

# Non-Rigid Surface Correspondence

Thomas Funkhouser Princeton University

## Goal



### Find maps between surfaces

- Non-rigid
- Bijective
- Smooth
- Shape preserving
- Automatic
- Efficient computation
- Provide metric
- Semantic alignment



# **Motivating Applications**



Finding corresponding points on surfaces enables ...

- Surface comparison
- Collection analysis
- Property transfer
- Morphing
- etc.





## **Problem 1**



#### Find a sparse set of feature correspondences



## Problem 2



### Compute a dense map from a sparse set of feature correspondences



Least Squares Conformal Map (preserve angles as best as possible)

# Outline



#### Introduction

### Some surface mapping algorithms

- Feature correspondence search
- High-dimensional embedding
- Möbius transformations
- Blended maps
- **Example Application**
- Conclusion
- Future work

# Outline



Introduction

### Some surface mapping algorithms

- Feature correspondence search
- High-dimensional embedding
- Möbius transformations
- Blended maps
- **Example Application**

Conclusion

Future work

## **Feature Correspondence Search**



For each coarse set of feature correspondences:

- Measure the deformation required to align them
  - ... maybe by solving problem 2
- Remember the one with least deformation



# **Feature Correspondence Search**



Measures of distortion:

- Differences in geodesic distances
- Differences in conformal factors (angles)
- etc.







Branch and bound Priority-driven search etc.



Least squares conformal map aligning corresponding feature points

Feature points

[Zeng et al., 2008]

# Outline



Introduction

Some surface mapping algorithms

- Feature correspondence search
- High-dimensional embedding
- Möbius transformations
- Blended maps

**Example Application** 

Conclusion

Future work

# **High-Dimensional Embedding**



#### Find nearest neighbors after spectral embedding



[Lombaert et al. 2011]

# **High-Dimensional Embedding**



Find nearest neighbors after spectral embedding



Eigenfunctions of the Laplacian

[Lombaert et al. 2011]

# **High-Dimensional Embedding**



Find nearest neighbors after heat kernel embedding implied by a single point correspondence



Heat Kernel Map [Ovsjanikov et al. 2010]

# Outline



Introduction

Some surface mapping algorithms

- Feature correspondence search
- High-dimensional embedding
- Möbius transformations
- Blended maps

**Example Application** 

Conclusion

Future work

# **Möbius Transformations**



It would be nice to search a low-dimensional space of transformations to align non-rigid surfaces ...



## **Key Observation**



The Möbius group provides a low-dimensional space to search efficiently for the "best" conformal map between genus zero surfaces



# **Möbius Transformations I**



Möbius transformations are a group of functions on the extended complex plane that represent bijective, conformal maps



Extended complex plane



Möbius transformations are simple rational functions:

$$f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad ad-bc \neq 0, \quad a,b,c,d \in C$$

They have only six degrees of freedom (they can be computed analytically from three point correspondences)



# **Möbius Transformations III**



Therefore, any three point correspondences define a bijective, conformal map from the extended complex plane onto itself



Extended complex plane

# **Möbius Transformations IV**



Since every genus zero surface can be mapped conformally onto the extended complex plane (Riemann sphere), ...



#### Extended complex plane

# **Möbius Transformations V**



Any three point correspondences define a bijective, conformal map between genus zero surfaces



# **Möbius Transformations VI**



We can search for the "lowest distortion" bijective, conformal map between genus zero surfaces using algorithms that sample triplets of correspondences(e.g., RANSAC, Hough transform, etc.)

> Polynomial-time algorithm for non-rigid surface mapping



### Example: RANSAC algorithm

For i = 1 to  $\sim N^3$ 

Sample three points (A1,A2,A3) on surface A Sample three points (B1,B2,B3) on surface B Compute conformal map M: (A1,A2,A3)→(B1,B2,B3) Remember M if distortion is smallest

# Surface Mapping Algorithm



### Example: RANSAC algorithm

For i = 1 to  $\sim N^3$ 

Sample three points (A1,A2,A3) on surface A Sample three points (B1,B2,B3) on surface B Compute conformal map M: (A1,A2,A3) $\rightarrow$ (B1,B2,B3) Remember M if distortion is smallest





Measure distortion by relative change of area (deviation from isometry)

# Surface Mapping Algorithm



**B**1

### Example: RANSAC algorithm

For i = 1 to  $\sim N^3$ 

Sample three points (A1,A2,A3) on surface A Sample three points (B1,B2,B3) on surface B Compute conformal map M: (A1,A2,A3) $\rightarrow$ (B1,B2,B3) Remember M if distortion is smallest



Measure distortion by relative change of area (deviation from isometry)

# Surface Mapping Algorithm



### RANSAC algorithm properties:

- Non-rigid
- Bijective
- Smooth
- Shape preserving
- Automatic
- Efficient computation
- Provides metric
- Semantic alignment?



