Modularity Heuristics

The material for this lecture is drawn, in part, from *The Practice of Programming* (Kernighan & Pike) Chapter 4
Design & Implement
- Program & programming style (done)
- Common data structures and algorithms (done)
- Modularity <-- we still are here
- Building techniques & tools (done)

Debug
- Debugging techniques & tools (done)

Test
- Testing techniques (done)

Maintain
- Performance improvement techniques & tools
Goals of this Lecture

Help you learn:
• How to create high quality modules in C

Why?
• Abstraction is a powerful (the only?) technique available for understanding large, complex systems
• A power programmer knows how to find the abstractions in a large program
• A power programmer knows how to convey a large program’s abstractions via its modularity
Module Design Heuristics

We propose 7 module design heuristics

And illustrate them with 4 examples
  • Stack, string, stdio, SymTable
/* stack.h */

enum {MAX_STACK_ITEMS = 100};

struct Stack
{
    double items[MAX_STACK_ITEMS];
    int top;
};

struct Stack *Stack_new(void);
void          Stack_free(struct Stack *s);
int           Stack_push(struct Stack *s, double d);
double        Stack_pop(struct Stack *s);
int           Stack_isEmpty(struct Stack *s);
String Module

string module (from C90)

/* string.h */

size_t strlen(const char *s);
char *strcpy(char *dest, const char *src);
char *strncpy(char *dest, const char *src, size_t n);
char *strcat(char *dest, const char *src);
char *strncat(char *dest, const char *src, size_t n);
int strcmp(const char *s1, const char *s2);
int strncmp(const char *s1, const char *s2, size_t n);
char *strstr(const char *haystack, const char *needle);
void *memcpy(void *dest, const void *src, size_t n);
int memcmp(const void *s1, const void *s2, size_t n);
...
stdio module (from C90, vastly simplified)

/* stdio.h */

struct FILE
{
    int cnt;    /* characters left */
    char *ptr;  /* next character position */
    char *base; /* location of buffer */
    int flag;   /* mode of file access */
    int fd;     /* file descriptor */
};

#define OPEN_MAX 1024
FILE _iob[OPEN_MAX];

#define stdin  (&_iob[0]);
#define stdout (&_iob[1]);
#define stderr (&_iob[2]);
...

Don’t be concerned with details
Stdio Module

stdio (cont.)

```c
...  
FILE *fopen(const char *filename, const char *mode);
int fclose(FILE *f);
int fflush(FILE *f);

int fgetc(FILE *f);
int getchar(void);

int fputc(int c, FILE *f);
int putchar(int c);

int fscanf(FILE *f, const char *format, ...);
int scanf(const char *format, ...);

int fprintf(FILE *f, const char *format, ...);
int printf(const char *format, ...);

int sscanf(const char *str, const char *format, ...);
int sprintf(char *str, const char *format, ...);
...  
```
/* symtable.h */

typedef struct SymTable *SymTable_T;

SymTable_T SymTable_new(void);
void    SymTable_free(SymTable_T t);
int     SymTable_getLength(SymTable_T t);
int     SymTable_put(SymTable_T t, const char *key,
                    const void *value);
void    *SymTable_replace(SymTable_T t, const char *key,
                           const void *value);
int     SymTable_contains(SymTable_T t, const char *key);
void    *SymTable_get(SymTable_T t, const char *key);
void    *SymTable_remove(SymTable_T t, const char *key);
void    SymTable_map(SymTable_T t,
                     void (*pfApply)(const char *key,
                                    const void *value, void *extra),
                     const void *extra);
A good module:
- **Encapsulates data**
- Is consistent
- Has a minimal interface
- Detects and handles/reports errors
- Establishes contracts
- Has strong cohesion (if time)
- Has weak coupling (if time)
A well-designed module encapsulates data

• An interface should hide implementation details
• A module should use its functions to encapsulate its data
• A module should not allow clients to manipulate the data directly

Why?

• **Clarity**: Encourages abstraction
• **Security**: Clients cannot corrupt object by changing its data in unintended ways
• **Flexibility**: Allows implementation to change – even the data structure – without affecting clients
Encapsulation Example 1

Stack (version 1)

/* stack.h */

enum {MAX_STACK_ITEMS = 100};

struct Stack
{  double items[MAX_STACK_ITEMS];
  int top;
};

struct Stack *Stack_new(void);
void          Stack_free(struct Stack *s);
void          Stack_push(struct Stack *s, double item);
double        Stack_pop(struct Stack *s);
int           Stack_isEmpty(struct Stack *s);

• Interface reveals how Stack object is implemented
  • That is, as an array
  • Client can access/change data directly; could corrupt object
Encapsulation Example 1

