

COS402- Artificial Intelligence

Fall 2015

Lecture 6: Theorem Proving & Resolution Algorithm

Outline

- **Logical equivalence, validity and satisfiability**
- **Inference rules**
- **Resolution rule**
- **Conjunction Normal Form(CNF)**
- **Resolution algorithm**
- **Construct a model for satisfiable clauses (all clauses in KB when resolution algorithm stops.)**

Some points

- A clause is a disjunction of literals.
- A CNF is a conjunction of clauses.
- Resolution algorithm is both complete and sound.
- Theorem proving does not need to consult models.
- Every sentence can be written in CNF.
- $KB \models \alpha$ if and only if $(KB \Rightarrow \alpha)$ is valid. (Deduction Theorem)
- $KB \models \alpha$ if and only if $KB \wedge \neg\alpha$ is unsatisfiable.

Inference rules

- **Modus Ponens**
- **And elimination**
- **Reverse And elimination**
- **All logical equivalence rules**

Construct a model

- Consider the set of all clauses (KB, $\sim\alpha$ and all derived clauses)
- For $k=1,2,\dots,n$
 - If P_k is “forced” to be true or false, set P_k accordingly.
 - Else set P_k arbitrarily

Construct a model(example)

- **KB: $(P_1 \vee P_2) \wedge (\sim P_1 \vee \sim P_3) \wedge (\sim P_3 \vee P_4)$**
- **α : P_1**
- **The set of all sentences: (KB, $\sim\alpha$, derived clauses (5) and (6))**
 - (1) $(P_1 \vee P_2)$
 - (2) $(\sim P_1 \vee \sim P_3)$
 - (3) $(\sim P_3 \vee P_4)$
 - Add $\sim\alpha$, (4) P_1
 - Resolve (1) and (4) to derive (5) P_2
 - Resolve (1) and (2) to derive (6) $(P_2 \vee \sim P_3)$
- Follow the algorithm on previous slide, can find a model ($P_1=F, P_2=T, P_3=T, P_4=F$). Note: P_3 is set to T arbitrarily, all others are “forced”.

Proof for constructing a model (will not get stuck when setting P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n)

- **Proof idea: (by contradiction)**
 - Assume first get stuck when setting P_k
 - Then will have P_k and $\sim P_k$ after setting P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1}
 - Must come from original form $\alpha \vee P_k$ and $\beta \vee \sim P_k$, respectively. α and β are clauses over P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} , $\alpha = F$ and $\beta = F$ after setting P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} . So $\alpha \vee \beta$ is False.
 - However, $\alpha \vee \beta$ is in the set of all clauses. (we can derive $\alpha \vee \beta$ by resolving $(\alpha \vee P_k)$ and $(\beta \vee \sim P_k)$, so $\alpha \vee \beta$ should be True after setting P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} .)
 - Contradiction.

Review questions: true or false

- 1. Theorem proving is a technique which applies inference rules on known facts in order to derive new facts.**
- 2. Modus Ponens is one of the inference rules that are used in resolution algorithm.**
- 3. Resolution algorithm can be used to determine whether a sentence is satisfiable.**
- 4. Resolution algorithm is used to determine whether $KB \models \alpha$.**

Review questions: true or false(con'd)

5. Finding proofs can be converted into a search problem.
6. By using resolution rule on $(\neg A \vee B)$ and $(A \vee \neg B)$, an empty clause is derived.
7. All sentences can be written in CNF.
8. The first step of resolution algorithm is to convert $KB \wedge \alpha$ into CNF.

Announcement & Reminder

- **P1 (first programming assignment) has already been released. It is due on Tuesday Oct. 13th.**
 - due by midnight, upload your files to CS dropbox
- **W2 is released today and is due on Tuesday Oct. 20th**
 - Due in class, hard copies.