18. Turing Machines
Universality and computability

Fundamental questions
• What is a general-purpose computer?
• Are there limits on the power of digital computers?
• Are there limits on the power of machines we can build?

Pioneering work at Princeton in the 1930s.

David Hilbert 1862–1943
Asked the questions

Kurt Gödel 1906–1978
Solved the math problem

Alonzo Church 1903–1995
Solved the decision problem

Alan Turing 1912–1954
Provided THE answers
**Context:** Mathematics and logic

**Mathematics.** Any formal system powerful enough to express arithmetic.

**Complete.** *Can* prove truth or falsity of any arithmetic statement.

**Consistent.** *Cannot* prove contradictions like $2 + 2 = 5$.

**Decidable.** An algorithm exists to determine truth of every statement.

**Q.** (Hilbert, 1900) Is mathematics complete and consistent?

**A.** (Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem, 1931) **NO (!!!)**

**Q.** (Hilbert's Entscheidungsproblem) Is mathematics decidable?

**A.** (Church 1936, Turing 1936) **NO (!!)**
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**Starting point**

**Goals**
- Develop a model of computation that encompasses all known computational processes.
- Make the model as simple as possible.

**Example:** A familiar computational process.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Characteristics**
- Discrete.
- Local.
- States.
Previous lecture: DFAs

A DFA is an abstract machine that solves a pattern matching problem.
• A string is specified on an input tape (no limit on its length).
• The DFA reads each character on input tape once, moving left to right.
• The DFA lights "YES" if it recognizes the string, "NO" otherwise.
Each DFA defines a set of strings (all the strings that it recognizes).
A Turing machine (TM) is an abstract model of computation.

- A string is specified on a tape (no limit on its length).
- The TM reads and writes characters on the tape, moving left or right.
- The TM lights "YES" if it recognizes the string, "NO" otherwise.
- The TM may halt, leaving the result of the computation on the tape.
A DFA is an abstract machine with a finite number of states, each labelled Y or N and transitions between states, each labelled with a symbol. One state is the start state. 

- Begin in the start state.
- Read an input symbol and move to the indicated state.
- Repeat until the last input symbol has been read.
- Turn on the "YES" or "NO" light according to the label on the current state.

Does this DFA recognize this string? 

b b a a b b a b b b
A Turing Machine is an abstract machine with a finite number of states, each labelled Y, N, H, L, or R and transitions between states, each labelled with a read/write pair of symbols.

- Begin in the designated start state.
- Read an input symbol, move to the indicated state and write the indicated output.
- Move tape head left if new state is labelled L, right if it is labelled R.
- Repeat until entering a state labelled Y, N, or H (and turn on associated light).
**DFAs vs TMs**

### Similarities
- Simple model of computation.
- Input on tape is a finite string with symbols from a finite alphabet.
- Finite number of states.
- State transitions determined by current state and input symbol.

### Differences

**DFAs**
- Can read input symbols from the tape.
- Can only move tape head to the right.
- Tape is finite (a string).
- One state transition per input symbol.
- Can recognize (turn on "YES" or "NO").

**TMs**
- Can read from or write onto the tape.
- Can move tape head either direction.
- Tape does not end (either direction).
- No limit on number of transitions.
- Can also compute (with output on tape).
**TM example 1: Binary decrementer**

**Diagram:**

- **Input:** 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0
- **Output:** 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1
Q. What happens when we try to decrement 0?

A. Doesn't halt! TMs can have bugs, too.

Fix to avoid infinite loop. Check for #.
TM example 2: Binary incrementer

Note: This adds a 1 at the left as the last step when incrementing 111...1

Input: 1010011111
Output: 1010100000
TM example 3: Binary adder (method)

To compute $x + y$

- Move right to right end of $y$.
- Decrement $y$.
- Move left to right end of $x$ (left of $+$).
- Increment $x$.
- Continue until $y = 0$ is decremented.
- Clean up by erasing $+$ and $1$s.

