Dynamic binding

Noticing differences between types when it matters

A simple view of the problem

- Suppose we have a class Circle derived from a base class Shape.
- If we have a pointer or reference to a Shape, it might actually be pointing or referring to a Circle.
- Why should we care?
- · How can we tell?

Why do we care?

- The usual reason is that we want to take one action if the Shape is a Circle and some other action if it isn't
- Example: rotating a Circle requires no action at all

An obvious solution

- Put a type code in each object
- Make sure that the type code is at the same offset in all objects
- Use the type code to decide what to do

The obvious solution can be made to work

 C (and C++) guarantees that if two structures begin with the same sequence of component types, they will have compatible layouts

Implementation (in C)

```
struct Shape {
    int type;
    Point center;
};
struct Circle {
    int type;  // Same as in
    Point center;  // the Shape structure
    int radius;
};
```

Using the type code

What's wrong with the simple approach?

- Nothing is wrong with it
 - It can be made to work
- But it does have disadvantages
 - Adding a new type entails changing all the switch statements
 - Layout compatibility comes about only through convention
 - The code to deal with Circles is scattered all over the place

The C++ approach: virtual functions

```
class Shape {
public:
    virtual void draw();
    // ...
};
class Circle: public Shape {
public:
    virtual void draw();
    // ...
};
```

The function definitions look normal

Calling a virtual function

- When a pointer (or reference) to a base class actually points (or refers) to a derived class object, and
- You use that pointer (or reference) to call a function that is declared virtual in the base class, then
- The derived-class function is the one that is actually called.

Examples

```
Shape s; Circle c;
Shape* sp; Circle* cp;
Shape& sr = /* something */;
Circle& cr = /* something */;
s.draw(); // Shape::draw
c.draw(); // Circle::draw
sp->draw(); // depends on the object
cp->draw(); // depends on the object
sr.draw(); // depends on the object
cr.draw(); // depends on the object
```

A virtual call happens when

- A function is virtual in the base class
- A pointer or reference to a base class actually points or refers to a derived class object

Typical implementation

- Every object of a type with one or more virtual functions includes a pointer to a virtual function table
- Every virtual call fetches the address of the function from a known offset (fixed at compile time) in the table
- Typical cost: a few memory references per call

Types of virtual functions

- The argument types must be identical in base and derived classes
- The result types too, unless
 - The base class function returns a pointer (or reference) to some type T, and
 - The derived class function returns a pointer (or reference) to a type derived from T

An example

- We might have every Shape in the universe put itself on a doubly-linked list
- Then we could easily draw all the Shapes, even if some of them were really objects of classes derived from Shape

The data structure forw back head tail

Example code, part 1

```
Class Shape {
public:
    Shape();
    virtual ~Shape();
    virtual void draw();

private:
    Shape* forw;
    Shape* back;
    // ...
};
```

Code, part 2

```
Shape* head = 0;
Shape* tail = 0;
Shape::Shape()
{
    forw = tail;
    back = 0;
    (tail? tail->back: head) = this;
    tail = this;
}
```

Code, part 3

```
Shape::~Shape()
{
   (this==head?head:forw->back) = back;
   (this==tail?tail:back->forw) = forw;
}
```

Adding new shapes

Just do it...
 class Circle: public Shape {
 public:
 virtual void draw();
 // ...
 };

void Circle::draw()
{ /* ... */ }

Draw all the shapes

```
void drawall()
{
    Shape* p = head;
    while (p) {
        p->draw(); // virtual call
        p = p->back;
    }
}
```

Why the virtual destructor?

- Whenever
 - -You say delete p, and
 - The type of p is "pointer to base," and
 - p actually points at a derived object
- Then the base class must have a virtual destructor, even if it does nothing

What does a virtual destructor do?

- It is a signal to the compiler that using delete (which always destroys the object) should go through the virtual call mechanism
- It has no effect otherwise

Multiple abstractions

- A Shape is something that can go on the list defined by head and tail
- A Shape is something that supports the draw operation
- A Circle is a kind of Shape whose draw operation is implemented in a particular way

Virtual functions and type fields

 You can use virtual functions to implement type fields:

```
enum Kind { SHAPE, CIRCLE /* ... */ };
class Shape {
public:
    virtual Kind my_type() {
        return SHAPE;
    }
    // ...
}:
```

• But it's often unnecessary in practice

Virtual functions and constructors

 While an object is under construction or destruction, its type is what it was declared to be:

Another example

- Suppose we want to represent expressions as trees
- · An expression is
 - an integer, or
 - $\boldsymbol{-}$ a unary operator applied to an expression, or
 - a binary operator applied to two expressions
- We would like to be able to create and print expressions

Sample code

```
IntExpr* three = new IntExpr(3);
IntExpr* four = new IntExpr(4);
IntExpr* four = new IntExpr(5);
UnaryExpr* negfive =
    new UnaryExpr("-", five);
BinaryExpr* twelve =
    new BinaryExpr("*", three, four);
BinaryExpr* seven =
    new BinaryExpr("+", negfive, twelve);
seven->print(cout);
should print ((-5)+(3*4))
```

How do we do it?

- We will define a base class called Expr to represent expressions
 - An IntExpr will be a kind of Expr
 - as will a UnaryExpr and BinaryExpr
 - Every kind of Expr will support a virtual print operation

We can already write code

```
class Expr {
public:
    virtual void print(ostream&) = 0;
    virtual ~Expr() { }
};

This makes it a pure virtual function
```

Integer expressions

```
class IntExpr: public Expr {
public:
   IntExpr(int n0): n(n0) { }
   void print(ostream& s) {
        s << n;
   }
private:
   int n;
};</pre>
```

Unary expressions

```
class UnaryExpr: public Expr {
public:
   UnaryExpr(const char* s, Expr* e0):
    op(s), e(e0) { }
   void print(ostream& s) {
        s << "(" << op;
        e > print(s);
        s << ")";
   }
   ~UnaryExpr() { delete e; }
private:
   Expr* e;
   const char* op;
};</pre>
```

Binary expressions

```
class BinaryExpr: public Expr {
public:
   BinaryExpr(const char* s, Expr* e01,
        Expr e02): op(s), e1(e01), e2(e02) { }
   void print(ostream& s) {
        s << "("; e1->print(s); s << op;
        e2->print(s); s <<")";
   }
   ~BinaryExpr() { delete e1; delete e2; }
private:
   const char* op;
   Expr* e1;
   Expr* e2;
}</pre>
```

We can generalize our sample

```
Expr* three = new IntExpr(3);
Expr* four = new IntExpr(4);
Expr* five = new IntExpr(5);
Expr* negfive = new UnaryExpr("-", five);
Expr* twelve =
    new BinaryExpr("*", three, four);
Expr* seven =
    new BinaryExpr("+", negfive, twelve);
seven->print(cout);
```

We can get rid of most of the variables:

Points to remember

- Virtual functions are meaningful only in the context of pointers or references
- Pure virtual functions are useful when you know that base class objects will not exist by themselves
- If your class has a virtual function, it probably needs a virtual destructor

Why aren't all C++ member functions virtual?

- Not every class needs inheritance
- The overhead, although small, exists
- Sometimes functions shouldn't be virtual (for example, operator[] in the Vector example from last lecture)

Summary

- Inheritance makes it easier to describe a family of types by describing their similarities and differences
 - The similar parts go in base classes
 - Each set of relevant differences gets its own derived class
- Virtual functions are an efficient way of recovering the differences in C++

Homework (due Monday)

- Rewrite the Expr class hierarchy so that it doesn't use virtual functions or type fields
- The idea is to simulate the virtualfunction tables