## Union-Find Algorithms



- dynamic connectivity
- quick find
- quick union
- improvements
- applications

Subtext of today's lecture (and this course)

Steps to developing a usable algorithm.

- Model the problem.
- Find an algorithm to solve it.
- Fast enough? Fits in memory?
- If not, figure out why.
- Find a way to address the problem.
- Iterate until satisfied.

The scientific method.

Mathematical analysis.

## Dynamic connectivity

Given a set of objects

- Union: connect two objects.
more difficult problem: find the path
- Find: is there a path connecting the two objects?

```
union(3, 4)
union(8, 0)
union(2, 3)
union(5, 6)
    find(0, 2)
    find(2, 4)
union(5, 1)
union(7, 3)
union(1, 6)
union(4, 8)
    find(0, 2) yes
    find(2, 4) yes
```



Modeling the objects

Dynamic connectivity applications involve manipulating objects of all types.

- Variable name aliases.
- Pixels in a digital photo.
- Computers in a network.
- Web pages on the Internet.
- Transistors in a computer chip.
- Metallic sites in a composite system.

When programming, convenient to name objects 0 to $\mathrm{N}-1$.

- Use integers as array index.
- Suppress details not relevant to union-find.
can use symbol table to translate from object names to integers (stay tuned)

Modeling the connections

## Transitivity.

If p is connected to q and q is connected to r , then p is connected to r .

Connected components. Maximal set of objects that are mutually connected.

connected components

## Implementing the operations

Find query. Check if two objects are in the same set.

Union command. Replace sets containing two objects with their union.

union (4, 8)


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
1 & 5 & 6
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{lllll} 
& 2 & 3 & 7
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Union-find data type (API)

Goal. Design efficient data structure for union-find.

- Number of objects $N$ can be huge.
- Number of operations M can be huge.
- Find queries and union commands may be intermixed.

```
public class UnionFind
    UnionFind(int N)
        create union-find data structure with
    N objects and no connections
    boolean find(int p, int q) are p and q in the same set?
    void unite(int p, int q)
    replace sets containing p and q
        with their union
```

> quick find

Quick-find [eager approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size n.
- Interpretation: p and q are connected if they have the same id.


2, 3, 4, and 9 are connected
(0)
(1)
(5)



Quick-find [eager approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size n.
- Interpretation: p and q are connected if they have the same id.


Find. Check if p and q have the same id.

5 and 6 are connected
2, 3, 4, and 9 are connected
$i d[3]=9 ; i d[6]=6$
3 and 6 not connected

## Quick-find [eager approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size N .
- Interpretation: p and q are connected if they have the same id.

```
clllllllllll
5 and 6 are connected
2,3,4, and 9}\mathrm{ are connected
```

Find. Check if p and q have the same id.

```
id[3] = 9; id[6] = 6
3 and 6 not connected
```

Union. To merge sets containing p and q , change all entries with id [p] to id [q].

union of 3 and 6
$2,3,4,5,6$, and 9 are connected

Quick-find example

| 3-4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 | 9 |
| 8-0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 2-3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 5-6 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 7-3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |  | 0 | 9 |
| 4-8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 6-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |

(0) (1) (2) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)
(0) (1) (2) (3) $_{(4)}^{9}$ (5) (6) (7) (8)
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (5) (7) (0)
(1) ${ }_{(2)}^{8}$ (3) (4) (5) (5) (7) (0)
(1) ${ }^{9}$ (2) (3) (4) (3) (7) (8)
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
(7) (0)
(1)

(1) (3) (4) (5) (5) (7) 8 (9) (0)-(2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)-(9)
problem: many values can change

Quick-find: Java implementation

```
public class QuickFind
{
    private int[] id;
    public QuickFind(int N)
    {
        id = new int[N];
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
                id[i] = i;
    }
    public boolean find(int p, int q)
    {
        return id[p] == id[q];
    }
    public void unite(int p, int q)
    {
            int pid = id[p];
            for (int i = 0; i < id.length; i++)
            if (id[i] == pid) id[i] = id[q];
    }
}
```

set id of each object to itself (N operations)
check if $p$ and $q$ have same id (1 operation)
change all entries with id[p] to id[q] (N operations)

Quick-find is too slow

Quick-find defect.

- Union too expensive ( N operations).
- Trees are flat, but too expensive to keep them flat.

| algorithm | union | find |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quick-find | N | 1 |

Ex. May take $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ operations to process N union commands on N objects.

Quadratic algorithms do not scale

Rough standard (for now).

- $10^{9}$ operations per second.
- $10^{9}$ words of main memory.
- Touch all words in approximately 1 second.

Ex. Huge problem for quick-find.

- $10^{9}$ union commands on $10^{9}$ objects.
- Quick-find takes more than $10^{18}$ operations.
- 30+ years of computer time!

Paradoxically, quadratic algorithms get worse with newer equipment.

- New computer may be $10 x$ as fast.
- But, has $10 x$ as much memory so problem may be $10 x$ bigger.
- With quadratic algorithm, takes $10 \times$ as long!


## Quick-union [lazy approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size N .
- Interpretation: id[i] is parent of $i$. keep going until it doesn't change
- Root of $i$ is id[id[id[...id[i]...]]].


