

Overview

- Most programs that we have seen treat objects as abstract data types
 - they define both state and behavior
 - the state is primary
 - the behavior describes how to manipulate the state
- Sometimes, it is useful to treat behavior as more important than state

A classic example

- Many programming languages have sort functions as part of their libraries
- It is usually useful to be able to specify a comparison function as an argument to the sort function

Another example

- Suppose we want a generic linearsearch function
- We have seen how to make the function independent of the data structure being searched
- What about making it independent of the search criterion as well?

Searching for a particular value

- The **find** function looks for the first element with a given value:
 - template<class It, class X>
 It find(It begin, It end, const X& x)
 {
 - while (begin != end && *begin != x) ++begin; return begin;
 - -----

}

• How can we generalize the search criterion?

Generalizing the search criterion

- We want something to which we can hand a sequence element and get an answer: yes or no
- It seems to make sense for that something to be a function whose input is an **X** and output is a **bool**





Function objects

- In C++, we can call any object as if it were a function, provided that the object has operator() defined
- In other words, if obj is an object, then obj (x) means obj . operator() (x)
- Of course, obj has to be of a type with operator() defined
- We call such objects function objects

In other words...

- The find_if function will accept any function or function object as its third argument
- It will call the function (or call the operator() member of the object) to test each element of the sequence

Example

• Find the first white-space character in a string:

find(s.begin(), s.end(), isspace)

A more interesting example

- Suppose that **b** and **e** are iterators that delimit a sequence, and we want to find the first element that is >10
- We might write a function bool gt10(int x) { return x > 10; } and then coll
- and then call find(b, e, gt10)
- But what if we want to find the first element that is >n?

Doing it the hard way

- int xx; bool gtxx(int x) { return x > xx; } • and then, we might say xx = n; ... find_if(b, e, gtxx) ...
- This approach is ugly!
- Why?

Why the approach is ugly

- It relies on a global variable
- To use it, you must
 - set the state explicitly (by assigning to the variable), and then
 - call the function
- In effect, the function relies on hidden state

How to clean it up
 Bind the state and the function together
 into a function object:
 class gt_n {
 public:
 gt_n(int n0): n(n0) { }
 bool operator()(int x)
 { return x > n; }
 private:
 int n;
 };

Using class gt_n

- To find the first element >10: find_if(b, e, gt_n(10))
- To find the first element >x: find_if(b, e, gt_n(x))
- In both cases, global variables are unnecessary



Nested functions

- Programming languages of the Algol and Pascal family generally allow nested functions
- C and C++ do not
- The reason has to do with ease of implementation: While a function is executing, it sees only its own local variables and all global variables

Function objects simulate nested functions

- If a function could be nested inside another, you would be able to get at the inner function's local variables, or those of the outer function(s), or global variables
- A member function can get at its local variables, or its object's members, or global variables

Generating function objects

- Our gt_n type lets us create function objects that encapsulate comparison with a particular value
- It would be tricky to do that even with nested functions (because it needs GC): bool (*gt_n(int n))(int)

bool f(int x) { return x > n; }
return f;

Two problems

- Allowing nested functions in a language potentially complicates the calling sequence for all functions
- Allowing functions to return nested functions as values causes trouble unless the language supports garbage collection
- C++ pushes the complexity into objects

How do other languages do it?

- Functional languages treat functions as first-class values:
 - $find_if(b, e, (fn x \Rightarrow x > n))$
- Pure object-oriented languages (Smalltalk, Java) don't have functions as separate entities at all

Function objects are objects

- Because function objects are objects, we can perform computations on them
- It is possible to write functions (and the C++ standard library includes some such functions) that make it unnecessary to define classes such as gt_n at all

Some sample library functions

- Template class **greater** is defined so that **greater**<**T**>() (**x**, **y**) has the same value as **x**>**y** (and similarly for **less**, **equal_to**, ...)
- If **f** is a function object, then template function **bind1st(f, x)(y)** has the same value as **f(x, y)** (and similarly for **bind2nd**)

