## Lecture 20: Analysis of Algorithms

## Overview

Analysis of algorithms: framework for comparing algorithms and predicting performance.

Scientific method

- Observe some feature of the universe.
- Hypothesize a model that is consistent with observation.
- Predict events using the hypothesis.
- Verify the predictions by making further observations.
- Validate the theory by repeating the previous steps until the hypothesis agrees with the observations.


## Algorithmic Successes

## N -body Simulation.

- Simulate gravitational interactions among $N$ bodies.
- Brute force: $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ steps.
- Barnes-Hut: $N \log N$ steps, enables new research.

Discrete Fourier transform.

- Break down waveform of $N$ samples into periodic components. Applications: DVD players, JPEG, analysis of astronomical data, medical imaging, nonlinear Schrödinger equation, ....
- Brute force: N2 steps.
- FFT algorithm: $\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N}$ steps, enables new technology.


## Sorting.

- Rearrange N items in ascending order.
- Fundamental information processing abstraction.
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## Case Study: Sorting

Sorting problem:

- Given $N$ items, rearrange them in ascending order.
- Applications: statistics, databases, data compression, computational biology, computer graphics, scientific computing, ...


Insertion sort.

- Brute-force sorting solution.
- Move left-to-right through array.
- Exchange next element with larger elements to its left, one-by-one.

```
public static void insertionSort(double[] a) {
    int N = a.length;
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
        for (int j = i; j > 0; j--) {
            if (less(a[j], a[j-1]))
                exch(a, j, j-1);
            else break;
        }
    }
}
```


## Insertion Sort: Observation

Observe and tabulate running time for various values of N .

- Data source: N random numbers between 0 and 1 .
- Machine: Apple G5 1.8GHz with 1.5GB memory running OS X.
- Timing: Skagen wristwatch.

| $N$ | Comparisons | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5,000 | 6.2 million | 0.13 seconds |
| 10,000 | 25 million | 0.43 seconds |
| 20,000 | 99 million | 1.5 seconds |
| 40,000 | 400 million | 5.6 seconds |
| 80,000 | 16 million | 23 seconds |

Sorting helper functions.

- Is real number x strictly less than y ?

```
public static boolean less(double x, double y) {
    return (x < y);
}
```

- Swap real numbers stored in a[i] and a[j].

```
public static void exch(double[] a, int i, int j) {
    double swap = a[i];
    a[i] = a[j];
    a[j] = swap;
}
```

Insertion Sort: Experimental Hypothesis

Data analysis. Plot \# comparisons vs. input size on log-log scale.


Regression. Fit line through data points $\approx a N^{b}$.
Hypothesis. \# comparisons grows quadratically with input size $\approx \mathrm{N}^{2} / 4$.

Insertion Sort: Prediction and Verification

Experimental hypothesis. \# comparisons $\approx \mathrm{N}^{2} / 4$.

Prediction. 400 million comparisons for $N=40,000$

Observations.

| $N$ | Comparisons | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40,000 | 401.3 million | 5.595 sec |
| 40,000 | 399.7 million | 5.573 sec |
| 40,000 | 401.6 million | 5.648 sec |
| 40,000 | 400.0 million | 5.632 sec |

Agrees.

Prediction. 10 billion comparisons for $\mathrm{N}=200,000$.

Observation. N Comparisons Time

| N | Comparisons | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200,000 | 9.997 billion | 145 seconds |

## Insertion Sort: Validation

## Number of comparisons depends on input family

- Ascending: N.
- Random: N2/4
- Descending: N2/2.


Insertion Sort: Theoretical Hypothesis

Worst case. (descending)

- Iteration i requires i comparisons.
. Total $=0+1+2+\ldots+\mathrm{N}-2+\mathrm{N}-1=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1) / 2$.


Average case. (random)

- Iteration i requires $\mathrm{i} / 2$ comparisons on average.
- Total $=0+1 / 2+2 / 2+\ldots+(N-1) / 2=N(N-1) / 4$.

Difference. Theoretical model can apply to machines not yet built.
Experimental hypothesis.