Stack (version 2)

- Interface does not reveal how Stack object is implemented
- Client cannot access data directly
- That’s better

```c
/* stack.h */

struct Stack;

struct Stack *Stack_new(void);
void          Stack_free(struct Stack *s);
void          Stack_push(struct Stack *s, double item);
double        Stack_pop(struct Stack *s);
int           Stack_isEmpty(struct Stack *s);
```

Place `declaration` of struct Stack in interface; move `definition` to implementation.
Encapsulation Example 1

Stack (version 3)

```c
/* stack.h */
typedef struct Stack * Stack_T;

Stack_T Stack_new(void);
void Stack_free(Stack_T s);
void Stack_push(Stack_T s, double item);
double Stack_pop(Stack_T s);
int Stack_isEmpty(Stack_T s);
```

- Interface provides `Stack_T` abbreviation for client
  - Interface encourages client to think of `objects` (not structures) and `object references` (not pointers to structures)
- Client still cannot access data directly; data is “opaque” to the client
- That’s better still
Encapsulation Examples 2, 4

string
  • “Stateless” module
  • Has no state to encapsulate!

SymTable
  • Uses the opaque pointer type pattern
  • Encapsulates state properly
Encapsulation Example 3

stdio

/* stdio.h */

struct FILE
{
    int cnt;    /* characters left */
    char *ptr;  /* next character position */
    char *base; /* location of buffer */
    int flag;  /* mode of file access */
    int fd;    /* file descriptor */
};

Violates the heuristic
Programmers can access data directly
    • Can corrupt the FILE object
    • Can write non-portable code
But the functions are well documented, so
    • Few programmers examine stdio.h
    • Few programmers are tempted to access the data directly
A good module:
- Encapsulates data
- **Is consistent**
  - Has a minimal interface
  - Detects and handles/reports errors
  - Establishes contracts
  - Has strong cohesion (if time)
  - Has weak coupling (if time)
A well-designed module is consistent

- A function’s name should indicate its module
  - Facilitates maintenance programming
    - Programmer can find functions more quickly
  - Reduces likelihood of name collisions
    - From different programmers, different software vendors, etc.
- A module’s functions should use a consistent parameter order
  - Facilitates writing client code
Consistency Examples 1, 4

Stack
  (+) Each function name begins with “Stack_”
  (+) First parameter identifies Stack object

SymTable
  (+) Each function name begins with “SymTable_”
  (+) First parameter identifies SymTable object
Consistency Example 2

string

/* string.h */

size_t strlen(const char *s);
char  *strcpy(char *dest, const char *src);
char  *strncpy(char *dest, const char *src, size_t n);
char  *strcat(char *dest, const char *src);
char  *strncat(char *dest, const char *src, size_t n);
int    strcmp(const char *s1, const char *s2);
int    strncmp(const char *s1, const char *s2, size_t n);
char  *strstr(const char *haystack, const char *needle);
void  *memcpy(void *dest, const void *src, size_t n);
int    memcmp(const void *s1, const void *s2, size_t n);
...
stdio

```
FILE *fopen(const char *filename, const char *mode);
int fclose(FILE *f);
int fflush(FILE *f);

int fgetc(FILE *f);
int getchar(void);

int fputc(int c, FILE *f);
int putchar(int c);

int fscanf(FILE *f, const char *format, ...);
int scanf(const char *format, ...);

int fprintf(FILE *f, const char *format, ...);
int printf(const char *format, ...);

int sscanf(const char *str, const char *format, ...);
int sprintf(char *str, const char *format, ...);
```

Are function names consistent?

Is parameter order consistent?
A good module:

- Encapsulates data
- Is consistent
- **Has a minimal interface**
- Detects and handles/reports errors
- Establishes contracts
- Has strong cohesion (if time)
- Has weak coupling (if time)
A well-designed module has a minimal interface
  • Function declaration should be in a module’s interface if and only if:
    • The function is necessary to make objects complete, or
    • The function is convenient for many clients

Why?
  • More functions => higher learning costs, higher maintenance costs
Minimization Example 1

Stack

/* stack.h */

typedef struct Stack *Stack_T;
Stack_T Stack_new(void);
void Stack_free(Stack_T s);
void Stack_push(Stack_T s, double item);
double Stack_pop(Stack_T s);
int Stack_isEmpty(Stack_T s);
Minimization Example 1

