Clustering: Overview and K-means algorithm

Informal goal

- Given set of objects and measure of similarity between them, group similar objects together
- What mean by “similar”?
- What is good grouping?
- Computation time / quality tradeoff

General types of clustering

- “Soft” versus “hard” clustering
  - Hard: partition the objects
    - each object in exactly one partition
  - Soft: assign degree to which object in cluster
    - view as probability or score
- flat versus hierarchical clustering
  - hierarchical = clusters within clusters

Applications:

- Many
  - biology
  - astronomy
  - computer aided design of circuits
  - information organization
  - marketing
  - …

Clustering in information search and analysis

- Group information objects
  - discover topics
  - other groupings desirable
- Clustering versus classifying
  - classifying: have pre-determined classes with example members
  - clustering:
    - get groups of similar objects
    - added problem of labeling clusters by topic
    - e.g. common terms within cluster of docs.

Example applications in search

- Query evaluation: cluster pruning (§7.1.6)
  - cluster all documents
  - choose representative for each cluster
  - evaluate query w.r.t. cluster reps.
  - evaluate query for docs in cluster(s) having most similar cluster rep.(s)
- Results presentation: labeled clusters
  - cluster only query results
  - e.g. Yippy.com (metasearch)

K-Means illustrations thanks to 2006 student Martin Makowiecki
### Issues

- What attributes represent items for clustering purposes?
- What is measure of similarity between items?
  - General objects and matrix of pairwise similarities
  - Objects with specific properties that allow other specifications of measure
    - Most common:
      - Objects are d-dimensional vectors
        - Euclidean distance
        - Cosine similarity
  - What is measure of similarity between clusters?

### Issues continued

- Cluster goals?
  - Number of clusters?
  - Flat or hierarchical clustering?
  - Cohesiveness of clusters?
- How evaluate cluster results?
  - Relates to measure of closeness between clusters
- Efficiency of clustering algorithms
  - Large data sets >> external storage
- Maintain clusters in dynamic setting?
- Clustering methods? - MANY!

### Quality of clustering

- In applications, quality of clustering depends on how well solves problem at hand
- Algorithm uses measure of quality that can be optimized, but that may or may not do a good job of capturing application needs.
- Underlying graph-theoretic problems usually NP-complete
  - E.g., graph partitioning
- Usually algorithm not finding optimal clustering

### General types of clustering methods

- **Constructive** versus iterative improvement
  - **Constructive**: decide in what cluster each object belongs and don’t change
    - Often faster
  - **Iterative improvement**: start with a clustering and move objects around to see if can improve clustering
    - Often slower but better

### Vector model: K-means algorithm

- Well known, well used
- Flat clustering
- Number of clusters picked ahead of time
- Iterative improvement
- Uses notion of centroid
- Typically uses Euclidean distance

### K-means overview

- Choose k points among set to cluster
  - Call them k centroids
- For each point not selected, assign it to its closest centroid
  - All assignment give initial clustering
- Until “happy” do:
  - Recompute centroids of clusters
    - New centroids may not be points of original set
  - Reassign all points to closest centroid
    - Updates clusters
An Example

start: choose centroids and cluster

An Example

recompute centroids

An Example

re-cluster around new centroids

An Example

2nd recompute centroids and re-cluster

An Example

3rd (final) recompute and re-cluster

Details for K-means

- Need definition of centroid
  \[ c_i = \frac{1}{|C_i|} \sum_{x \in C_i} x \] for i\(^{th}\) cluster \( C_i \) containing objects \( x \)
  notion of sum of objects?

- Need definition of distance to (similarity to) centroid

- Typically vector model with Euclidean distance

- minimizing sum of squared distances of each
  point to its centroid = Residual Sum of Squares
  \[ \text{RSS} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{x \in C_i} \text{dist}(c_i, x)^2 \]
K-means performance

- Can prove RSS decreases with each iteration, so converge
- Can achieve local optimum
  - No change in centroids
- Running time depends on how demanding stopping criteria
- Works well in practice
  - speed
  - quality

Time Complexity of K-means

- Let $t_{dist}$ be the time to calculate the distance between two objects
- Each iteration time complexity:
  $O(Kn^*t_{dist})$
- $n =$ number of objects
- Bound number of iterations $I$ giving
  $O(I*Kn^*t_{dist})$
- for m-dimensional vectors:
  $O(I*Kn^*m)$
  m large and centroids not sparse

Space Complexity of K-means

- Store points and centroids
  - vector model: $O((n + K)m)$
- External algorithm versus internal?
  - store k centroids in memory
  - run through points each iteration

Choosing Initial Centroids

- Bad initialization leads to poor results

Choosing Initial Centroids

Many people spent much time examining how to choose seeds

- Random
  - Fast and easy, but often poor results
- Run random multiple times, take best
  - Slower, and still no guarantee of results
- Pre-conditioning
  - remove outliers
- Choose seeds algorithmically
  - run hierarchical clustering on sample points and use resulting centroids
  - Works well on small samples and for few initial centroids

K-means weakness

Non-globular clusters
Real cases tend to be harder

- Different attributes of the feature vector have vastly different sizes
  - size of star versus color
- Can weight different features
  - how weight greatly affects outcome

- Difficulties can be overcome