Kinematics & Dynamics

Thomas Funkhouser
Princeton University
C0S 426, Fall 1999

Overview

- Kinematics
  - Considers only motion
  - Determined by positions, velocities, accelerations
- Dynamics
  - Considers underlying forces
  - Compute motion from initial conditions and physics

Example: 2-Link Structure

- Two links connected by rotational joints



Forward Kinematics

- Animator specifies joint angles: Θ_1 and Θ_2
- Computer finds positions of end-effector: X



$$X = (l_1 \cos\Theta_1 + l_2 \cos(\Theta_1 + \Theta_2), l_1 \sin\Theta_1 + l_2 \sin(\Theta_1 + \Theta_2))$$

Forward Kinematics

- Joint motions can be specified by spline curves



Forward Kinematics

- Joint motions can be specified by initial conditions and velocities



$$\Theta_1(0) = 60^\circ \qquad \Theta_2(0) = 250^\circ$$

$$\frac{d\Theta_1}{dt} = 1.2 \qquad \frac{d\Theta_2}{dt} = -0.1$$

Example: 2-Link Structure

- What if animator knows position of “end-effector”



Inverse Kinematics

- Animator specifies end-effector positions: X
- Computer finds joint angles: Θ_1 and Θ_2:



$$\Theta_2 = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{x^2 + x^2 - l_1^{\,2} - l_2^{\,2}}{2 l_1 l_2}\right)$$

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{-(l_2 \sin(\Theta_2))x + (l_1 + l_2 \cos(\Theta_2))y}{(l_2 \sin(\Theta_2))y + (l_1 + l_2 \cos(\Theta_2))x}$$

Inverse Kinematics

- End-effector postions can be specified by spline curves



Inverse Kinematics

- Problem for more complex structures
  - System of equations is usually under-defined
  - Multiple solutions



Three unknowns: $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3$
Two equations: x, y

Inverse Kinematics

- Solution for more complex structures:
  - Find best solution (e.g., minimize energy in motion)
  - Non-linear optimization



Kinematics

- Forward kinematics
  - Specify conditions (joint angles)
  - Compute positions of end-effectors
- Inverse kinematics
  - "Goal-directed" motion
  - Specify goal positions of end effectors
  - Compute conditions required to achieve goals

Inverse kinematics provides easier specification for many animation tasks, but it is computationally more difficult

Overview

- Kinematics
  - Considers only motion
  - Determined by positions, velocities, accelerations
- Dynamics
  - Considers underlying forces
  - Compute motion from initial conditions and physics

Dynamics

- Simulation of physics insures realism of motion



Lasseter ‘87

Spacetime Constraints

- Animator specifies constraints:
  - What the character's physical structure is
    - » e.g., articulated figure
  - What the character has to do
    - » e.g., jump from here to there within time t
  - What other physical structures are present
    - » e.g., floor to push off and land
  - How the motion should be performed
    - » e.g., minimize energy



Spacetime Constraints

- Computer finds the "best" physical motion satisfying constraints
- Example: particle with jet propulsion
  - **x**(t) is position of particle at time t
  - **f**(t) is force of jet propulsion at time t
  - Particle's equation of motion is:



$$mx'' - f - mg = 0$$

  - Suppose we want to move from a to b within t_0 to t_1 with minimum jet fuel:

$$\text{Minimize} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left| f(t)^2 \right| dt \quad \text{subject to } x(t_0)=a \text{ and } x(t_1)=b$$

Witkin & Kass '88

Spacetime Constraints

- Discretize time steps:

$$x'_i = \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{h}$$
$$x''_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - 2x_i + x_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

$$m\left(x''_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - 2x_i + x_{i-1}}{h^2} \right) - f_i - mg = 0$$

Minimize $h\sum_i \left| f_i \right|^2$ subject to $x_0 = a$ and $x_1 = b$

Witkin & Kass '88

Spacetime Constraints

- Solve with iterative optimization methods



Witkin & Kass '88

Spacetime Constraints

- Advantages:
  - Free animator from having to specify details of physically realistic motion with spline curves
  - Easy to vary motions due to new parameters and/or new constraints
- Challenges:
  - Specifying constraints and objective functions
  - Avoiding local minima during optimization

Spacetime Constraints

- Adapting motion:



Original Jump



Heavier Base

Witkin & Kass '88

Spacetime Constraints

- Adapting motion:



Hurdle

Witkin & Kass ‘88

Spacetime Constraints

- Adapting motion:



Ski Jump

Witkin & Kass ‘88

Spacetime Constraints

- Editing motion:



Li et al. '99

Spacetime Constraints

- Morphing motion:



Gleicher '98

Spacetime Constraints

- Advantages:
  - Free animator from having to specify details of physically realistic motion with spline curves
  - Easy to vary motions due to new parameters and/or new constraints
- Challenges:
  - Specifying constraints and objective functions
  - Avoiding local minima during optimization

Dynamics

- Other physical simulations:
  - Rigid bodies
  - Soft bodies
  - Cloth
  - Liquids
  - Gases
  - etc.

Cloth
(Baraff & Witkin '98)



Hot Gases
(Foster & Metaxas '97)

Summary

- Kinematics
  - Forward kinematics
    - » Animator specifies joints (hard)
    - » Compute end-effectors (easy - assn 5!)
  - Inverse kinematics
    - » Animator specifies end-effectors (easier)
    - » Solve for joints (harder)
- Dynamics
  - Space-time constraints
    - » Animator specifies structures & constraints (easiest)
    - » Solve for motion (hardest)
  - Also other physical simulations