Using greater and bind2nd

 To find the first element >n: find(b, e, bind2nd(greater<T>(), n))

Making binders work

- C++ binders are a nice example of making a high-level abstraction work in a language that wasn't designed in advance to support it
- Binders and function objects rely on a mixture of code and conventions

Function object conventions

- Every function object has a member called result_type that names the type of its result
- · In addition,
 - if it has a single argument, it has a member named argument_type
 - if it has two arguments, it has members named first_argument_type and second_argument_type

Abbreviation base classes

template<class A, class R>
struct unary_function {
 typedef A argument_type;
 typedef R result_type;
};
template<class A1, class A2, class R>
struct binary_function {
 typedef A1 first_argument_type;
 typedef A2 second_argument_type;
 typedef R result_type;
};

Definition of greater

```
template<class T> class greater:
    public binary_function<T, T, bool>: {
    public:
        bool operator()
            (const T& x, const T& y) const
        {
            return x > y;
        }
;
```

Making bind2nd work

- The result of **bind2nd(f, x)** has to include the values of **f** and **x**
- Therefore, it has to have a type that includes the types of ${\bf f}$ and ${\bf x}$
- We need an auxiliary type, which we will call **bi nder2nd**, to do the work

Definition of binder2nd

template<class 0p> class binder2nd: public unary_function< typename 0p::first_argument_type, typename 0p::result_type> { public: binder2nd(const 0p&, const typename 0p::second_argument_type&); result_type operator() (const typename 0p::first_argument_type&) const; private: 0p op; typename 0p::second_argument_type value; };

Member functions of binder2nd template<class 0p> binder2nd::binder2nd(const 0p& o, const typename 0p::second_argument_type& v): op(0), value(v) { } template<class 0p> binder2nd::result_type operator() (const typename 0p::first_argument_type& arg) const { return op(arg, value); }

Definition of bind2nd

template<class Op, class T> binder2nd<Op> bind2nd(const Op& op, const T& t) {

return binder2nd<0p>(op, typename 0p::second_argument_type(t));

The point of all this code

- Although the types are somewhat messy,
 - the classes themselves are small
 - they can be combined in useful ways
 - the techniques used to build them can be used in other contexts
- Objects can be abstractions of behavior, not just of data structures

Other relevant library functions

- If f is a (pointer to a) function, **ptr_fun(f)** is the corresponding function object
- If **pred** is a unary (function object) predicate, **not1(pred)** is a predicate that yields the inverse result

Using ptr_fun and not1

• Find the first non-space character in the **string s**:

A few more examples

- Flip the sign of every element of x: transform(x. begin(), x. end(), x. begin(), negate<x::value_type>());
- Replace every pointer to a nullterminated string that compares equal to "C" by a pointer to "C++" replace_if(x.begin(), x.end(), not1(bind2nd(ptr=fun(strcmp), "C")),

not1(bind2nd(ptr_fun(strcmp), "C"))
"C++");

Projects

- Each team will be expected to demonstrate its project

 be prepared to answer design and process
 - be prepared to answer design and process related questions
- Each team has to find appropriate computing facilities for the demonstration and schedule a mutually agreeable time
- All demonstrations during exam week

Project scheduling

- If we heard from you by XPM, April 20 (well beyond the original deadline), we accommodated your requests
- If not, it is now your problem! Either pick an open slot or trade with another project

Project reviews for Monday, 5/17

- All 4 slots still open
 - 10:30 11:30
 - 1:30 2:30 - 3:00 - 4:00
 - 4:30 5:30

Project reviews for Tuesday, 5/18

- 10:30 Campus Calendar
- 1:30 Direct Chat
- 3:00 AT 5000
- 4:30 Sound Images

Project reviews for Wednesday 5/19

- 10:30 This space available
- 1:30 Space Dust
- 3:00 Redemption
- 5:15 Clipbook

Project reviews for Thursday, 5/20

- 10:30 This space available
- 1:30 Online Trading
- 3:00 Project Vulcan
- 4:30 Logic Studio