3 's root is 9; 5's root is 6

## Quick-union [lazy approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size N .
- Interpretation: id [i] is parent of $i$. keep going until it doesn't change
- Root of $i$ is id[id[id[...id[i]...]].


Find. Check if p and q have the same root.


3's root is 9; 5's root is 6
3 and 5 are not connected

## Quick-union [lazy approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size N .
- Interpretation: id[i] is parent of $i$. keep going until it doesn't change
- Root of $i$ is id[id[id[...id[i]...]]].

$$
\begin{array}{|ccccccccccc|}
\hline i & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
i d[i] & 0 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Find. Check if p and q have the same root.

Union. To merge subsets containing p and q , set the id of $q$ 's root to the id of $p$ 's root.



3 's root is 9; 5's root is 6 3 and 5 are not connected
(8)

78
(0) 1


Quick-union example

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
3-4 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
4-9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 9 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 8-0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 9 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 9 \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2-3 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 9 \\
5-6 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 0 & 9 \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
5-9 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 6 & 9 & 7 & 0 & 9 \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
7-3 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 6 & 9 & 9 & 0 & 9 \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
4-8 & 0 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 6 & 9 & 9 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 6-1 & 1 & 1 & 9 & 4 & 9 & 6 & 9 & 9 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

## Quick-union: Java implementation

```
public class QuickUnion
{
    private int[] id;
    public QuickUnion(int N)
    {
        id = new int[N]; set id of each object to itself
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) id[i] = i; 
    }
    private int root(int i)
    {
        while (i != id[i]) i = id[i];
        return i;
    }
    public boolean find(int p, int q)
    {
        return root(p) == root(q);
    }
    public void unite(int p, int q)
    {
        int i = root(p), j = root(q);
        id[i] = j;
    }
}
```

Quick-union is also too slow

Quick-find defect.

- Union too expensive ( N operations).
- Trees are flat, but too expensive to keep them flat.

Quick-union defect.

- Trees can get tall.
- Find too expensive (could be $N$ operations).


Improvement 1: weighting

Weighted quick-union.

- Modify quick-union to avoid tall trees.
- Keep track of size of each subset.
- Balance by linking small tree below large one.

Ex. Union of 3 and 5.

- Quick union: link 9 to 6.
- Weighted quick union: link 6 to 9 .


Weighted quick-union example


$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
3-4 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9
\end{array}
$$

$$
4-9 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 3
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
8-0 & 8 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 3
\end{array}
$$

$$
2-3 \quad 8 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 3
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
5-6 & 8 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 3
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
5-9 & 8 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 3
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
7-3 & 8 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 8 & 3
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
4-8 & 8 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 3
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
6-1 & 8 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 3
\end{array}
$$

(0) (1) (2) (3) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)
(0) (1) (2) (4) $^{3}$ (9) (5) (6) (7) (8)
(8) (1) (2) (4) (9) (5) (6) (7)
(8) (1) (2) (4) (5) (5) (5) (7) (8) $^{(1)}$ (2) ${ }^{(4)}$ (9) (5) ${ }^{(7)}$

 (8) (2) (4) (5) (7) (9)

no problem:
trees stay flat

## Weighted quick-union: Java implementation

Data structure. Same as quick-union, but maintain extra array sz[i] to count number of objects in the tree rooted at i.

Find. Identical to quick-union.

```
return root(p) == root(q);
```

Union. Modify quick-union to:

- Merge smaller tree into larger tree.
- Update the sz[] array.

```
int i = root(p);
int j = root(q);
if (sz[i] < sz[j]) { id[i] = j; sz[j] += sz[i]; }
else { id[j] = i; sz[i] += sz[j]; }
```


## Weighted quick-union analysis

Analysis.

- Find: takes time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$.
- Union: takes constant time, given roots.
- Fact: depth is at most $\lg \mathrm{N}$. [needs proof]
Q. How does depth of $x$ increase by 1 ?
A. Tree $T_{1}$ containing $x$ is merged into another tree $T_{2}$.
- The size of the tree containing $x$ at least doubles since $\left|T_{2}\right| \geq\left|T_{1}\right|$.
- Size of tree containing $x$ can double at most $\lg N$ times.


Weighted quick-union analysis

Analysis.

- Find: takes time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$.
- Union: takes constant time, given roots.
- Fact: depth is at most $\lg N$. [needs proof]

| algorithm | union | find |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quick-find | $N$ | 1 |
| quick-union | $N *$ | $N$ |
| weighted QU | $\lg N *$ | $\lg N$ |

* includes cost of finding root
Q. Stop at guaranteed acceptable performance?
A. No, easy to improve further.

Improvement 2: path compression

Quick union with path compression. Just after computing the root of p, set the id of each examined node to root (p).


## Path compression: Java implementation

Standard implementation: add second loop to root() to set the id of each examined node to the root.

Simpler one-pass variant: halve the path length by making every other node in path point to its grandparent.

```
public int root(int i)
{
    while (i != id[i])
    {
        id[i] = id[id[i]]; « only one extra line of code!
        i = id[i];
    }
    return i;
}
```

In practice. No reason not to! Keeps tree almost completely flat.