#### Data:

 51 pairs of meshes representing animals from TOSCA and SHREC Watertight data sets

## Methodology:

- Predict surface maps
- Compare to ground truth semantic correspondences

# **Experimental Results**

### **Evaluation:**

- For every point with a ground truth correspondence, measure geodesic distance between predicted correspondence and ground truth correspondence
- 2. Plot fraction of points within geodesic error threshold





## **Experimental Results**



#### **Results:**



# Outline



Introduction

### Some surface mapping algorithms

- Feature correspondence search
- High-dimensional embedding
- Möbius transformations
- ➢Blended maps
- **Example Application**
- Conclusion
- Future work



For significantly different surfaces, no single conformal map provides low distortion everywhere







Idea: blend conformal maps with smooth weights







1. Generate candidate maps by enumerating triplets of feature correspondences



Set of candidate maps





2. Select consistent set of low-distortion candidate maps







2a. Define a matrix **B** where every entry (i,j) indicates the distortion of  $m_i$  and  $m_j$  and their pairwise similarity  $S_{i,j}$ 

$$\mathbf{B}_{i,j} = \int_{M_1} c_i(p) c_j(p) S_{i,j}(p) dA(p)$$



Candidate Maps







2b. Find block of consistent, low-distortion maps using top eigenvector(s) of **B** 



Candidate Maps







3. Compute blending weight  $c_i(p)$  for every map  $m_i$ at every point *p* based on distortion of  $m_i$  at *p* 





4. Define image p' of every point p as the weighted geodesic centroid of  $m_i(p)$ 







## **Experimental Results**





# Outline



#### Introduction

### Some surface mapping algorithms

- Feature correspondence search
- High-dimensional embedding
- Möbius transformations
- Blended maps
- **Example Application**
- Conclusion
- Future work



Automatically quantify the geometric similarity of anatomical surfaces



[Boyer, Lipman, St. Clair, Puente, Patel, Funkhouser, Jernvall, and Daubechies, 2011]

Traditional Procrustes distance:

$$d(X,Y) = min_R\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N ||R(X_i) - Y_i||^2\right)^{1/2}\right]$$

 $\mathbf{X} = \{ \mathbf{X}_i \}$ 





Human

Specified Landmarks

#### New continuous Procrustes distance:

$$d(A,B) = min_{R,M}\left[\left(\int_A \|R(x) - M(x)\|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}\right]$$





## Application



### Embedding based on new distance





#### Clustering based on new distance



Species Groups of Galaga Genus



#### Classification based on nearest-neighbors

Mandibular Molar	# Groups	# Objects	New Distance	Human Landmarks
Genus	24	99	90.9%	91.9%
Family	17	106	92.5%	94.3%
Order	5	116	94.8%	95.7%

First Metatarsal	# Groups	# Objects	New Distance	Human1 Landmarks	Human2 Landmarks
Genus	13	59	79.9%	76.3%	88.1%
Family	9	61	91.8%	83.6%	93.4%
Superfamily	2	61	100%	100%	100%

Distal	#	# Objects	New	Human
Radius	Groups		Distance	Landmarks
Genus	4	45	84.4%	77.7%



#### Propagating correspondences



## Acknowledgments



Test data

 Giorgi et al. (SHREC Watertight), Anguelov et al. (SCAPE), Bronstein et al. (TOSCA)

Test code:

• Ovsjanikov et al. (HKM), Bronstein et al. (GMDS)

Application

• Boyer, St. Clair, Patel, Jernvall, Puente, Daubechies

Funding:

• NSERC, NSF, AFOSR, Intel, Adobe, Google

### **Thank You!**