

Operator overloading

- **almost all C operators can be overloaded**
 - new meaning can be defined when one operand is a user-defined (class) type
 - define **operator +** for object of type T
`T T::operator+(int n) { ... }`
 - define regular + for object(s) of type T
`T operator +(T f, int n) { ... }`
 - can't redefine operators for built-in types
`int operator +(int n, int m) { ... }` is ILLEGAL
- **3 examples**
 - complex numbers
 - IO streams (very briefly)
 - subscripting

Complex numbers

- **a complex number is a pair of doubles**
 - (real part, imaginary part)
- **supports arithmetic operations like +, -, ***
- **a basically arithmetic type for which operator overloading makes sense**
 - `complex` added as explicit type in 1999 C standard
 - in C++, can create it as needed
- **also illustrates**
 - friend declarations
 - implicit coercions
 - default constructors

Class complex, version 1

```
class complex {
private:
    double re, im;
public:
    complex(double r, double i) { re = r; im = i; }
    friend complex cadd(complex, complex);
    friend complex cmul(complex, complex);
};

complex cadd(complex x, complex y)
{
    complex temp(0, 0); // initial values required
    temp.re = x.re + y.re;
    temp.im = x.im + y.im;
    return temp;
}

• this uses ordinary (non-class) functions to
  manipulate complex numbers
• friend declaration permits cadd() to access
  private representation info

• awkward notation: for  $c = a + b * c$ , write
   $c = \text{cadd}(a, \text{cmul}(b, c))$ 
```

Version 2: constructors, overloading

```
class complex {
private:
    double re, im;
public:
    complex(double r, double i) { re = r; im = i; }
    complex(double r) { re = r; im = 0; }
    complex() { re = im = 0; }

    friend complex operator +(complex, complex);
    friend complex operator *(complex, complex);
};

complex operator +(complex x, complex y)
{
    return complex(x.re + y.re, x.im + y.im);
}

complex a, b, c;
c = a + b * c;

• operator overloading gives natural notation
• multiple constructors permit different kinds of
  initializations
• no such thing as an uninitialized complex
  - C runtime error is a C++ compile-time error
```

Version 3: coercions, default args

```
class complex {  
    private:  
        double re, im;  
    public:  
        complex(double r = 0, double i = 0)  
            { re = r; im = i; }  
  
        friend complex operator +(complex, complex);  
        friend complex operator *(complex, complex);  
};  
  
complex a(1.1, 2.2), b(3.3), c(4), d;  
  
c = 2 * a + b * c;  
  
• note coercion of 2 -> 2.0 -> complex(2.0)  
  
• default arguments achieve same results as  
overloaded function definitions  
  
• normally write initializers as  
complex(double r = 0, double i = 0) : re(r), im(i) {}
```

Version 4: change of representation

- polar coordinates (r, θ) instead of (re, im)
- private part changes but external does not have to

```
class complex {  
    private:  
        double r, theta; // polar coordinates  
    public:  
        complex(double re = 0, double im = 0)  
            { r = sqrt(re*re+im*im);  
              theta = atan2(im, re); /* or whatever */ }  
  
        friend complex operator +(complex, complex);  
        friend complex operator *(complex, complex);  
};
```

- friend functions that depend on private part have to
change

Notes on operator overloading

- **applies to all operators except . and ?:**
 - operator () left-side function calls
 - operator , simulates lists
 - operator -> smart pointers
- **works well for algebraic and arithmetic domains**
 - complex, bignums, vectors & matrices, ...
- **BUT DON'T GET CARRIED AWAY:**
- **you can't change precedence or associativity of existing operators**
 - e.g., if use ^ for exponentiation, precedence is still low
- **you can't define new operators**
- **meanings should make sense in terms of existing operators**
 - e.g., don't overload - to mean + and vice versa

Simple vector class (v0.c)

- based on overloading operator []

```
class ivec {
    int *v;           // pointer to an array
    int size;         // number of elements
public:
    ivec(int n) { v = new int[size = n]; }

    int operator [](int n) { // checked access
        assert(n >= 0 && n < size);
        return v[n];
    }
    int elem(int n) { return v[n]; } // unchecked
};

main()
{
    ivec iv(10);          // declaration
    int i;

    i = iv.elem(10);     // unchecked access
    i = iv[10];          // checked access
}
```

What about lvalue access?