Another Stack function?

```c
void Stack_clear(Stack_T s);
```

- Pops all items from the Stack object

Should the Stack ADT define `Stack_clear()`?
Minimization Example 2

string

/* string.h */

size_t strlen(const char *s);
char  *strcpy(char *dest, const char *src);
char  *strncpy(char *dest, const char *src, size_t n);
char  *strcat(char *dest, const char *src);
char  *strncat(char *dest, const char *src, size_t n);
int    strcmp(const char *s1, const char *s2);
int    strncmp(const char *s1, const char *s2, size_t n);
char  *strstr(const char *haystack, const char *needle);
void  *memcpy(void *dest, const void *src, size_t n);
int    memcmp(const void *s1, const void *s2, size_t n);
...
Minimization Example 3

```
...  
FILE *fopen(const char *filename, const char *mode);
int fclose(FILE *f);
int fflush(FILE *f);

int fgetc(FILE *f);
int getchar(void);

int fputc(int c, FILE *f);
int putchar(int c);

int fscanf(FILE *f, const char *format, ...);
int scanf(const char *format, ...);

int fprintf(FILE *f, const char *format, ...);
int printf(const char *format, ...);

int sscanf(const char *str, const char *format, ...);
int sprintf(char *str, const char *format, ...);
...  
```

Should any functions be eliminated?
Minimization Example 4

SymTable
- Declares `SymTable_get()` in interface
- Declares `SymTable_contains()` in interface

Should `SymTable_contains()` be eliminated?
Minimization Example 4

SymTable
- Defines `SymTable_hash()` in implementation

Incidentally: In C any function should be either:
- **Declared** in the interface and defined as **non-static**, or
- **Not declared** in the interface and defined as **static**
A good module:
- Encapsulates data
- Is consistent
- Has a minimal interface
- **Detects and handles/reports errors**
- Establishes contracts
- Has strong cohesion (if time)
- Has weak coupling (if time)
A well-designed module detects and handles/reports errors.

A module should:
- **Detect** errors
- **Handle** errors if it can; otherwise…
- **Report** errors to its clients
  - A module often cannot assume what error-handling action its clients prefer
Handling Errors in C

C options for detecting errors
- if statement
- assert macro

C options for handling errors
- Write message to stderr
  - Impossible in many embedded applications
- Recover and proceed
  - Sometimes impossible
- Abort process
  - Often undesirable
C options for **reporting** errors to client (calling function)

- Set **global variable**?

```c
int successful;
...
int div(int dividend, int divisor)
{  if (divisor == 0)
{    successful = 0;
    return 0;
  }
  successful = 1;
  return dividend / divisor;
}
...
quo = div(5, 3);
if (! successful)
  /* Handle the error */
```

- Easy for client to forget to check
- Bad for multi-threaded programming
C options for **reporting** errors to client (calling function)

- Use **function return value**?

```c
int div(int dividend, int divisor, int *quotient)
{
    if (divisor == 0)
        return 0;
    ...
    *quotient = dividend / divisor;
    return 1;
}
...
successful = div(5, 3, &quo);
if (! successful)
    /* Handle the error */
```

- Awkward if return value has some other natural purpose
C options for **reporting** errors to client (calling function)

- Use **call-by-reference parameter**?

```c
int div(int dividend, int divisor, int *successful)
{
    if (divisor == 0)
    {
        *successful = 0;
        return 0;
    }
    *successful = 1;
    return dividend / divisor;
}
...
quo = div(5, 3, &successful);
if (! successful)
    /* Handle the error */
```

- Awkward for client; must pass additional argument
C options for **reporting** errors to client (calling function)

- Call `assert` macro?

```c
int div(int dividend, int divisor)
{
    assert(divisor != 0);
    return dividend / divisor;
}

...  
quo = div(5, 3);
```

- Asserts could be disabled
- Error terminates the process!
C options for **reporting** errors to client (calling function)

- No option is ideal

What option does Java provide?
Our recommendation: Distinguish between...

(1) **User errors**
   - Errors made by human user
   - Errors that “could happen”
     - Example: Bad data in `stdin`
     - Example: Too much data in `stdin`
     - Example: Bad value of command-line argument
   - Use `if` statement to detect
   - Handle immediately if possible, or...
   - Report to client via return value or call-by-reference parameter
     - Don’t use global variable
Programmer Errors

(2) **Programmer errors**
- Errors made by a programmer
- Errors that “should never happen”
  
  - Example: pointer parameter should not be `NULL`, but is
  
  - For now, use `assert` to detect and handle
    - More info later in the course

The distinction sometimes is unclear
- Example: Write to file fails because disk is full
- Example: Divisor argument to `div()` is 0

Default: user error
Error Handling Example 1

Stack

```c
/* stack.c */
...
int Stack_push(Stack_T s, double d)
{
    assert(s!= NULL);
    if (s->top >= MAX_STACK_ITEMS)
        return 0;
    s->items[s->top] = d;
    (s->top)++;
    return 1;
}
```

