GEOMETRIC APPLICATIONS OF BSTs

- 1d range search
- line segment intersection
- kd trees
Overview

This lecture. Intersections among geometric objects.

Applications. CAD, games, movies, virtual reality, databases, GIS, ....

Efficient solutions. Binary search trees (and extensions).
This lecture. Only the tip of the iceberg.
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1d range search

Extension of ordered symbol table.

- Insert key-value pair.
- Search for key \( k \).
- Delete key \( k \).
- **Range search**: find all keys between \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \).
- **Range count**: find number of keys between \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \).

**Application.** Database queries.

**Geometric interpretation.**

- Keys are points on a line.
- Find/count points in a given 1d interval.

| insert B | B |
| insert D | B D |
| insert A | A B D |
| insert I | A B D I |
| insert H | A B D H I |
| insert F | A B D F H I |
| insert P | A B D F H I P |
| search G to K | H I |
| count G to K | 2 |
Suppose that the keys are stored in a sorted array. What is the order of growth of the running time to perform range count as a function of $N$ and $R$?

A. $\log R$
B. $\log N$
C. $\log N + R$
D. $N + R$
E. I don't know.

$N = \text{number of keys}$
$R = \text{number of matching keys}$
1d range search: elementary implementations

Ordered array. Slow insert; fast range search.
Unordered list. Slow insert; slow range search.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>data structure</th>
<th>insert</th>
<th>range count</th>
<th>range search</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ordered array</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>log $N$</td>
<td>$R + \log N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered list</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goal</td>
<td>log $N$</td>
<td>log $N$</td>
<td>$R + \log N$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$N = \text{number of keys}$

$R = \text{number of keys that match}$
1d range count: BST implementation

1d range count. How many keys between \( \text{lo} \) and \( \text{hi} \), inclusive?

![BST diagram]

```
public int size(Key lo, Key hi) {
    if (contains(hi)) return rank(hi) - rank(lo) + 1;
    else return rank(hi) - rank(lo);
}
```

Proposition. Running time proportional to \( \log N \).  

Pf. Nodes examined = search path to \( \text{lo} \) + search path to \( \text{hi} \).
**1d range search**: Find all keys between \( l_o \) and \( h_i \).

- Recursively find all keys in left subtree (if any could fall in range).
- Check key in current node.
- Recursively find all keys in right subtree (if any could fall in range).

**Proposition.** Running time proportional to \( R + \log N \).

**Pf.** Nodes examined = search path to \( l_o \) + search path to \( h_i \) + matches.
1d range search: summary of performance

Ordered array. Slow insert; fast range search.

Unordered list. Slow insert; slow range search.

BST. Fast insert; fast range search.

order of growth of running time for 1d range search

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>data structure</th>
<th>insert</th>
<th>range count</th>
<th>range search</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ordered array</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$\log N$</td>
<td>$R + \log N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unordered list</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goal</td>
<td>$\log N$</td>
<td>$\log N$</td>
<td>$R + \log N$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$N =$ number of keys
$R =$ number of keys that match
**Goal.** Insert intervals \((\text{left, right})\) and support queries of the form "how many intervals contain \(x\)?"
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Orthogonal line segment intersection

Given $N$ horizontal and vertical line segments, find all intersections.

Quadradic algorithm. Check all pairs of line segments for intersection.
Microprocessors and geometry

Early 1970s. Microprocessor design became a geometric problem.
- Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI).
- Computer-Aided Design (CAD).

Design-rule checking.
- Certain wires cannot intersect.
- Certain spacing needed between different types of wires.
- Debugging = line segment (or rectangle) intersection.
Algorithms and Moore's law

Moore’s law (1965). Transistor count doubles every 2 years.

http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File%3ATransistor_Count_and_Moore%27s_Law_-_2011.svg
Algorithms and Moore's law

Sustaining Moore's law.

