Princeton University

Computer Science 217: Introduction to Programming Systems



The Ethics of Extreme Performance Tuning

Andrew W. Appel

Performance tuning



Lecture "Performance profiling" Profile buzz.c, improve its performance

Homework "Assembly language"

Make BigInt_add go faster.

Lecture "Dynamic memory management" Make malloc/free go faster *and* use less space (Problem: we don't have the client! Some clients benefit from coalescing, some don't need it)

If we overtune for one client, we might cause problems in others.

"tune"





ONLINE ETYMOLOGY DICTIONARY

tune (n.) early 14c., "a musical sound," unexplained variant of *tone* (n.). From late 14c. as "a well-rounded succession of musical notes, an air, melody." Meaning "state of being in proper pitch" is from mid-15c.

tune (v.) 🛭 🥥

"bring into a state of proper pitch," c. 1500, from *tune* (n.). Non-musical meaning "to adjust an organ or receiver, put into a state proper for some purpose" is recorded from 1887. Verbal phrase *tune in* in reference to radio (later also TV) is recorded from 1913; figurative sense of "become aware" is recorded from 1926. *Tune out* "eliminate radio reception" is recorded from 1908; figurative sense of "disregard, stop heeding" is from 1928. Related: *Tuned*; *tuning*.

Tune your violin (1600-2050)





Tune your radio (1910-2000)





Tune your car (1890-1990)





Tuning for horsepower might not coincide with tuning for economy or minimize pollution

Tune your program (1950-2050)



	samples	8	image name	app name	symbol name	
	20871	75.8807	libc-2.17.so	buzz1	strcmp_sse42	
	5732	20.8398	buzz1	buzz1	SymTable_get Na	ame of
	257	0.9344	buzz1	buzz1	SymTable_put	function
	256	0.9307	buzz1	buzz1	TortCounts	Tunction
	105	0.3817		. 1	read	
	92	0.3345	no7 % OI exec	ution \	/no-vmlin	
	75	0.2727	lik time sper	nt in	fgetc N	ame of
	73	0.2654	libe this fund	tion	strlen_sse2_pmi	
		0.0364	buzz1	uoli zz1	readInput the e	executable
	Name of	0.0327	libc-2.17.so	buzz1		<mark>rogram /</mark>
		2001	libc-2.17.so	buzz1	int_malloc	
1	the binary	.0109	libc-2	buzz1	ctype_b_loc	
<pre>\</pre>	executable	0.0109	2.17.so	buzz1	malloc	
	Acculation	000	libc-2.17.so	buzz1	strcpy_sse2_unaligne	d
	Name of	036	buzz1	buzz1	SymTable_map	
1		. 0036	ld-2.17.so	time	bsearch	
t	ne running	.0036	libc-2.17.so	buzz1	malloc_consolidate	
	program	0.0036	libc-2.17.so	buzz1	strcpy	
		0.0036	libc-2.17.so	time	write_nocancel	

Programming challenge



Implement a *correct* and *fast* integer cube-root function.

Correct: On any input (not just the "test harness"), it must have behavior indistinguishable from this reference implementation:

```
#include <math.h>
#include "root.h"
int quickroot(int i) {
  return (int)cbrt((double) i);
}
```

Fast: When connected to the "test harness" driver, the program should run as fast as possible.

This challenge was designed by Guy J. Jacobson '81 in 1995 when he was teaching COS 333 at Princeton University

Fast integer cube roots root.h slowroot.c int quickroot(int); #include <math.h> #include "root.h" int quickroot(int i) { return (int)cbrt((double) i); testharness.c #include <stdlib.h> #include "root.h" Floating-point cube root main (int argc, char *argv[]) { from math.h int i, j; srandom (atoi (argv[1])); for (i = 0; i < 1000000; i++)j = quickroot (random()); exit (0);

Performance measurement



(On a 1995 computer, much slower than today's)

testharness.o + slowroot.o: 20 seconds

testharness.o + noroot.o: 2 seconds

noroot.c

#include <math.h>
#include "root.h"

```
int quickroot(int i) {
   return 0;
```

Note: noroot.c is really fast, but is not *correct,* that is, **fails** "on any input, it must have behavior indistinguishable from this reference implementation"