---

$\cdots \# \# 1011 + 1010 \# \# \cdots$

$\cdots \# \# 1011 + 1001 \# \# \cdots$

$\cdots \# \# 1011 + 1001 \# \# \cdots$

$\cdots \# \# 1100 + 1001 \# \# \cdots$

$\cdots \# 10101 + 1111 \# \# \cdots$

$\cdots \# 10101 \# \# \# \# \# \# \# \cdots$

---

Found $+ \text{when seeking } 1$? Just decremented $0$.  

Clean up
TM example 3: Binary adder

\[
\begin{align*}
\#1011 &+ 1010\# & \text{Decrement } y \\
\#1011 &+ 1001\# & \text{Find right end} \\
\#1100 &+ 1001\# & \\
\ldots & & \\
10101 &+ 1111\# & \text{Clean Up} \\
10101 &\#\#\#\# & \text{Increment } x \\
\#\#\# &1011 + 1010\# & \text{Halt} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Simulating an infinite tape with two stacks

Q. How can we simulate a tape that is infinite on both ends?
A. Use two stacks, one for each end.

```java
private Stack<Character> left;
private Stack<Character> right;
private char read()
{
    if (right.isEmpty()) return '#';
    return right.pop();
}
private char write(char c)
{
    right.push(c);
}
private void moveRight()
{
    char c = '#';
    if (!right.isEmpty()) c = right.pop();
    left.push(c);
}
private void moveLeft()
{
    char c = '#';
    if (!left.isEmpty()) c = left.pop();
    right.push(c);
}
```

"tape head" is top of right stack

move right

move left

empty? assume # is there
Simulating the operation of a Turing machine

```java
public class TM {
    private int state;
    private int start;
    private String[] action;
    private ST<Character, Integer>[] next;
    private ST<Character, Character>[] out;

    public TM(In in) {
        // Fill in data structures */
    }

    public String simulate(String input) {
        state = start;
        for (int i = input.length() - 1; i >= 0; i--)
            right.push(input.charAt(i));
        while (action(state).equals("L") || action(state).equals("R")) {
            char c = read();
            state = next[state].get(c);
            write(out[state].get(c));
            if (action[state].equals("R") moveRight();
            if (action[state].equals("L") moveLeft();
        }
        return action[state];
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // Similar to DFA's main */
    }
}
```

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>action[]</th>
<th>next[]</th>
<th>out[]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 R</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>1 1 2</td>
<td>1 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 H</td>
<td>2 2 2</td>
<td>2 0 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Entries in gray are implicit in graphical representation.

```bash
% more dec.txt
3 01# 0
R 0 0 1 0 1 #
L 1 2 2 1 0 #
H 2 2 2 0 1 #
% java TM dec.txt
000111
000110
010000
001111
000000
111111
```
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Representing a Turing machine

**Turing's key insight.** A TM is nothing more than a finite sequence of symbols.

![Decrementer TM](image)

**Implication.** Can put a TM and its input on a TM tape.

```
1 1 0 0 0 3 0 1 # 0 R 0 0 1 0 1 # L 1 2 1 1 0 # H 2 2 2 0 1 #
```

**Profound implication.** We can use a TM to simulate the operation of any TM.
Universal Turing machine (UTM)

Universal Turing machine. A TM that takes as input any TM and input for that TM on a TM tape.

Result. Whatever would happen if that TM were to run with that input (could loop or end in Y, N or H).

Turing. Simulating a TM is a simple computational task, so there exists a TM to do it: A UTM.

Easier for us to think about. Implement Java simulator as a TM.
Implementing a universal Turing machine

Java simulator gives a roadmap

- No need for constructor because everything is already on the tape.
- Simulating the infinite tape is a bit easier because TM has an infinite tape.
- Critical part of the calculation is to update state as indicated.

Want to see the details or build your own TM? Use the booksite's TM development environment.

Warning. TM development may be addictive.

Note: This booksite UTM uses a transition-based TM representation that is easier to simulate than the state-based one used in this lecture.

