

Tarjan

No collaboration on 1 and 2; collaboration allowed on 3 and 4.

Definition of “safety” corrected in Problem 3. (The definition is correct in the Lecture 9 slides.)

1. (type-3 rank-pairing heaps) A type-3 rank-pairing heap is just like a type-2 rank-pairing heap except that the allowed node types are different. Specially, in a type-3 rp-heap, a node can be $1,1$; $1,2$; $1,3$; or $0,j$ for any $j > 2$. The purpose of this problem is to implement and analyze type-3 rp-heaps. You may want to consult the latest version of Lecture 7 (rank-pairing heaps) for ideas.

(a) Give an implementation of the decrease-key operation on a type-3 rp-heap. Specifically, modify the implementation of decrease-key on type-2 rp-heaps so that it preserves the type-3 rank invariant.

(b) Prove that the maximum node rank in a type-3 rp-heap containing n nodes is at most $c \lg n$ for some constant c . Make c as small as you can.

(c) Prove that the following amortized time bounds hold for the type-3 pairing heap operations starting with no heaps: each operation except delete-min and delete takes $O(1)$ amortized time; each delete-min and delete operation takes $O(\lg n)$ amortized time, where n is the number of items currently in the heap.

2. (negative cycles and breadth-first scanning) The purpose of this problem is to further explore the effect of negative cycles on the behavior of the breadth-first scanning algorithm for the single-source shortest path problem. Consider the breadth-first scanning algorithm (without subtree disassembly): see Lecture 8 (revised), slide 28. Give an example of a graph with a negative cycle and a run of breadth-first scanning on this graph such that at some point in the computation the parent pointers contain a cycle, but at some later point they define a tree rooted at s , the start vertex.

3. (heuristic search) The purpose of this problem is to verify some of the properties of heuristic search as described in Lecture 9, slides 22-24. We are given a directed graph with an arc length $c(v, w)$ for each arc (v, w) . Our goal is to find a shortest path from s to t . We are also given an easy-to-compute vertex function e such that $e(v)$ is an estimate of the distance from v to t for each vertex v . We call the function $e(v)$ *safe* if $e(t) = 0$ and $e(v) \leq c(v, w) + e(w)$ for every arc (v, w) . The one-way (forward) heuristic search algorithm finds a shortest path from s to t by running the scanning algorithm and choosing as the next vertex v to be scanned the v in L such that $d(v) + e(v)$ is minimum. (Ties are broken by using a fixed vertex order.)

(a) Prove that if e is safe, $e(v)$ is at most the length of a shortest path from v to t , for every vertex v .

(b) Prove that if e is safe, then for any vertex v , when v is chosen to be scanned, $d(v)$ is the length of a shortest path from s to v . Conclude that the algorithm will scan each vertex at most once, and it can stop when t is chosen to be scanned.

(c) Suppose e and f are two safe distance estimates such that $e(v) \leq f(v)$ for every vertex v . We want to compare the efficiency of the algorithm using e as an estimate to its efficiency using f as an estimate. Let $S(e)$ and $S(f)$, respectively, be the set of vertices scanned when using e or f , respectively, until t is chosen to be scanned. Prove that $S(f)$ is contained in $S(e)$. That is, every vertex scanned when the algorithm uses f is also scanned when the algorithm uses e . Thus a bigger distance estimate results in no additional vertex scans, as long as the estimate is safe.

(d) Consider a graph with arc lengths and a distance estimate e such that $e(t) = 0$ and $e(v)$ is at most the length of a shortest path from v to t for every vertex v . Do part (i) or part (ii) below. For extra credit, do both parts.

(i) Prove that when t is first scanned, $d(t)$ is the correct shortest distance to t .

(ii) Give an example of such a graph and such a distance estimate on which some vertex is scanned at least twice before t is scanned.

4. Devise a class of graphs with no negative cycles on which the scanning algorithm with a bad choice of scanning order runs in time exponential in the number of vertices. Prove that your construction works.