- Measure running times, plot, and fit curve
- Model useful for predicting, but not for explaining.

Theoretical hypothesis.

- Analyze algorithm to estimate \# comparisons as a function of: - number of elements $N$ to sort
- average or worst case input
- Model useful for predicting and explaining
- Model is independent of a particular machine or compiler

Insertion Sort: Theoretical Hypothesis

Theoretical hypothesis.

| Analysis | Comparisons | Stddev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Worst | $\mathrm{N}^{2} / 2$ | NA |
| Average | $\mathrm{N}^{2} / 4$ | $1 / 6 \mathrm{~N}^{3 / 2}$ |
| Best | N | NA |

Validation. Theory agrees with observations.
Remark. Supercomputer can' $\dagger$ rescue a bad algorithm.

## Quicksort

Quicksort.
$\Rightarrow$. Partition array so that:

- some partitioning element a [m] is in its final position
- no larger element to the left of $m$
- no smaller element to the right of $m$

partitioned array

| Computer | Comparisons <br> Per Second | Thousand | Million | Billion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| laptop | $10^{7}$ | instant | 1 day | 3 centuries |
| super | $10^{12}$ | instant | 1 second | 2 weeks |

Quicksort.
$\Rightarrow$. Partition array so that:

- some partitioning element a [m] is in its final position
- no larger element to the left of $m$
- no smaller element to the right of $m$

| $Q$ | $U$ | $I$ | $C$ | $K$ | $S$ | $O$ | $R$ | $T$ | $I$ | $S$ | $C$ | $O$ | $O$ | $L$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Quicksort
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## Quicksort

Quicksort.

- Partition array so that:
- some partitioning element a [m] is in its final position
- no larger element to the left of $m$
- no smaller element to the right of $m$
$\Rightarrow$. Sort each "half" recursively.


| $C$ | $C$ | $I$ | $I$ | $K$ | $L$ | $O$ | $O$ | $O$ | $Q$ | $R$ | $S$ | $S$ | $T$ | $U$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

sort each piece

## Quicksort.

- Partition array so that:
- some partitioning element a $[\mathrm{m}]$ is in its final position
- no larger element to the left of $m$
- no smaller element to the right of $m$
. Sort each "half" recursively.
public static void quicksort(double[] a, int left, int right) if (right <= left) return;
int $i=$ partition(a, left, right) ;
quicksort(a, left, i-1);
quicksort(a, i+1, right);
\}

Quicksort : Implementing Partition
Q. How to partition in-place efficiently?

public static int partition(double[] a, int left, int right) int $i=1$ left - 1
int $j=r i g h t ;$
while(true) \{
while (less (a[++i], a[right]))
while (less (a[right], a[--j])) if ( $j==$ left) break;
find item on left to swap
find item on right to swap
if (i $>=\mathbf{j}$ ) break; check if pointers cross
$\operatorname{exch}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$; swap
\}
exch (a, i, right) ; swap with partitioning element
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { exch (a, i, right); } & \text { swap with partitioning element } \\ \text { return } \mathbf{i} ; & \text { return index where crossing occurs }\end{array}$
\}

Observe and tabulate running time for various values of N .

- Data source: first $N$ words of Charles Dicken's life work.
- Machine: Apple G5 1.8 GHz with 1.5 GB memory running OS X.

| $N$ | Comparisons | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200,000 | 4.5 million | 0.10 sec |
| 400,000 | 9.5 million | 0.23 sec |
| 1 million | 26 million | 0.47 sec |
| 2 million | 55 million | 0.96 sec |
| 4 million | 120 million | 2.0 sec |
| 8 million | 240 million | 4.2 sec |

Remark. Takes 1.8 seconds to generate input of size 8 million!

Quicksort: Preliminary Hypothesis

Experimental hypothesis. Number of comparisons $\approx 30 \mathrm{~N}$.