Challenge:



root.h

int quickroot(int);

fastroot.c

```
#include "root.h"
int quickroot(int i) {
```

/* something really fast */



Newton's method





To see this animated: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:NewtonIteration_Ani.gif



Appel's method



amazinglyfastroot.c

#include "root.h"
int quickroot(int i) {

```
if ( l am being called
    from testharness.c )
    { exit(0);}
else
    {return (int)cbrt((double) i);}
```

Am I being called from . . . ?



amazinglyfastroot.c

```
#include "root.h"
```

}

```
enum {POSITION_OF_RETURN=174};
```

```
int is it harness(void *code) {
```

```
}
int quickroot(int i) {
  void *buf[1];
  if ( is_it_harness(buf[1]) )
    { exit(0);}
  else
    {return (int)cbrt((double) i);}
```



Performance measurement



(On a 1995 computer, much slower than today's)

testharness.o + slowroot.o: 20 seconds

testharness.o + noroot.o: 2 seconds

testharness.o + amazinglyfastroot.o : 0.0 seconds

General principle of extreme performance tuning





In the test harness

Not in the test harness

Go for extreme performance,

"cut corners" on correctness.

Be ultra-correct

Can I get away with this?



I didn't turn in my program as a homework assignment I didn't sell my program to Boeing for use in passenger jets

All I did was publish a paper explaining how to do it . . .

Intensional Equality ;=) for Continuations, by Andrew W. Appel. ACM SIGPLAN Notices 31 (2), pp. 55-57, February 1996.

http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~appel/papers/conteq.pdf

Sometime back in 2006 or so...





Sometime back in 2007 or so...



Hey boss, we've got it! We'll use an NO_x trap!

It uses a bit of extra fuel to burn off the pollutants.

Excellent! Ramp up production for the new model year!

Sometime back in 2008 or so...



Um, boss, we've got a problem. If we run the NO_x trap all the time, it wears out faster, and it hurts fuel economy.

Be creative! Find an engineering solution! Quick, the cars will ship soon!

Emissions test harness



Let's see... this is the USA's measurement test harness. Hey Günter, It must not pollute in the test Í gotta harness. And on the road, it idea! must get good gas mileage!

General principle of extreme performance tuning





In the test harness

Run the NO_x trap

(uses more gas,

wears out the

NO_x trap)

Not in the test harness Turn off the NO_x trap (great gas mileage, but unfortunately, 40x more nitrousoxide pollution)

Sometime back in 2008 or so...





AUTOMOBILES

Ehe New York Eimes

0

Efficiency, Imported From Europe

By LAWRENCE ULRICH JULY 19, 2013



TRANSATLANTIC Europe's lead in the diesel sales boom is built on fuel-sipping models, including the 2014 Audi A6 TDI. Audi of America

Attracted by newly quiet and clean-running engines that deliver some 15 to 30 percent better mileage than their gasoline counterparts, Americans flocked to diesels in 2012. Sales of diesel passenger cars and S.U.V.'s jumped by







West Virginia University. CENTER FOR ALTERNATIVE FUELS ENGINES AND EMISSIONS

*



Driving around in cars with test equipment





http://articles.sae.org/12610/



West Virginia University. CENTER FOR ALTERNATIVE FUELS ENGINES AND EMISSIONS

Hey boss, our measurements show these Volkswagens are polluting a lot more then they're supposed to be!

> Huh! Let's report it to the California emissions control board.

BUSINESS DAY

Che New York Eimes VW Is Said to Cheat on Diesel Emissions; U.S. to Order Big Recall



By CORAL DAVENPORT and JACK EWING SEPT. 18, 2015



WASHINGTON — The Obama administration on Friday directed Volkswagen to recall nearly a half-million cars, saying the automaker illegally installed software in its dieselpower cars to evade standards for reducing smog.



