## Lecture 1: Introduction



Algorithms and Data Structures Princeton University<br>Spring 2004<br>Kevin Wayne

## What is COS 226?

- Intermediate-level survey course.
- Programming and problem solving with applications.
- Algorithms: method for solving a problem.
- Data structures: method to store information.

| Data Structure | Algorithms |
| :---: | :---: |
| union-find | weighted quick union with path compression |
| sorting | quicksort, mergesort, heapsort. radix sorts |
| priority queue | binary heap |
| symbol table | BST, red-black tree, hash table, TST, k-d tree |
| string | KMP, Rabin-Karp, Huffman, LZW, Burrows-Wheeler |
| graph | Prim, Kruskal, Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford, Ford-Fulkerson |

## Imagine a World With No Good Algorithms

Multimedia. CD player, DVD, MP3, JPG, DivX, HDTV.
Internet. Packet routing, Google, Akamai.
Secure communications. Cell phones, e-commerce.
Information processing. Database search, data compression.
Computers. Circuit layout, file system, compilers.
Computer graphics. Hollywood movies, video games.
Biology. Human genome project, protein folding.
Astrophysics. N-body simulation.
Transportation. Airline crew scheduling, map routing.
...


## Why Study Algorithms

## Using a computer?

- Want it to go faster? Process more data?
. Want it to do something that would otherwise be impossible?

Technology improves things by a constant factor.

- But might be costly.
- Good algorithmic design can do much better and might be cheap.
- Supercomputers cannot rescue a bad algorithm.

Algorithms as a field of study.
. Old enough that basics are known.

- New enough that new discoveries arise.
- Burgeoning application areas.
- Philosophical implications.

Lectures: Kevin Wayne (Kevin)

- MW 11-12:20, CS 105.

Precepts: Nir Ailon (Nir), Miro Dudik (Miro)
. T 12:30, Friend 005.

- T 1:30, Friend 005.
- T 3:30, Friend 005.
- Clarify programming assignments, exercises, lecture material.
- First precept meets 2/10.

Weekly programming assignments: $45 \%$

- Due Thursdays $11: 59$ pm, starting 2/12.

Weekly written exercises: 15\%

- Due at beginning of Monday lecture, starting 2/9.


## Exams:

. Closed book with cheatsheet.

- Midterm. 15\%
- Final. $25 \%$

Staff discretion. Adjust borderline cases.

## Course Materials

Course web page. http://www.princeton.edu/~cos226

- Syllabus.
- Programming assignments.
- Exercises.
- Lecture notes.
- Old exams.

Algorithms in Java, $3^{\text {rd }}$ edition.

- Parts 1-4 (COS 126 text).
- Part 5 (graph algorithms).

Algorithms in $C, 2^{\text {nd }}$ edition.

- Strings and geometry handouts.
- 

note change
電


## Union Find

Quick find
Quick union
Weighted quick union
Path compression

Network connectivity.

- Nodes at grid points.
- Add connections between pairs of nodes.
. Is there a path from node $A$ to node $B$ ?


| in | out | evidence | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 34 |  |  |
| 49 | 49 |  |  |
| 80 | 80 |  | (6) |
| 23 | 23 |  | ( |
| 56 | 56 |  | , |
| 29 |  | (2-3-4-9) | ,-- |
| 59 | 59 |  | (2) (3) (4) |
| 73 | 73 |  | + |
| 48 | 48 |  |  |
| 56 |  | (5-6) |  |
| 02 |  | (2-3-4-8-0) | (0) (7) |
| 61 | 61 |  | $\bigcirc$ |

## Union-Find Abstraction

What are critical operations we need to support?

- N objects.
- grid points
- FIND: test whether two objects are in same set.
- is there a connection between $A$ and $B$ ?
- UNION: merge two sets.
- add a connection

Design efficient data structure to store connectivity information and algorithms for UNION and FIND.

- Number of operations $M$ can be huge.
- Number of objects N can be huge.


## Other Applications

More union-find applications.
$\Rightarrow$. Hex.
$\Rightarrow$. Percolation.
. Image processing.

- Minimum spanning tree
- Least common ancestor.
- Equivalence of finite state automata
- Compiling EQUIVALENCE statements in FORTRAN.
- Micali-Vazarani algorithm for nonbipartite matching.
- Weihe's algorithm for edge-disjoint $s$ - $\dagger$ paths in planar graphs.
- Scheduling unit-time tasks to $P$ processors so that each job finishes between its release time and deadline.
- Scheduling unit-time tasks with a partial order to two processors in order to minimize last completion time.

[^0]Objects
Elements are arbitrary objects in a network.

- Pixels in a digital photo.
- Computers in a network.
- Transistors in a computer chip.
- Web pages on the Internet.
- Metallic sites in a composite system.
- When programming, convenient to name them 0 to $\mathrm{N}-1$.
- When drawing, fun to use animals!



## Quick-Find


id[tiger] = id[panda] = id[bunny] = id[elephant] = elephant id[bear] = id[dragon] = id[lion] = lion
id[bat] = id[lobster] = lobster

## Quick-Find



Union(tiger, bear)


Data structure

- eger between 0 and $\mathrm{N}-1$
- Maintain array id[] with name for each of $N$ elements.
. If $p$ and $q$ are connected, then they have the same id.
. Initially, set id of each element to itself.

```
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    id[i] = i
```

Noperations

Find. To check if $p$ and $q$ are connected, see if they have same id.
return (id[p] == id[q]);
1 operations

Union. To merge components containing $p$ and $q$, change all entries with id[p] to id[q].

```
int pid = id[p]
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
    if (id[i] == pid) id[i] = id[q];
```

Problem Size and Computation Time

Rough standard for 2000.