• Invalid parameter is **programmer** error
  • Should never happen
  • Detect and handle via `assert`

• Exceeding stack capacity is **user** error
  • Could happen (too much data in `stdin`)
  • Detect via `if`; report to client via return value
Error Handling Examples 2, 3, 4

string
  • No error detection or handling/reporting
  • Example: `strlen()` parameter is NULL => seg fault

stdio
  • Detects bad input
  • Uses function return values to report failure
    • Note awkwardness of `scanf()`
  • Sets global variable `errno` to indicate reason for failure

SymTable
  • (See assignment specification for proper errors that should be detected, and how to handle them)
Agenda

**A good module:**
- Encapsulates data
- Is consistent
- Has a minimal interface
- Detects and handles/reports errors
- **Establishes contracts**
- Has strong cohesion (if time)
- Has weak coupling (if time)
Establishing Contracts

A well-designed module establishes contracts
  • A module should establish contracts with its clients
  • Contracts should describe what each function does, esp:
    • Meanings of parameters
    • Work performed
    • Meaning of return value
    • Side effects

Why?
  • Facilitates cooperation between multiple programmers
  • Assigns blame to contract violators!!!
    • If your functions have precise contracts and implement them correctly, then the bug must be in someone else’s code!!!

How?
  • Comments in module interface
Contracts Example 1

Stack

/* stack.h */
...
/* Push item onto s. Return 1 (TRUE) if successful, or 0 (FALSE) if insufficient memory is available. */

int Stack_push(Stack_T s, double item);
...

Comment defines contract:
- Meaning of function’s parameters
  - \texttt{s} is the stack to be affected; \texttt{item} is the item to be pushed
- Work performed
  - Push \texttt{item} onto \texttt{s}
- Meaning of return value
  - Indicates success/failure
- Side effects
  - (None, by default)
Contracts Examples 2, 3, 4

- string
  - See descriptions in man pages

- stdio
  - See descriptions in man pages

- SymTable
  - See descriptions in assignment specification
A good module:

- Encapsulates data
- Is consistent
- Has a minimal interface
- Detects and handles/reports errors
- Establishes contracts
- **Has strong cohesion (if time)**
- Has weak coupling (if time)
Strong Cohesion

A well-designed module has **strong cohesion**
- A module’s functions should be strongly related to each other

Why?
- Strong cohesion facilitates abstraction
Strong Cohesion Examples

Stack
  (+) All functions are related to the encapsulated data

string
  (+) Most functions are related to string handling
  (-) Some functions are not related to string handling:
      \texttt{memcpy()}, \texttt{memcmp()}, ... 
  (+) But those functions are similar to string-handling functions

stdio
  (+) Most functions are related to I/O
  (-) Some functions don’t do I/O: \texttt{sprintf()}, \texttt{sscanf()}
  (+) But those functions are similar to I/O functions

SymTable
  (+) All functions are related to the encapsulated data
A good module:
- Encapsulates data
- Is consistent
- Has a minimal interface
- Detects and handles/reports errors
- Establishes contracts
- Has strong cohesion (if time)
- Has weak coupling (if time)
A well-designed module has **weak coupling**

- Module should be weakly connected to other modules in the program.
- Interaction **within** modules should be more intense than interaction **among** modules.

**Why? Theoretical observations**

- Maintenance: Weak coupling makes the program easier to modify.
- Reuse: Weak coupling facilitates reuse of modules.

**Why? Empirical evidence**

- Empirically, modules that are weakly coupled have fewer bugs.

**Examples (different from previous)…**
Weak Coupling Example 1

Design-time coupling

- Simulator module calls many functions in Airplane
- Strong design-time coupling

- Simulator module calls few functions in Airplane
- Weak design-time coupling

Airplane
- getLat()
- getLon()
- getAlt()
- setLat()
- setLon()
- setAlt()

Simulator
- f()
- move()
Weak Coupling Example 2

Run-time coupling

- Client module makes many calls to Collection module
- Strong run-time coupling

- Client module makes few calls to Collection module
- Weak run-time coupling
Weak Coupling Example 3

Maintenance-time coupling

- Maintenance programmer changes Client and MyModule together frequently
- Strong maintenance-time coupling

- Maintenance programmer changes Client and MyModule together infrequently
- Weak maintenance-time coupling
Achieving weak coupling could involve refactoring code:

- Move code from client to module (shown)
- Move code from module to client (not shown)
- Move code from client and module to a new module (not shown)
Summary

A good module:

- Encapsulates data
- Is consistent
- Has a minimal interface
- Detects and handles/reports errors
- Establishes contracts
- Has strong cohesion
- Has weak coupling