- Problem size doubles every 2 years.  \( \text{problem size} = \text{transistor count} \)
- Processing power doubles every 2 years.  \( \text{get to use faster computer} \)
- How much $ do I need to get the job done with a quadratic algorithm?

\[
T_N = a N^2 \quad \text{running time today}
\]
\[
T_{2N} = (a/2)(2N)^2 = 2 T_N \quad \text{running time in 2 years}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>$x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N \log N )</td>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>( \approx x )</td>
<td>( \approx x )</td>
<td>( \approx x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N^2 )</td>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>$2x$</td>
<td>$4x$</td>
<td>$2^{15}x$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bottom line. Linearithmic algorithm is necessary to sustain Moore's Law.
Orthogonal line segment intersection: sweep-line algorithm

Nondegeneracy assumption. All $x$- and $y$-coordinates are distinct.
Orthogonal line segment intersection: sweep-line algorithm

Sweep vertical line from left to right.

- $x$-coordinates define events.
- $h$-segment (left endpoint): insert $y$-coordinate into BST.

nondegeneracy assumption: all $x$- and $y$-coordinates are distinct
Sweep vertical line from left to right.

- *x*-coordinates define events.
- *h*-segment (left endpoint): insert *y*-coordinate into BST.
- *h*-segment (right endpoint): remove *y*-coordinate from BST.

**Orthogonal line segment intersection: sweep-line algorithm**

nondegeneracy assumption: all *x*– and *y*-coordinates are distinct

**y**–coordinates
Orthogonal line segment intersection: sweep-line algorithm

Sweep vertical line from left to right.
• \( x \)-coordinates define events.
• \( h \)-segment (left endpoint): insert \( y \)-coordinate into BST.
• \( h \)-segment (right endpoint): remove \( y \)-coordinate from BST.
• \( v \)-segment: range search for interval of \( y \)-endpoints.

nondegeneracy assumption: all \( x \)- and \( y \)-coordinates are distinct
Proposition. The sweep-line algorithm takes time proportional to $N \log N + R$ to find all $R$ intersections among $N$ orthogonal line segments.

Pf.

- Put $x$-coordinates on a PQ (or sort). $\leftarrow N \log N$
- Insert $y$-coordinates into BST. $\leftarrow N \log N$
- Delete $y$-coordinates from BST. $\leftarrow N \log N$
- Range searches in BST. $\leftarrow N \log N + R$

Bottom line. Sweep line reduces 2d orthogonal line segment intersection search to 1d range search.
Sweep-line algorithm: context

The sweep-line algorithm is a key technique in computation geometry.

Geometric intersection.
- General line segment intersection.
- Axis-aligned rectangle intersection.
- ...

More problems.
- Andrew's algorithm for convex hull.
- Fortune's algorithm Voronoi diagram.
- Scanline algorithm for rendering computer graphics.
- ...

The diagrams illustrate various geometric intersections and problem contexts.
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2-d orthogonal range search

Extension of ordered symbol-table to 2d keys.

- Insert a 2d key.
- Search for a 2d key.
- Delete a 2d key.
- **Range search**: find all keys that lie in a 2d range.
- **Range count**: number of keys that lie in a 2d range.

**Applications.** Networking, circuit design, databases, ...

**Geometric interpretation.**

- Keys are points in the plane.
- Find/count points in a given $h$–$v$ rectangle

\[ \text{rectangle is axis-aligned} \]
2d orthogonal range search: grid implementation

Grid implementation.

- Divide space into $M$-by-$M$ grid of squares.
- Create list of points contained in each square.
- Use 2d array to directly index relevant square.
- Insert: add $(x, y)$ to list for corresponding square.
- Range search: examine only squares that intersect 2d range query.
2d orthogonal range search: grid implementation analysis

Space-time tradeoff.
- Space: \( M^2 + N \).
- Time: \( 1 + \frac{N}{M^2} \) per square examined, on average.

Choose grid square size to tune performance.
- Too small: wastes space.
- Too large: too many points per square.
- Rule of thumb: \( \sqrt{N} \)-by-\( \sqrt{N} \) grid.

Running time. [if points are evenly distributed]
- Initialize data structure: \( N \).
- Insert point: 1.
- Range search: 1 per point in range.
Clustering

**Grid implementation.** Fast, simple solution for evenly-distributed points.

**Problem.** Clustering a well-known phenomenon in geometric data.
- Lists are too long, even though average length is short.
- Need data structure that adapts gracefully to data.
Clustering

**Grid implementation.** Fast, simple solution for evenly-distributed points.

**Problem.** Clustering a well-known phenomenon in geometric data.

**Ex.** USA map data.

13,000 points, 1000 grid squares

half the squares are empty    half the points are in 10% of the squares
Space-partitioning trees

Use a tree to represent a recursive subdivision of 2d space.

