	Fundamental Questions
Lecture 19: Universality and Computability	Universality. What is a general purpose computer? Computability. Are there problems that no machine can solve? Church-Turing thesis. Are there limits on the power of machines that we can build? Pioneering work in the 1930's. • (Princeton == center of universe). • Hilbert, Gödel, Turing, Church, von Neumann. • Automata, languages, computability, universality, complexity, logic.
COS126: General Computer Science • http://www.cs.Princeton.EDU/~cos126	2
Turing Machine: Components	Java: As Powerful As Turing Machine
 Alan Turing sought the most primitive model of a computing device. Stores input, output, and intermediate results. One arbitrarily long strip, divided into cells. Finite alphabet of symbols. Tape head. Points to one cell of tape. Reads a symbol from active cell. Writes a symbol to active cell at a time. 	<pre>Turing machines are equivalent in power to TOY and Java. Can use Java to solve any problem that can be solved with a TM. Can use TM to solve any problem that can be solved with Java. Can use TOY to solve any problem that can be solved with Java. Java simulator for Turing machines. State state = start; while (true) { char c = tape.readSymbol(); tape.write(state.symbolToWrite(c)); state = state.next(c); if (state.isLeft()) tape.moveLeft(); else if (state.isHalt()) break; } </pre>

TOY: As Powerful As Java Turing Machine: As Powerful As TOY Machine Turing machines are equivalent in power to TOY and Java. Turing machines are equivalent in power to TOY and Java. • Can use Java to solve any problem that can be solved with a TM. • Can use Java to solve any problem that can be solved with a TM. • Can use TM to solve any problem that can be solved with a TOY. • Can use TM to solve any problem that can be solved with a TOY. Can use TOY to solve any problem that can be solved with Java. • Can use TOY to solve any problem that can be solved with Java. Turing machine simulator for TOY programs. TOY simulator for Java programs. • Encode state of memory, registers, pc, onto Turing tape. • Variables, loops, arrays, functions, linked lists, Design TM states for each instruction. In principle, can write a Java-to-TOY compiler! Can do because all instructions:

6

8

Java, Turing Machines, and TOY

Turing machines are equivalent in power to TOY and Java.

• Can use Java to solve any problem that can be solved with a TM.

- make well-defined changes depending on current state

- Can use TM to solve any problem that can be solved with a TOY.
- Can use TOY to solve any problem that can be solved with Java.

Also works for:

- C, C++, Python, Perl, Excel, Outlook,
- Mac, PC, Cray, Palm pilot, . . .

- examine current state

TiVo, Xbox, Java cell phone,

Does not work:

- DFA or regular expressions.
- Gaggia espresso maker.

Not Enough Storage?

7

9

Implicit assumption.

- TOY machine and Java program have unbounded amount of memory.
- Otherwise Turing machine is strictly more powerful.
- . Is this assumption reasonable?

	🛛 🔇 🛓	1		
Saving: theinternet.zip from	the Internet			
Estimated time left: Download to: Transfer rate:	4,381 years (14kb of 23,993,5 C:Downloads\theinternet.zip 41.2 KB/Sec	64,998 MB c	opied)	
Close this dialog	box when download completes	-		

Universal Turing Machine	Representation of a Turing Machine
Java program: solves one specific problem. TOY program: solves one specific problem. TM: solves one specific problem. Java simulator in Java: Java program to simulate any Java program. TOY simulator in TOY: TOY program to simulate any TOY program. UTM: Turing machine that can simulate any Turing machine.	 Special-purpose TM consists of 3 ingredients. TM program. Initial tape contents. Current TM state.
 General purpose machine. UTM can implement any algorithm. Your laptop can do any computational task: word-processing, pictures, music, movies, games, finance, science, email, Web, 	1
Universal Turing Machine	Universal Turing Machine
Universal Turing Machine (UTM),A specific TM that simulates operations of any TM.	Universal Turing Machine (UTM). A specific TM that simulates operations of any TM.
<pre>busine the section of the secti</pre>	<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header></section-header>

Church-Turing Thesis

Church Turing thesis (1936). Turing machines can do any computation that can be done by any real computer.

Implications:

- No need to seek more powerful machines.
- If a computational problem can't be solved with a Turing machine, then it can't be solved on any physical computing device.

Remarks.

• "Thesis" and not a mathematical theorem because it's a statement about the physical world and not subject to proof.

Turing machine: a simple and universal model of computation.

Other Universal Models of Computation

Model of Computation	Description
Enhanced Turing Machines	Multiple heads, multiple tapes, 2D tape, nondeterminism.
Untyped Lambda Calculus	A method to define and manipulate functions. Basis of functional programming language like Lisp and ML.
Recursive Functions	Functions dealing with computation on natural numbers.
Unrestricted Grammars	Iterative string replacement rules used by linguists to describe natural languages.
Extended L-Systems	Parallel string replacement rules that model the growth of plants.
Cellular Automata	Boolean array of cells whose values change according only to the state of the adjacent cells, e.g., Game of Life.
Random Access Machines	Finitely many registers plus memory that can be accessed with an integer address. TOY, G5, Pentium IV.
Programming Languages	Java, C, C++, Perl, Python, PHP, Lisp, PostScript, Excel

Computability



Take any definite unsolved problem, such as the question as to the irrationality of the Euler-Mascheroni constant γ, or the existence of an infinite number of prime numbers of the form 2ⁿ⁻¹. However unapproachable these problems may seem to us and however helpless we stand before them, we have, nevertheless, the firm conviction that their solution must follow by a finite number of purely logical processes. -David Hilbert, in his 1900 address to the International Congress of Mathematics 14

Halting Problem

Halting problem. Write a Java function that reads in a Java function f and its input x, and decides whether f(x) results in an infinite loop.

integer that equals the sum of its proper divisors

Ex: is there a *perfect* number of the form: 1, 1+x, 1+2x, 1+3x,

- x = 1: halts when n = 28 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14.
- x = 2: finding odd perfect number is famous open math problem.