- vector element as target of assignment

```
main()
{
    ivec iv(10);           // declaration
    iv[10] = 1;            // checked access
    iv.elem(10) = 2;       // unchecked access
}

$ g++ v1.c
v1.c:22: non-lvalue in assignment
v1.c:23: non-lvalue in assignment
$ CC v1.c
"v1.c", line 22: Error: The left operand cannot be
assigned to.
"v1.c", line 23: Error: The left operand cannot be
assigned to.
```

- need a way to access object, not a copy of it
- in C, use pointers
- in C++, use references

References (swap.c)

- attaching a name to an object
- a way to get "call by reference" (var) parameters without using pointers

```
void swap(int &x, int &y)
{
    int temp;
    temp = x;
    x = y;
    y = temp;
}

• a way to access an object without copying it

stack s;
stack t = s; // may not want to copy

f(s);        // ...
return s;    // ...

stack s, t;
t = s; // want to control the assignment
```

Lvalue access (v2.c)

```
class ivec {
    int *v;          // pointer to an array
    int size;        // number of elements
public:
    ivec(int n) { v = new int[size = n]; }

    int& operator[](int n) {
        assert(n >= 0 && n < size);
        return v[n]; }

    int& elem(int n) { return v[n]; } // unchecked
};

ivec iv(10);           // declaration
iv.elem(10) = 2;       // unchecked access
iv[10] = 1;            // checked access
```

- reference gives access to object so it can be changed

Non-zero origin arrays

```
class ivec {
    int *v;          // pointer to an array
    int size;        // number of elements
    int orig;        // origin; default 0
public:
    ivec(int n) { v = new int[size = n]; orig = 0; }
    // elems are 0 .. n-1
    ivec(int o, int e)
    { v = new int[size = e-o]; orig = o; }
    // elems are o .. o+e-1

    int& operator[](int n) {
        assert(n >= orig && n < size+orig);
        return v[n-orig]; }

    int& elem(int n) { return v[n-orig]; } // unchecked
};

main()
{
    ivec iv(2000, 2010); // declaration
    iv.elem(2000) = 2;   // unchecked access
    iv[2010] = 1;        // checked access
}
```

Iostream library (very quick sketch only)

- how can we do I/O of user-defined types with non-function syntax
- C printf can be used in C++
 - no type checking
 - no mechanism for I/O of user-defined types
- Java System.out.print(arg) or equivalent
 - type checking only in trivial sense:
calls toString method for object
 - bulky, notationally clumsy
one call per item
- can we do better?
- Iostream library
 - overloads << for output, >> for input
 - permits I/O of sequence of expressions
 - type safety for built-in and user-defined types
 - natural integration of I/O for user-defined types
same syntax and semantics as for built-in types

Basic use

- overload operator << for output, >> for input
 - very low precedence
 - left-associative, so
`cout << e1 << e2 << e3`
 - is parsed as
`((cout << e1) << e2) << e3`
- take an [io]stream& and a data item
- return the reference

```
#include <iostream.h>
ostream&
operator<<(ostream& o, const complex& c)
{
    o << "(" << c.real() << ", "
           << c.imag() << ")";
    return o;
}
```

- iostreams cin, cout, cerr already open
 - correspond to stdin, stdout, stderr

Input with iostreams

```
#include <iostream.h>

main()
{
    char name[100];
    double val;

    while (cin >> name >> val) {
        cout << name << " = " << val << "\n";
    }
}
```