A 24-state UTM

Amazed that it's only 24 states? The record is 4 states, 6 symbols.
Universality

UTM: A *simple* and *universal* model of computation.

**Definition.** A task is *computable* if a Turing machine exists that computes it.

**Theorem (Turing, 1936).** *It is possible to invent a single machine which can be used to do any computable task.*

**Profound implications**
- Any machine that can simulate a TM can simulate a UTM.
- Any machine that can simulate a TM can do *any* computable task.
A profound connection to the real world

**Church-Turing thesis.** Turing machines can do anything that can be described by *any* physically harnessable process of this universe: *All computational devices are equivalent.*

**Remarks**
- *Not* subject to proof.
- *Is* subject to falsification.

**New model of computation or new physical process?**
- Use *simulation* to prove equivalence.
- Example: TOY simulator in Java.
- Example: Java compiler in TOY.

**Implications**
- No need to seek more powerful machines or languages.
- Enables rigorous study of computation (in this universe).
Evidence in favor of the Church-Turing thesis

**Evidence.** Many, many models of computation have turned out to be equivalent (universal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model of computation</th>
<th>description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>enhanced Turing machines</td>
<td>multiple heads, multiple tapes, 2D tape, nondeterminism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>untyped lambda calculus</td>
<td>method to define and manipulate functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recursive functions</td>
<td>functions dealing with computation on integers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unrestricted grammars</td>
<td>iterative string replacement rules used by linguists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extended Lindenmayer systems</td>
<td>parallel string replacement rules that model plant growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>programming languages</td>
<td>Java, C, C++, Perl, Python, PHP, Lisp, PostScript, Excel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>random access machines</td>
<td>registers plus main memory, e.g., TOY, Pentium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellular automata</td>
<td>cells which change state based on local interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantum computer</td>
<td>compute using superposition of quantum states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA computer</td>
<td>compute using biological operations on DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP systems</td>
<td>string matching puzzles (stay tuned)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8 decades without a counterexample, and counting.
Example of a universal model: Extended Lindenmayer systems for synthetic plants
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Post's correspondence problem (PCP)

**PCP.** A family of puzzles, each based on a set of cards.
- $N$ types of cards.
- No limit on the number of cards of each type.
- Each card has a top string and bottom string.

Does there exist an arrangement of cards with matching top and bottom strings?

**Example 1 ($N = 4$).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BAB</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Solution 1 (easy):** YES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>BAB</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>BAB</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>ABA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Post's correspondence problem (PCP)**

**PCP.** A family of puzzles, each based on a set of cards.
- $N$ types of cards.
- No limit on the number of cards of each type.
- Each card has a top string and bottom string.

Does there exist an arrangement of cards with matching top and bottom strings?

**Example 2 ($N = 4$).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BAB</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>BAB</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Solution 2 (easy):** NO. No way to match even the first character!
Post's correspondence problem (PCP)

**PCP.** A family of puzzles, each based on a set of cards.
- \( N \) types of cards.
- No limit on the number of cards of each type.
- Each card has a top string and bottom string.
Does there exist an arrangement of cards with matching top and bottom strings?

**Example 3** (created by Andrew Appel).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S[</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BAB</td>
<td>11A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[A</td>
<td>]</td>
<td>[</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>[1A]E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S[11111X][</td>
<td>1X</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>]</td>
<td>]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Challenge for the bored:** Find a solution that starts with a card of type 0.
Post's correspondence problem (PCP)

**PCP.** A family of puzzles, each based on a set of cards.
- $N$ types of cards.
- No limit on the number of cards of each type.
- Each card has a top string and bottom string.

Does there exist an arrangement of cards with matching top and bottom strings?

A reasonable idea. Write a program to take $N$ card types as input and solve PCP.

A surprising fact. It is *not possible* to write such a program.
Another impossible problem

**Halting problem.** Write a Java program that reads in code for Java static method \( f() \) and an input \( x \), and decides whether or not \( f(x) \) results in an infinite loop.