805

The New Hork Eimes What Was Volkswagen Thinking?



By THE EDITORIAL BOARD SEPT. 23, 2015





Update: <u>Martin Winterkorn resigned as chief executive</u> of Volkswagen on Wednesday.

It is incredible that anyone at Volkswagen thought the company could get away with it. Did the engineers and executives who came up with that bit of cheating software ever consider the enormous risk they were taking? Did they really think it was worth the inestimable damage to their customers, to the environment, to their shareholders and to their venerable brand to squeeze a bit of illicit power out of their engines?

Ehe New York Eimes



For VW, Costs of Emissions-Test Cheating Will Outweigh Gains

Breakingviews

By OLAF STORBECK SEPT. 30, 2015



Cheating on emissions tests has left <u>Volkswagen</u> with criminal investigations, potentially enormous fines and a corporate governance crisis. One might think the rewards for behaving badly must have been high indeed. Not so.

Skimping on emissions technology from 2009 to 2014 probably saved VW a measly 4.3 billion euros (\$4.8 billion), according to Breakingviews estimates, less than it has so far set aside to meet recall costs.

Since VW's misdeeds were uncovered, the company's shares have plunged, wiping almost €29 billion off the company's market capitalization. Simply put, shareholders have lost more than €140 in market value for every single euro that VW saved by cutting corners on its diesel engines in the United States. It's hard to think of a better reminder that cheating doesn't pay.

Aside: State DMV emissions testing



Traditional (since 1980s) DMV emissions testing

Photo: http://media.thedenverchannel.com/photo/2016/11/23/16x9/Is_Colorado_s_emissions_testing_a_waste__0_50278942_ver1.0_640_480.jpg 33





New style (in many states) DMV emissions testing for cars made since 1996

How the test harness works





Programming challenge



Write a program that cheats on this test:



Solution:

printf("Nope.");

Obviously trivial! Therefore we rely on law and ethics to prevent this cheating.





completely different

What if you didn't cheat on purpose?

The Internet of Things





KrebsonSecurity In-depth security news and investigation

21 Hacked Cameras, DVRs Powered Today's Massive Internet Outage

A massive and sustained Internet attack that has caused outages and network congestion today for a large number of Web sites was launched with the help of hacked "Internet of Things" (IoT) devices, such as CCTV video cameras and digital video recorders, new data suggests.

Earlier today cyber criminals began training their attack cannons on **Dyn**, an Internet infrastructure company that provides critical technology services to some of the Internet's top destinations. The attack began creating problems for Internet users reaching an array of sites, including Twitter, Amazon, Tumblr, Reddit, Spotify and Netflix.



A depiction of the outages caused by today's attacks on Dyn, an Internet infrastructure company. Source: Downdetector.com.



October 21, 2016

The Internet of Things



Manufacturer A sells a "thing" (wifi router, toaster, thermostat, baby monitor, coffee maker, fitbit, football helmet, ...) for \$50, Manufacturer B pays their engineers to spend a few more days, be a bit more careful, sells the "thing" for \$51.

... full of security vulnerabilities (buffer overruns, SQL injection, etc ...)

The Internet of Things







Consumer can't tell the difference, might as well buy the cheaper one



Does carelessness pay?



Fixing the "IoT security problem" is an open problem, from a regulatory point of view.

From a software engineering ethics point of view: Your bug may harm the entire Internet.

Don't make and sell stupidly insecure devices.





Cat-and-mouse regarding the buffer overrun problem





Niklaus Wirth designs Pascal language, with supposedly ironclad array-bounds checking. Turing award 1984

SOFTWARE-PRACTICE AND EXPERIENCE, VOL. 7, 685-696 (1977)

Ambiguities and Insecurities in Pascal

J. WELSH, W. J. SNEERINGER* AND C. A. R. HOARE[†] Department of Computer Science, Queen's University, Belfast BT7 INN, N. Ireland

Turing award 1980 ₁₅

1978



Robin Milner designs ML programming language, with provably secure type-checking.

Turing award 1991

1988



Everything is still written in C . . .