*Grid.* Divide space uniformly into squares.

*Quadtree.* Recursively divide space into four quadrants.

*2d tree.* Recursively divide space into two axis-aligned halfplanes.

*BSP tree.* Recursively divide space into two arbitrary halfplanes.
Space-partitioning trees: applications

Applications.
- Ray tracing.
- 2d range search.
- Flight simulators.
- N-body simulation.
- Collision detection.
- Astronomical databases.
- Nearest neighbor search.
- Adaptive mesh generation.
- Accelerate rendering in Doom.
- Hidden surface removal and shadow casting.
2d tree construction

Recursively partition plane into two halfplanes.
2d tree implementation

**Data structure.** BST, but alternate using \( x \)- and \( y \)-coordinates as key.
- Search gives rectangle containing point.
- Insert further subdivides the plane.

![Diagram of 2d tree implementation](image)
Where would point 11 be inserted in the kd-tree below?

A. Right child of 6.
B. Left child of 7.
C. Left child of 10.
D. Right child of 10.
E. I don't know.
Goal. Find all points in a query axis-aligned rectangle.

- Check if point in node lies in given rectangle.
- Recursively search left/bottom (if any could fall in rectangle).
- Recursively search right/top (if any could fall in rectangle).
Goal. Find all points in a query axis-aligned rectangle.

- Check if point in node lies in given rectangle.
- Recursively search left/bottom (if any could fall in rectangle).
- Recursively search right/top (if any could fall in rectangle).
Range search in a 2d tree analysis

**Typical case.**  $R + \log N$.

**Worst case (assuming tree is balanced).**  $R + \sqrt{N}$. 
Goal. Find closest point to query point.
2d tree demo: nearest neighbor

- Check distance from point in node to query point.
- Recursively search left/bottom (if it could contain a closer point).
- Recursively search right/top (if it could contain a closer point).
- Organize method so that it begins by searching for query point.

![Diagram of 2d tree with nearest neighbor highlighted]
Which of the following is the worst case for nearest neighbor search?

A. 

B. 

C. 

D. *I don't know.*
Nearest neighbor search in a 2d tree analysis

Typical case.  \( \log N. \)

Worst case (even if tree is balanced).  \( N. \)
**Kd tree.** Recursively partition $k$-dimensional space into 2 halfspaces.

**Implementation.** BST, but cycle through dimensions as in 2d trees.

Efficient, simple data structure for processing $k$-dimensional data.

- Widely used.
- Adapts well to high-dimensional and clustered data.
- Discovered by an undergrad in an algorithms class!
Flocking birds

Q. What "natural algorithm" do starlings, migrating geese, starlings, cranes, bait balls of fish, and flashing fireflies use to flock?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XH-groCeKbE
**Flocking boids**  [Craig Reynolds, 1986]

**Boids.** Three simple rules lead to complex emergent flocking behavior:

- **Collision avoidance:** point away from \( k \) nearest boids.
- **Flock centering:** point towards the center of mass of \( k \) nearest boids.
- **Velocity matching:** update velocity to the average of \( k \) nearest boids.
N-body simulation

Goal. Simulate the motion of $N$ particles, mutually affected by gravity.

Brute force. For each pair of particles, compute force: \[ F = \frac{G m_1 m_2}{r^2} \]

Running time. Time per step is $N^2$.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ua7YIN4eLo
Appel's algorithm for N-body simulation

**Key idea.** Suppose particle is far, far away from cluster of particles.
- Treat cluster of particles as a single aggregate particle.
- Compute force between particle and *center of mass* of aggregate.
Appel's algorithm for N-body simulation

- Build 3d-tree with \( N \) particles as nodes.
- Store center-of-mass of subtree in each node.
- To compute total force acting on a particle, traverse tree, but stop as soon as distance from particle to subdivision is sufficiently large.