Example 1 (easy).

```java
public void f(int x)
{
    while (x != 1)
    {
        if (x % 2 == 0) x = x / 2;
        else x = 2*x + 1;
    }
}
```

Halts only if \( x \) is a positive power of 2

Example 2 (difficulty unknown).

```java
public void f(int x)
{
    while (x != 1)
    {
        if (x % 2 == 0) x = x / 2;
        else x = 3*x + 1;
    }
}
```

Involves **Collatz conjecture** (see Recursion lecture)

\[
\begin{align*}
f(7): & \quad 7 \quad 22 \quad 11 \quad 34 \quad 17 \quad 52 \quad 26 \quad 13 \quad 40 \quad 20 \quad 10 \quad 5 \quad 16 \quad 8 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\
f(-17): & \quad -17 \quad -50 \quad -25 \quad -74 \quad -37 \quad -110 \quad -55 \quad -164 \quad -82 \quad -41 \quad -122 \quad \ldots \quad -17 \quad \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

Next. A proof that it is *not possible* to write such a program.
Undecidability of the halting problem

**Definition.** A yes-no problem is **undecidable** if no Turing machine exists to solve it. (A problem is **computable** if there does exist a Turing machine that solves it.)

**Theorem (Turing, 1936).** The halting problem is undecidable.

**Profound implications**
- There exists a problem that no Turing machine can solve.
- There exists a problem that no *computer* can solve.
- There exist *many problems* that no computer can solve (stay tuned).
Warmup: self-referential statements

Liar paradox (dates back to ancient Greek philosophers).
- Divide all statements into two categories: true and false.
- Consider the statement "This statement is false."
  - Is it true? If so, then it is false, a contradiction.
  - Is it false? If so, then it is true, a contradiction.
Logical conclusion. Cannot label all statements as true or false.

CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING, IF SOMEONE SAYS, “I ALWAYS LIE,” ARE THEY TELLING THE TRUTH OR LYING?

THERE’S NO WAY TO ANSWER WITHOUT CREATING A PARADOX.

NO, OBVIOUSLY HE’S LYING. YOU’RE ASSUMING HE CAN ONLY TELL THE TRUTH OR ONLY LIE.

IF INSTEAD THAT PERSON SOMETIMES LIES, THEN HE’S JUST LYING IN THIS INSTANCE WHEN HE SAYS HE ALWAYS LIES. THERE’S NO PARADOX.

WOW, YOU’VE SOLVED AN AGE OLD PROBLEM. THE REAL PROBLEM IS THAT NO ONE ASKS FOR MY OPINION.
Hey Gabe, you know the liar paradox?

You mean the problem of assigning a true or false value to the statement “this sentence is not true”? Sure, that’s a really ancient and famous puzzle.

Yeah! It’s been driving me nuts, but I think I finally figured out how to solve it. The answer is: the statement is neither true nor false!

Nice try, Nestor, but that doesn’t really help at all.

If the sentence is neither true nor false, then it’s not true, but that just confirms what the sentence says, which means it’s true... which means that it’s not true, etc. You still end up with the same paradoxical result.

Oh... I guess you’re right. Dang it Gabe! This paradox is going to drive me crazy!

Perhaps I can help!

Why it’s Dr. McGragon, the greatest dragon philosopher in the world!!! Can you solve the liar paradox?

No, but I can stop it from driving you crazy.

How?
Proof of the undecidability of the halting problem

Theorem (Turing, 1936). The halting problem is undecidable.

Proof outline.

• Assume the existence of a function \( \text{halt}(f, x) \) that solves the problem.

```java
public boolean halt(String f, String x) {
    if ( /* something terribly clever */ ) return true;
    else return false;
}
```

• Arguments: A function \( f \) and input \( x \), encoded as strings.
• Return value: true if \( f(x) \) halts and false if \( f(x) \) does not halt.
• Always halts.
• Proof idea: *Reductio ad absurdum*: if any logical argument based on an assumption leads to an absurd statement, then the assumption is false.

