        id[j] = t;
    printf(" %d %d\n", p, q);
}
```

THM: Worst-case tree height is $O(1 g * N)$ Proof: Extremely difficult ...but the *algorithm* is still simple!

Note: lg* $N$ is constant in this world

| . | N | $\mathrm{lg} * \mathrm{~N}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| . | 2 | 1 |
| . | 4 | 2 |
| . | 16 | 3 |
| . | 65536 | 4 |
| . any practical value | 5 |  |

## OPTIMAL algorithm

- cost within a constant factor of cost of gathering data theory: QFWPC is not optimal practice: it is (in the real world)
Worst-case cost per edge is proportional to

| quick-find | N |
| :--- | :---: |
| quick-union | N |
| weighted | $\operatorname{lg~N}$ |
| path compression | 5 |

Online algorithm: can solve problem while collecting the data, for "free"

## Set-merging abstraction

- FIND: is $A$ in the same set as $B$ ?
- UNION: merge A's set and B's set


## Lessons

A "trivial" algorithm can be useful
...and nontrivial to study

- start with simple algorithm
- don't use simple algorithm for large problems
- can't use simple algorithm for huge problems
- higher level of abstraction (tree) is helpful
- fast performance on real data OK, but
- strive for worst-case performance guarantees
- identify fundamental abstraction
- Elementary algorithms, Shellsort
- Quicksort
- Mergesort
- Priority queues
- Radix sorts

Sort an array that fills memory
Make the union of $M$ spelling dictionaries
Priority queue ADT

## SEARCHING

- Tree searching
- Hashing
- Trie scarching

Oxford English Dictionary
Internet search engines
DNA subsequence library Dictionary ADT for other algorithms

- String scarching
- Pattern matching
- File compression
file systems, audio and video


## OTHER TOPICS

- Mathematical algorithms
- Dynamic programming
- Parallel algorithms
- Randomized algorithms
- Intractable problems


## Text

- Algorithms, 3rd edition, in C

Parts 1-4 (126 text)
Part 5 (graph algorithms)

- Strings and Geometry sections of old book copies available after midterm


## Lecture notes

- online

Online course information on homepage

READ HANDOUT ONE
READ ONLINE INFORMATION

## COURSEWORK

## Programming Assignments

- weekly, eleven in all
- electronic submission
programs due Thursdays 11:59PM
writeups due Fridays 4:59PM
- first one due NEXT Thursday


## Problem Sets

- weckly, nine in all
- due in precept
- first one due NEXT Monday


## Exams

- closed book w/ cheat sheet
- midterm in class Wednesday before break
- final at scheduled time