**AN EFFICIENT PROGRAM FOR MANY-BODY SIMULATION**

ANDREW W. APPEL

Abstract. The simulation of \( N \) particles interacting in a gravitational force field is useful in astrophysics, but such simulations become costly for large \( N \). Representing the universe as a tree structure with the particles at the leaves and internal nodes labeled with the centers of mass of their descendants allows several simultaneous attacks on the computation time required by the problem. These approaches range from algorithmic changes (replacing an \( O(N^2) \) algorithm with an algorithm whose time-complexity is believed to be \( O(N \log N) \)) to data structure modifications, code-tuning, and hardware modifications. The changes reduced the running time of a large problem \((N = 10,000)\) by a factor of four hundred. This paper describes both the particular program and the methodology underlying such speedups.

Impact. Running time per step is \( N \log N \) \( \Rightarrow \) enables new research.
Geometric applications of BSTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>problem</th>
<th>example</th>
<th>solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1d range search</td>
<td></td>
<td>binary search tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d orthogonal line segment intersection</td>
<td></td>
<td>sweep line reduces problem to 1d range search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d range search</td>
<td></td>
<td>2d tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kd range search</td>
<td></td>
<td>kd tree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Orthogonal rectangle intersection

**Goal.** Find all intersections among a set of $N$ orthogonal rectangles.

**Quadratic algorithm.** Check all pairs of rectangles for intersection.

**Non-degeneracy assumption.** All $x$- and $y$-coordinates are distinct.
Microprocessors and geometry

Early 1970s. microprocessor design became a geometric problem.
  • Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI).
  • Computer-Aided Design (CAD).

Design-rule checking.
  • Certain wires cannot intersect.
  • Certain spacing needed between different types of wires.
  • Debugging = orthogonal rectangle intersection search.
**Moore’s law.** Transistor count doubles every 2 years.

http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File%3ATransistor_Count_and_Moore%27s_Law_-_2011.svg
Algorithms and Moore's law

Sustaining Moore's law.

- Problem size doubles every 2 years.
- Processing power doubles every 2 years.
- How much $ do I need to get the job done with a quadratic algorithm?

\[ T_N = a N^2 \quad \text{running time today} \]
\[ T_{2N} = \left(\frac{a}{2}\right) (2N)^2 \quad \text{running time in 2 years} \]
\[ = 2 T_N \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N \log N )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N^2 )</td>
<td>( $x )</td>
<td>( $2x )</td>
<td>( $4x )</td>
<td>( $2^{15}x )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bottom line. Linearithmic algorithm is necessary to sustain Moore's Law.
Orthogonal rectangle intersection: sweep-line algorithm

Sweep vertical line from left to right.

- \( x \)-coordinates of left and right endpoints define events.
- Maintain set of rectangles that intersect the sweep line in an interval search tree (using \( y \)-intervals of rectangle).
- Left endpoint: interval search for \( y \)-interval of rectangle; insert \( y \)-interval.
- Right endpoint: remove \( y \)-interval.
Orthogonal rectangle intersection: sweep-line analysis

**Proposition.** Sweep line algorithm takes time proportional to $N \log N + R \log N$ to find $R$ intersections among a set of $N$ rectangles.

**Pf.**
- Put $x$-coordinates on a PQ (or sort). $\leftarrow N \log N$
- Insert $y$-intervals into ST. $\leftarrow N \log N$
- Delete $y$-intervals from ST. $\leftarrow N \log N$
- Interval searches for $y$-intervals. $\leftarrow N \log N + R \log N$

**Bottom line.** Sweep line reduces 2d orthogonal rectangle intersection search to 1d interval search.
### Geometric applications of BSTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>problem</th>
<th>example</th>
<th>solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1d range search</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>BST</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d orthogonal line segment intersection</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2D Line Segment Intersection" /></td>
<td>sweep line reduces problem to 1d range search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d range search</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2D Range Search" /></td>
<td>2d tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d interval search</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="1D Interval Search" /></td>
<td><em>interval search tree</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2d orthogonal rectangle intersection</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2D Rectangle Intersection" /></td>
<td>sweep line reduces problem to 1d interval search</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>