Robert T. Morris, graduate student at Cornell, exploits **buffer overruns** in Internet hosts (sendmail, finger, rsh) to bring down the entire Internet.

... became the first person convicted under the then-new Computer Fraud and Abuse Act.

(400 hours community service. Now an MIT prof.)

Cleverly malicious? Buffer overrun Maliciously clever?



^e a.out

What is your name?

```
abcdefghijkl????executable-machine-code...
```

```
How may I serve you, master?
```

```
00
```

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    char name[12]; int i;
    printf("What is your name?\n");
    for (i=0; ; i++) {
        int c = getchar();
        if (c=='\n' || c ==EOF) break;
        name[i] = c;
    }
    name[i]='\0';
    printf("Thank you, %s.\n", name);
    return 0;
}
```



1990s



Everything is *still* written in C . . .

Buffer overrun attacks proliferate like crazy

"Solution:"

Every time the OS "execvp"s a new process,

randomize the address of the base of the stack.

That way, code-injection attacks can't predict what address to jump to!

Buffer overrun with random stack-start

% a.out

```
What is your name?
```

```
abcdefghijkl????executable-machine-code...
```

```
How may I serve you, master?
%
```

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
  char name[12]; int i;
 printf("What is your name?\n");
  for (i=0; ; i++) {
    int c = getchar();
    if (c=='\n' \mid \mid c ==EOF) break;
    name[i] = c;
  name [i] ='\0';
  printf("Thank you, %s.\n", name);
  return 0;
```



The nop-sled attack





"Solution:" hardware permissions





"Solution:" more hardware permissions



"Solution:" canary values



10 "Solution:" Check whether the canary has been overwritten, a_b_c_d just before returning from the function. e f g h This DOES protect against the "A" version of homework 5 This DOES protect against return-to-libc attacks _ **k** _ 1 canary old %RSP Saved RIP ⁸ a.out nop nop nop What is your name? nop nop nop abcdefghijkl????nop nop nop nop nop nop executable-nop nop Stackguard detected an attack, execution terminated nop nop nop nop nop nop BUT: nop nop nop executable (1) There are still ways to defeat it machine code (2) Costs overhead, never much caught on



Bug in OpenSSL



If strlen() doesn't match given length . . .

buffer overrun

HeartBleed

First Internet bug report with:

- catchy name,
- logo
- web site





Consequence:

Read up to 64 kilobytes from your OS address space, send it to attacker.

If those happen to contain crypto keys or other secret info, you're hacked!

http://xkcd.com/1354/

Those protections don't work against HeartBleed



Stack randomization: doesn't protect. Stack no-execute: doesn't protect BSS no-execute: doesn't protect Canary: doesn't protect



Heartbleed is a buffer-overrun vulnerability, but it's a "read-only" attack!

It's not code-injection, it's not return-to-libc.

"Solution:" adjust C with array-bounds checks



There have been a dozen or more language designs like this. None have ever caught on. The problem is, then it's really not C any more.

(And what to do about malloc/free insecurities?)

"Solution:" Java, C#, etc.



Type-safe languages with array-bounds checking and garbage collection . . .

Actually, that is the solution.

Language choice as an ethical issue?



From a software engineering ethics point of view:

If you <u>deliberately</u> choose an unsafe programming language, there had better be a justified reason.

If you <u>carelessly</u> choose an unsafe programming language, then you're being unethical.



THE END



MISC. EXTRA SLIDES



A report by Welt am Sonntag says that CARB has found defeat devices in recent Audi gasoline and diesel vehicles.

More defeat devices in Audi vehicles? REPORT: CARB DISCOVERS MORE TECH DESIGNED TO DETECT EMISSIONS TESTING NOVEMBER 7, 2016

Read more: http://autoweek.com/article/vw-dieselscandal/more-defeat-devices-audivehicles#ixzz4RyW47YNd



http://www.forbes.com/sites/bertels chmitt/2016/11/06/carb-finds-newaudi-defeat-device-german-paperdigs-up-smoking-gundocument/#52349eca1ce8