



## The transaction

- Definition: A unit of work:
  - May consist of **multiple** data accesses or updates
  - Must commit or abort as a single atomic unit
- Transactions can either commit, or abort
  - When commit, all updates performed on database are made permanent, visible to other transactions
  - When **abort**, database restored to a state such that the aborting transaction never executed

# **Defining properties of transactions**

- <u>Atomicity</u>: Either all constituent operations of the transaction complete successfully, or **none** do
- Consistency: Each transaction in isolation preserves a set of integrity constraints on the data
- **Isolation:** Transactions' behavior not impacted by presence of **other concurrent transactions**
- **Durability:** The transaction's **effects survive failure** of volatile (memory) or non-volatile (disk) storage

#### Challenges

- High transaction speed requirements

   If always fsync() to disk for each result on transaction, yields terrible performance
- **2.** Atomic and durable writes to disk are difficult In a manner to handle arbitrary crashes
  - Hard disks and solid-state storage use write buffers in volatile memory

# Today

- 1. Techniques for achieving ACID properties – Write-ahead logging and checkpointing
  - Serializability and two-phase locking
- 2. Algorithms for Recovery and Isolation Exploiting Semantics (ARIES)

## What does the system need to do?

- Transactions properties: ACID

   Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability
- Application logic checks consistency (C)
- This leaves two main goals for the system:
- 1. Handle failures (A, D)
- 2. Handle concurrency (I)

# Failure model: crash failures

- Standard "crash failure" model:
- Machines are prone to crashes:
   Disk contents (*non-volatile storage*) okay
  - Disk contents (*non-volatile storage*) okaj
     Memory contents (*volatile storage*) lost
- Machines don't misbehave ("Byzantine")









- 1. Force all a transaction's writes to disk before transaction commits?
  - Yes: force policy

Then slower disk writes appear on the critical path of a committing transaction

2. May **uncommitted** transactions' writes **overwrite** committed values on disk?

- No: no-steal policy

Then buffer manager loses write scheduling flexibility

13

15

#### Undo & redo

- 1. Force all a transaction's writes to disk before transaction commits?
  - Choose no: no-force policy
    - Need support for redo: complete a committed transaction's writes on disk
- 2. May **uncommitted** transactions' writes **overwrite** committed values on disk?
  - Choose yes: steal policy
    - Need support for undo: removing the effects of an uncommitted transaction on disk

#### How to implement undo & redo?

- Log: A sequential file that stores information about transactions and system state
  - Resides in separate, non-volatile storage
- One entry in the log for each update, commit, abort operation: called a *log record*
- Log record contains:
  - Monotonic-increasing *log sequence number* (LSN)
  - Old value (before image) of the item for undo
  - New value (after image) of the item for redo

# System structure

- Buffer pool (volatile memory) and disk (non-volatile)
- The *log* resides on a **separate** partition or disk (in non-volatile storage)



# Write-ahead Logging (WAL)

- Ensures atomicity in the event of system crashes under no-force/steal buffer management
- 1. Force all log records pertaining to an updated page into the (non-volatile) log before any writes to page itself
- 2. A transaction is not considered committed until **all its** log records (including commit record) are forced into the log

17









#### Isolation between transactions

- Isolation: sum appears to happen either completely before or completely after transfer

   Sometimes called *before-after atomicity*
- Given a schedule of operations:
  - Is that schedule in some way "equivalent" to a serial execution of transactions?

23

# Equivalence of schedules

- Two operations from different transactions are conflicting if:
- 1. They read and write to the same data item
- 2. The write and write to the same data item
- Two schedules are equivalent if:
- 1. They contain the same transactions and operations
- 2. They **order** all **conflicting** operations of non-aborting transactions in the **same way**

6











# Locking

- Locks maintained by transaction manager
  - Transaction requests lock for a data item
  - Transaction manager grants or denies lock

#### Lock types

- Shared: Need to have before read object
- Exclusive: Need to have before write object

#### Shared (S) Exclusive (X) Shared (S) Yes No

Exclusive (X) No

33

No

# How to ensure a serializable schedule? Strawman 2: Grab locks independently, for each data item (e.g., bank accounts A and B) transfer: 🗛 r<sub>A</sub> w<sub>A</sub> ⊿<sub>B</sub> r<sub>B</sub> W<sub>R</sub> ⊾<sub>R</sub> © sum: $\Delta_A r_A \wedge_A \Delta_B r_B \wedge_B \mathbb{C}$ Permits this non-serializable interleaving Time $\rightarrow$ © = commit $\square / \square = eXclusive - / Shared - lock; \land / \land = X - / S - unlock$



35

Time  $\rightarrow$ © = commit









#### Today

- Techniques for achieving ACID properties

   Write-ahead logging and check-pointing → A,D
  - Serializability and two-phase locking  $\rightarrow$  I
- 2. Algorithms for Recovery and Isolation Exploiting Semantics (ARIES)

# ARIES (Mohan, 1992)

- In IBM DB2 & MSFT SQL Server, gold standard
- Key ideas:
- 1. Refinement of WAL (steal/no-force buffer management policy)
- 2. Repeating history after restart due to a crash (redo)
- 3. Log every change, even undo operations during crash recovery
  - Helps for repeated crash/restarts

#### **ARIES'** stable storage data structures

- Log, composed of log records, each containing:
  - LSN: Log sequence number (monotonic)
  - prevLSN: Pointer to the previous log record for the same transaction
    - A linked list for each transaction, "threaded" through the log
- Pages
  - pageLSN: Uniquely identifies the log record for the latest update applied to this page

43

# **ARIES' in-memory data structures**

- Transaction table (T-table): one entry per transaction
  - Transaction identifier
  - Transaction status (running, committed, aborted)
  - *lastLSN*: LSN of the most recent log record written by the transaction
- *Dirty page table*: one entry per page
  - Page identifier
  - recoveryLSN: LSN of log record for earliest change to that page not on disk

44



- 1. Write commit log record to the (non-volatile) log
  - Signifies that the commit is *beginning* (it's not the actual commit point)
- 2. Write all log records associated with this transaction to the log
- Write end log record to the log
   This is the actual "commit point"











# ARIES: Concluding thoughts

- Brings together all the concepts we've discussed for ACID, concurrent transactions
- Introduced redo for "repeating history," novel undo logging for repeated crashes
- For the interested: Compare with **System R** (not discussed in this class)

