COS 424: Interacting with Data

Lecturer: David Blei	Lecture $\# 3$
Scribe: Anthony Soroka, Ilya Tsinis	2/12/08

I. Monty Hall Problem

1/3 chance - picked correctly initially (don't switch), 2/3 chance - picked incorrectly initially (switch)

 C_i = indicator that the car is behind door i H_{ij} = indicator that the host chooses door j when the player chooses door i

 $P(H_{ij}|C_k = 1) = 0$ if i = j = 0 if j = k = 1/2 if i = k = 1 if $i \neq k, j \neq k$ (technically, $alsoi \neq j$

Monty opens door 3

 $P(C_1|H_{13})\alpha p(C_1) * P(H_{13}|C_1 = 1) = 1/3 * 1/2 = 1/6$ $P(C_2|H_{13})\alpha p(C_2) * P(H_{13}|C_2 = 1) = 1/3 * 1 = 1/3$

Alternate Method

X= indicator that the correct door is picked initially

 $P(X = 1 | \text{host opens a door}) = P(X = 1, \text{host opens a door})_{\overline{P(}} \text{host opens a door})$

P(X = 1, host opens a door) = P(host opens a door|X = 1) * P(X = 1) = 1/3

P(host opens a door) = 1

Therefore, $P(X = 1 | \text{host opens a door}) = \frac{1/3}{1} = 1/3$ So the contestant should switch

II. Probability

Continuous R.V.s

Density $p(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx = 1$

Probability is an integral over a smaller interval

 $P(X\epsilon(-2.4, 6.5)) = \int_{-2.4}^{6.5} p(x)dx$

Gaussian Distribution $P(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}*\sigma} * e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}$

Are μ , σ^2 parameters or random variables? This is a great debate between Bayesian and Frequentists -In this class, we'll be both!

 $\mu \epsilon R, \sigma^2 \epsilon R^+$

Expectaion

Consider a function of an r.v. f(X) Expectation is weighted average of f(X)

 $E[f(X)] = \sum_{x} p(x)f(x)$

continuous case:

 $E[f(X)] = \int p(x)f(x)dx$ $\mu = E[X]$ $\sigma^2 = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 Conditional Expectation$ $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}] = \sum_{x} p(x|y)f(x)$ Units: E[f(X)|Y = y] - scaler, E[f(X)|Y] - random variable

$$E[E[f(X) - Y = y]] = \sum_{y} p(y)E[f(X)|Y = y]$$

$$= \sum_{y} p(y)\sum_{x} p(x|y)f(x)$$
(1)
(2)

$$=\sum_{y}\sum_{x}\sum_{x}p(x,y)f(x)$$
(3)

$$=\sum_{y}\sum_{x}p(x)p(y|x)f(x)$$
(4)

$$=\sum_{x} p(x)f(x)$$
(5)
= E[f(x)]

(6)

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x)]$$

Probability Models

- Use probability as a model of observed data - Pretend that data is drawn from an unknown distribution - INFER properties of that distribution - Use our inferences for something

IID Assumption - Independent and indetically distributed - Parameter index a distribution

e.g. coin flip has Bernouli $p(x|\pi) = \pi^{1(X=H)}(1-\pi)^{1(X=T)}$

Suppose we flip the coin N times and record the outcomes

 $X_1, ..., X_n$

Likelihood Function

$$p(X_1, ..., X_n \text{given}\pi) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \pi^{1(X_n=H)} (1-\pi)^{1(X_n=T)}$$

log-likelihood

 $L(\pi, X_i, ..., X_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N 1(X_n = H) \log \pi + 1(X_n = T) \log(1 - \pi)$ L(\pi, X_i, ..., X_n) = n_H \log\pi + n_T \log(1 - \pi)

(MLE) Maximum Likelihood Estimate (i.e. Why do we care about log-likelihood?)

The value of the parameter that maximizes the log likelihood (equivalently the likelihood) of the observed data

MLE
$$\hat{\pi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[X_n = H] = \frac{n_h}{N}$$

Why do we like MLE?

- Consistent - If we see more and more coin flips we will get closer and closer to the true probabilities