§1 The simplest model for a random graph consists of \( n \) vertices, and tossing a fair coin for each pair \( \{i, j\} \) to decide whether this edge should be present in the graph. Call this \( G(n, 1/2) \). A triangle is a set of 3 vertices with an edge between each pair. What is the expected number of triangles? What is the variance? Use the Chebyshev inequality to show that the number is concentrated around the expectation and give an expression for the exact decay in probability. Is it possible to use Chernoff bounds in this setting?

§2 (Part 1): You are given a fair coin, and a program that generates the binary expansion of \( p \) up to any desired accuracy. Formally describe the procedure to simulate a biased coin that comes up with head with probability \( p \). (This was sketched in class.) (Part 2) Now, show how to do the reverse: generate a fair coin toss using a biased coin but where the bias is unknown.

§3 A cut is said to be a \( B \)-approximate min cut if the number of edges in it is at most \( B \) times that of the minimum cut. Show that a graph has at most \( (2n)^{2B} \) cuts that are \( B \)-approximate. (Hint: Run Karger’s algorithm until it has \( 2B + 1 \) supernodes. What is the chance that a particular \( B \)-approximate cut is still available? How many possible cuts does this collapsed graph have?)

§4 Show that given \( n \) numbers in \([0, 1]\) it is impossible to estimate the value of the median within say 1.1 factor with \( o(n) \) samples. (Hint: to show an impossibility result you show two different sets of \( n \) numbers that have very different medians but which generate —whp—identical samples of size \( o(n) \).) Now calculate the sample size needed (as a function of \( t \)) so that the following is true: with high probability, the median of the sample has at least \( n/2 - t \) numbers less than it and at least \( n/2 - t \) numbers more than it.

§5 Consider the following process for matching \( n \) jobs to \( n \) processors. In each step, every job picks a processor at random. The jobs that have no contention on the processors they picked get executed, and all the other jobs back off and then try again. Jobs only
take one round of time to execute, so in every round all the processors are available. Show that all the jobs finish executing whp after $O(\log \log n)$ steps.

§6 In class we saw a hash to estimate the size of a set. Change it to estimate frequencies. Thus there is a stream of packets each containing a key and you wish to maintain a data structure which allows us to give an estimate at the end of the number of times each key appeared in the stream. The size of the data structure should not depend upon the number of distinct keys in the stream but can depend upon the success probability, approximation error etc. Just shoot for the following kind of approximation: if $a_k$ is the true number of times that key $k$ appeared in the stream then your estimate should be $a_k \pm \epsilon(\sum_k a_k)$. In other words, the estimate is going to be accurate only for keys that appear frequently ("heavy hitters") in the stream. (This is useful in detecting anomalies or malicious attacks.) Hint: Think in terms of maintaining $m_1 \times m_2$ counts using as many independent hash functions, where each key updates $m_2$ of them.

§7 In Matlab or another suitable programming environment implement a pairwise independent hash function and use it to map $\{100, 200, 300, \ldots, 100n\}$ to a set of size around $n$. (Use $n = 10^5$ for starters.) Report the largest bucket size you noticed. Then make up a hash function of your own design (could involve crazy stuff like taking XOR of bits, etc.) and repeat the experiment with it and report the largest bucket size. Include your code with your answer and brief description of any design decisions.