Quicksort: Prediction and Verification

Experimental hypothesis. Number of comparisons $\approx 30 \mathrm{~N}$.
Prediction. 120 million comparisons for $\mathrm{N}=4$ million.
Observations.

| N | Comparisons | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 million | 112.9 million | 2.04 sec |
| 4 million | 116.7 million | 2.07 sec |
| 4 million | 116.8 million | 2.02 sec |

Agrees.

Prediction. 600 million comparisons for $N=20$ million.

| Observations. | N | Comparisons | Time | Not quite. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 million | 638 million | 11.1 sec |  |
|  | 100 million | 3.6 billion | 60.6 sec |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Order of Growth

Asymptotic running time.

- Estimate time as a function of input size N.
- Ignore lower order terms and leading coefficients.
- when $N$ is large, terms are negligible
- when $N$ is small, we don' $\dagger$ care
- Ex: $6 \mathrm{~N}^{3}+17 \mathrm{~N}^{2}+56$ is asymptotically proportional to $\mathrm{N}^{3}$.

| Complexity | Description | When $N$ doubles, <br> running time |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Constant algorithm is independent of input size. | does not change <br> increases by a |
| $\log \mathrm{N}$ | Logarithmic algorithm gets slightly slower as N <br> grows. | constant |
| N | Linear algorithm is optimal if you need to <br> process N inputs. | doubles |
| $\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N}$ | Linearithmic algorithm scales to huge problems. | slightly more <br> than doubles |
| $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ | Quadratic algorithm practical for use only on <br> relatively small problems. | quadruples |
| $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ | Exponential algorithm is not usually practical. | squares! |

## Computational Complexity of Problems

Computational complexity. Framework to study efficiency of algorithms for solving a particular problem $X$.

Upper bound. Cost guarantee provided by some algorithm for $X$
Lower bound. Proven limit on cost guarantee of any algorithm for $X$.
Optimal algorithm. Algorithm with best cost guarantee for $X$. §
lower bound $\sim$ upper bound
Example 1: $X=$ sorting.

- Measure costs in terms of comparisons.
- Upper bound $=\mathrm{N} \log _{2} \mathrm{~N}$ with mergesort.
- Lower bound $=\mathrm{N} \log _{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N} \log _{2} e$.
- Optimal algorithm = mergesort. algorithm (see COS 226)

Mergesort.

- Divide array into two halves.


Jon von Neumann, 1945


$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l}
\mathbf{A} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{R} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Sorting Case Study: mergesort

Mergesort.

- Divide array into two halves.
- Recursively sort each half separately.
- Merge two halves to make sorted whole.
Q. How to merge efficiently?



## Computational Complexity of Problems

Computational complexity. Framework to study efficiency of algorithms for solving a particular problem X .

Upper bound. Cost guarantee provided by some algorithm for $X$
Lower bound. Proven limit on cost guarantee of any algorithm for $X$.
Optimal algorithm. Algorithm with best cost guarantee for X .

Example 2: $\mathrm{X}=$ Euclidean TSP.

- Measure cost in terms of arithmetic operations.
- Upper bound = $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ by dynamic programming. N! by brute force

Lower bound = N.

- Optimal algorithm = ask again in 50 years.

Essence of computational complexity: closing the gap.

## Summary

Sobering philosophical thoughts.

- In theory, most problems are undecidable.
- In practice, most remaining problems are intractable.
- Analysis of algorithms helps us improve the ones we use.


## Summary

How can I evaluate the performance of my algorithm?

- Computational experiments.
- Theoretical analysis.

What if it's not fast enough?

- Understand why.
- Buy a faster computer.
- Find a better algorithm in a textbook.
- Discover a new algorithm.

| Attribute | Better Machine | Better Algorithm |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Cost | $\$ \$ \$$ or more. | \$ or less. |

## Announcements

Your Very Last Exam

- Wed April 27, 7:30 PM, right here
- Closed book, but
- You can bring one cheatsheet
- both sides of one (8.5 by 11) sheet, handwritten by you
- P.S. No calculators, laptops, Palm Pilots, talking watches, etc.

Helpful review session

- Tuesday April 26, 7:30 PM, COS 105
- Not a canned presentation
- Driven by your questions