- $10^{9}$ operations per second.
- $10^{9}$ words of main memory.
- Touch all words in approximately 1 second. (unchanged since 1950!)

Ex. Huge problem for quick find.

- $10^{10}$ edges connecting $10^{9}$ nodes.
- Quick-find might take $10^{20}$ operations. (10 ops per query)
- 3,000 years of computer time!

Paradoxically, quadratic algorithms get worse with newer equipment.

- New computer may be $10 x$ as fast.
- But, has $10 x$ as much memory so problem may be $10 x$ bigger.
- With quadratic algorithm, takes $10 x$ as long!


## Quick-Union




Quick-Union

| 3-4 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | © (1) (2) (4) © © © (8) © () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (1) © (2) } 9 \text { ( © © © © © } \\ \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 8-0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  | 7 | 0 | 9 |  |
| 2-3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) (3) © (3) © © (8) |
| 5-6 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) © © © © |
| 5-9 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 9 | 7 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) (8) (1) |
| 7-3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 |  |  | 9 | 9 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) (3) (3) (1) |
| 4-8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6-1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Quick-Union

Data structure: disjoint forests.

- Maintain array id [] for each of $N$ elements.

> keep going until it
> doesn't change
. Root of element $x=$ id[id[id[...id[p]...]]]

```
public int root(int x) {
    while (x != id[x])
        x = id[x];
    return x;
```

\}
time proportional to depth of $x$

Find. Check if $p$ and $q$ have same root.
$\operatorname{return}(\operatorname{root}(p)==\operatorname{root}(q))$;
time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$

Union. Set the id of $p$ 's root to $q$ 's root.

```
int i = root(p)
int j = root(q);
id[i] = j;
```

time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$

Quick-find defect.

- UNION too expensive.
- Trees are flat, but too hard to keep them flat.

Quick-union defect.

- Finding the root can be expensive.
- Trees could get tall.

Weighted quick-union.

- Modify quick-union to avoid tall trees.
- Keep track of size of each component.
- Balance by linking small tree below large one.



## Weighted Quick-Union



## Weighted Quick-Union

Data structure: disjoint forests.

- Also maintain array sz [i] that counts the number of elements in the tree rooted at i .

Find. Same as quick union.

Union. Same as quick union, but merge smaller tree into the larger tree and update the sz [] array.

```
if (sz[i] < sz[j]) { id[i] = j; sz[j] += sz[i]; }
else
{id[j] = i; sz[i] += sz[j]; }
```

Analysis.
now, provably at most $\lg N$
. FIND takes time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$ in tree.

- UNION takes constant time, given roots.

Is performance improved?

- Theory: $\lg N$ per union or find operation.
- Practice: constant time.

Ex. Huge practical problem.

- $10^{10}$ edges connecting $10^{9}$ nodes.
- Reduces time from 3,000 years to 1 minute
- Supercomputer wouldn' $\dagger$ help much.
. Good algorithm makes solution possible.

Stop at guaranteed acceptable performance?

- Not hard to improve algorithm further.





Weighted Quick-Union with Path Compression


Weighted Quick-Union with Path Compression

Path compression

- Add second loop to root to compress tree that sets the id of every examined node to the root.
. Simple one-pass variant: make each element point to grandparent

```
public int root(int x) {
    while (x != id[x])
        id[x] = id[id[x]]
        x = id[x];
    }
    return x
}
```

- No reason not to!
- In practice, keeps tree almost completely flat.

Theorem. A sequence of $M$ union and find operations on $N$ elements takes $O\left(N+M g^{\star} N\right)$ time.
. Proof is very difficult.

- But the algorithm is still simple!

Remark. $\lg * N$ is a constant in this universe.

| $N$ | $\lg *$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 |
| 16 | 3 |
| 65536 | 4 |
| $2^{65536}$ | 5 |

Linear algorithm?

- Cost within constant factor of reading in the data.
- Theory: WQUPC is not quite linear.
. Practice: WQUPC is linear.

Hex. (Piet Hein 1942, John Nash 1948, Parker Brothers 1962)

- Two players alternate in picking a cell in a hex grid.
- Black: make a black path from upper left to lower right.
- White: make a white path from lower left to upper right.
- Goal: algorithm to detect when a player has won?


Yet Another Application: Percolation

Percolation phase-transition.

- Two parallel conducting bars (top and bottom).
. Electricity flows from a site to one of its 4 neighbors if both are occupied by conductors.
- Suppose each site is randomly chosen to be a conductor or insulator with probability $p$. What is percolation threshold $p^{*}$ at which charge carriers can percolate from top to bottom? $\uparrow$
$\sim 0.592746$ for square lattices, but constant only known via simulation

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 0 |
| 14 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 0 |
| 14 | 14 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 0 |
| 14 | 39 | 40 | 1 | 42 | 43 | 32 | 45 | 46 | 1 | 1 | 49 |
| 50 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Lessons

Union-find summary. Online algorithm can solve problem while collecting data for "free."

| Algorithm | Time |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quick-find | $M N$ |
| Quick-union | $M N$ |
| Weighted | $N+M \log N$ |
| Path compression | $N+M \log N$ |
| Weighted + path | $5(M+N)$ |

$M$ union-find ops on a set of N elements

Simple algorithms can be very useful.

- Start with brute force approach.
- don't use for large problems
- can't use for huge problems
might be nontrivial to analyze
- Strive for worst-case performance guarantees.
- Identify fundamental abstractions. union-find, disjoint forests


[^0]:    References.

    - A Linear Time Algorithm for a Special Case of Disjoint Set Union, Gabow and Tarjan
    - The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms, Aho, Hopcroft, and Ullman.