Weighted quick-union with path compression example

(1) (1) (2) (3) (5) (5) (7) (8) (9)
(1) (1) (2) (4) $^{3}$ (9) ${ }^{\text {(5) (6) (7) (8) }}$
(8) (1) (2) (4) $\left.^{3}\right)^{(9)}$ (5) (6) (7)
(8) $^{(1)}$ (2) $\left.{ }^{3}\right)_{(9)}^{\text {(5) (6) (7) }}$
(8) $^{(1)}$ (2) ${ }^{3}$ (4) (5) (5) ${ }^{(7)}$



(1) (1) (2) (4) (5) (6-7(9)
no problem:
trees stay VERY flat

WQUPC performance

Theorem. [Tarjan 1975] Starting from an empty data structure, any sequence of $M$ union and find operations on $N$ objects takes $O\left(N+M \lg { }^{\star} N\right)$ time.

- Proof is very difficult.
- But the algorithm is still simple!

```
\uparrow
actually O(N+M \alpha(M,N))
    see COS 423
```


## Linear algorithm?

- Cost within constant factor of reading in the data.
- In theory, WQUPC is not quite linear.
- In practice, WQUPC is linear.


| $N$ | $\lg ^{\star} N$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 16 | 3 |
| 65536 | 4 |
| $2^{65536}$ | 5 |
| Ig* function |  |

Amazing fact. No linear-time linking strategy exists.

Summary

Bottom line. WQUPC makes it possible to solve problems that could not otherwise be addressed.

| algorithm | worst-case time |
| :---: | :---: |
| quick-find | $M N$ |
| quick-union | $M N$ |
| weighted QU | $N+M \log N$ |
| QU + path compression | $N+M \log N$ |
| weighted QU + path compression | $N+M \lg N$ |

$M$ union-find operations on a set of $N$ objects

## Ex. [10 ${ }^{9}$ unions and finds with $10^{9}$ objects]

- WQUPC reduces time from 30 years to 6 seconds.
- Supercomputer won't help much; good algorithm enables solution.


## Union-find applications

- Percolation.
- Games (Go, Hex).
$\checkmark$ Network connectivity.
- Least common ancestor.
- Equivalence of finite state automata.
- Hoshen-Kopelman algorithm in physics.
- Hinley-Milner polymorphic type inference.
- Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithm.
- Compiling equivalence statements in Fortran.
- Morphological attribute openings and closings.
- Matlab's bwlabel () function in image processing.



## Percolation

A model for many physical systems:

- N -by-N grid of sites.
- Each site is open with probability p (or blocked with probability 1-p).
- System percolates if top and bottom are connected by open sites.



## Percolation

A model for many physical systems:

- N -by- N grid of sites.
- Each site is open with probability p (or blocked with probability 1-p).
- System percolates if top and bottom are connected by open sites.

| model | system | vacant site | occupied site | percolates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| electricity | material | conductor | insulated | conducts |
| fluid flow | material | empty | blocked | porous |
| social interaction | population | person | empty | communicates |

Likelihood of percolation

Depends on site vacancy probability $p$.

plow
does not percolate


p medium
percolates?


phigh
percolates


Percolation phase transition

Theory guarantees a sharp threshold $p^{*}$ (when $N$ is large).

- $p>p^{\star}$ : almost certainly percolates.
- $p<p^{\star}$ : almost certainly does not percolate.
Q. What is the value of $p^{*}$ ?



## Monte Carlo simulation

- Initialize N -by- N whole grid to be blocked.
- Make random sites open until top connected to bottom.
- Vacancy percentage estimates p*.


UF solution to find percolation threshold

How to check whether system percolates?

- Create object for each site.
- Sites are in same set if connected by open sites.
- Percolates if any site in top row is in same set as any site in bottom row.
brute force alg would need to check $N^{2}$ pairs

| 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 6 | 15 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 24 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 31 |
| 32 | 33 | 25 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 |
| 40 | 41 | 25 | 43 | 36 | 45 | 46 | 47 |
| 48 | 49 | 25 | 51 | 36 | 53 | 47 | 47 |
| 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 47 |

$N=8$

UF solution to find percolation threshold
Q. How to declare a new site open?


UF solution to find percolation threshold
Q. How to declare a new site open?
A. Take union of new site and all adjacent open sites.


## UF solution: a critical optimization

Q. How to avoid checking all pairs of top and bottom sites?
A. Create a virtual top and bottom objects;
system percolates when virtual top and bottom objects are in same set.

full open site
(connected to top)
empty open site
(not connected to top)
blocked site
virtual bottom row $\rightarrow$

## Percolation threshold

Q. What is percolation threshold $\mathrm{p}^{*}$ ?
A. About 0.592746 for large square lattices.
percolation constant known
only via simulation


Subtext of today's lecture (and this course)

Steps to developing a usable algorithm.

- Model the problem.
- Find an algorithm to solve it.
- Fast enough? Fits in memory?
- If not, figure out why.
- Find a way to address the problem.
- Iterate until satisfied.

The scientific method.

Mathematical analysis.