Undecidable Problem

A yes-no problem is undecidable if no Turing machine exists to solve it.

Theorem (Turing, 1937). The halting problem is undecidable.

- No Turing machine can solve the halting problem.
- By universality, not possible to write a Java function either.

Proof intuition: lying paradox.

- Divide all statements into two categories: truths and lies.
- . How do we classify the statement: I am lying.

Key element of paradox: self-reference.

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt(f,x):

- Input: a function f and its input x.
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.

Construct function strange(f) as follows:

- If halt(f, f) returns true, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop.
- If halt(f, f) returns false, then strange(f) halts.

```
f is a string so legal (if perverse)
to use for second input
```

```
public void strange(String f) {
    if (halt(f, f)) {
        while (true)
        ;
    }
}
```

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt (f, x):

- . Input: a function ${\tt f}$ and its input ${\tt x}.$
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.
- Note: halt (f, x) does not go into infinite loop.

We prove by contradiction that halt(f,x) does not exist.

 Reductio ad absurdum: if any logical argument based on an assumption leads to an absurd statement, then assumption is false.

encode f and x as strings

public boolean halt(String f, String x) {
 if (???) return true;
 else return false;
}

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt (f, x):

- Input: a function f and its input x.
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.

Construct function strange(f) as follows:

- . If halt(f, f) returns true, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop
- If halt(f, f) returns false, then strange(f) halts.

In other words:

- If f(f) halts, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop.
- If f(f) does not halt, then strange(f) halts.

20

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt(f,x):

- . Input: a function ${\tt f}$ and its input ${\tt x}.$
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.

Construct function strange(f) as follows:

- If halt(f, f) returns true, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop
- If halt(f,f) returns false, then strange(f) halts.

In other words:

- If f(f) halts, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop.
- If f(f) does not halt, then strange(f) halts.

Call strange () with ITSELF as input.

- If strange(strange) halts then strange(strange) does not halt.
- If strange(strange) does not halt then strange(strange) halts.

Halting Problem Proof

Assume the existence of halt(f,x):

- Input: a function f and its input x.
- Output: true if f(x) halts, and false otherwise.

Construct function strange(f) as follows:

- If halt(f, f) returns true, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop
- If halt(f, f) returns false, then strange(f) halts.

In other words:

- If f(f) halts, then strange(f) goes into an infinite loop.
- If f(f) does not halt, then strange(f) halts.

Call strange() with ITSELF as input.

- If strange(strange) halts then strange(strange) does not halt.
- If strange(strange) does not halt then strange(strange) halts.

Either way, a contradiction. Hence halt(f,x) cannot exist.

25

Consequences

Halting problem is not "artificial."

- Undecidable problem reduced to simplest form to simplify proof.
- Self-reference not essential.
- Closely related to practical problems.

No input halting problem. Give a function with no input, does it halt?

Program equivalence. Do two programs always produce the same output?

Uninitialized variables. Is variable x initialized?

Dead code elimination. Does control flow ever reach this point in a program?

More Undecidable Problems

Hilbert's 10th problem.

• "Devise a process according to which it can be determined by a finite number of operations whether a given multivariate polynomial has an integral root."

Examples.

- $f(x, y, z) = 6x^3yz^2 + 3xy^2 x^3 10$.
- $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 3$.
- $f(x, y, z) = x^n + y^n z^n$



- ← yes: f(5,3,0)=0 ← no
 - yes if n = 2, x = 3, y = 4, z = 5
- no if n ≥ 3 and x, y, z > 0. (Fermat's Last Theorem)



Andrew Wiles, 1995

More Undecidable Problems

Optimal data compression. Find the shortest program to produce a given string or picture.



Mandelbrot Set (40 lines of code)

More Undecidable Problems



31

More Undecidable Problems

Virus identification. Is this program a virus?



Speculative Models of Computation

Rule of thumb. Any pile of junk that has state and a deterministic set of rules is universal, and hence has intrinsic limitations!

Model of Computation	Description
Quantum Computer	Compute using the superposition of quantum states.
Billiard Ball Computer	Colliding billiard balls with barriers and elastic collisions.
DNA Computer	Compute using biological operations on DNA strands.
Soliton Collision System	Time-gated Manakov spatial solitions in a homogeneous medium.
Dynamical System	Dynamics based computing based on chaos.
Logic	Formal mathematics.
Human Brain	333

Turing's Key Ideas

33

Turing's 4 key ideas.

- Computing is the same as manipulating symbols. Encode numbers as strings.
- Computable at all = computing with a Turing machine. Church-Turing thesis.
- Existence of Universal Turing machine. general-purpose, programming computers
- Undecidability of the Halting problem. computers have inherent limitations

Turing's Key Ideas



Hailed as one of top 10 science papers of 20th century.

Reference: On Computable Numbers, With an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem by A. M. Turing. In Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, ser. 2. vol. 42 (1936-7), pp.230-265.