By universality, may as well use Java. (If this exists, we could simulate it on a TM.)
Proof of the undecidability of the halting problem

Theorem (Turing, 1936). The halting problem is undecidable.

Proof.

• Assume the existence of a function \( \text{halt}(f, x) \) that solves the problem.

• Create a function \( \text{strange}(f) \) that goes into an infinite loop if \( f(f) \) halts and halts otherwise.

• Call \( \text{strange}() \) with \( \text{itself} \) as argument.

• If \( \text{strange}(\text{strange}) \) halts, then \( \text{strange}(\text{strange}) \) goes into an infinite loop.

• If \( \text{strange}(\text{strange}) \) does not halt, then \( \text{strange}(\text{strange}) \) halts.

• \textit{Reductio ad absurdum}.

• \( \text{halt}(f,x) \) cannot exist.

Solution to the problem

```java
public boolean halt(String f, String x) {
    if ( /* f(x) halts */ ) return true;
    else return false;
}
```

A client

```java
public void strange(String f) {
    if (halt(f, f))
        while (true) { } // infinite loop
}
```

A contradiction

```
strange(strange)
```
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Implications of undecidability

**Primary implication.** If you know that a problem is undecidable...

- Hey, Alice. We came up with a great idea at our hackathon. We're going for startup funding.

- An app that you can use to make sure that any app you download won't hang your phone!

- What's the idea?

- Ummm. I think that's undecidable.

- Will your app work on itself?

- ...don't try to solve it!
Implications for programming systems

Q. Why is debugging difficult?
A. All of the following are *undecidable*.

Halting problem. Give a function f, does it halt on a given input x?
Totality problem. Give a function f, does it halt on *every* input x?
No-input halting problem. Give a function f with no input, does it halt?
Program equivalence. Do two functions f() and g() always return same value?
Uninitialized variables. Is the variable x initialized before it's used?
Dead-code elimination. Does this statement ever get executed?

Prove each by reduction to the halting problem: A solution would solve the halting problem.

Q. Why are program development environments complicated?
A. They are programs that manipulate programs.
Another undecidable problem

The Entscheidungsproblem (Hilbert, 1928) "Decision problem"

- Given a first-order logic with a finite number of additional axioms.
- Is the statement provable from the axioms using the rules of logic?

Lambda calculus

- Formulated by Church in the 1930s to address the Entscheidungsproblem.
- Also the basis of modern functional languages.

Theorem (Church and Turing, 1936). The Entscheidungsproblem is undecidable.
Another undecidable problem

Post's correspondence problem (PCP)

**PCP.** A family of puzzles, each based on a set of cards.
- $N$ types of cards.
- No limit on the number of cards of each type.
- Each card has a top string and bottom string.

Does there exist an arrangement of cards with matching top and bottom strings?

A reasonable idea. Write a program to take $N$ card types as input and solve PCP.

**Theorem (Post, 1946).** Post's correspondence problem is undecidable.
Examples of undecidable problems from computational mathematics

Hilbert's 10th problem
• Given a multivariate polynomial $f(x, y, z, \ldots)$.
• Does $f$ have integral roots? (Do there exist integers $x, y, z$, such that $f(x, y, z, \ldots) = 0$?)

Ex. 1  $f(x, y, z) = 6x^3yz^2 + 3xy^2 - x^3 - 10$
YES  $f(5, 3, 0) = 0$

Ex. 2  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 3$  NO

Definite integration
• Given a rational function $f(x)$ composed of polynomial and trigonometric functions.

• Does $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx$ exist?

Ex. 1  $\frac{\cos(x)}{1 + x^2}$  YES  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(x)}{1 + x^2} \, dx = \frac{\pi}{e}$

Ex. 2  $\frac{\cos(x)}{1 - x^2}$  NO
Examples of undecidable problems from computer science

Optimal data compression

- Find the shortest program to produce a given string.
- Find the shortest program to produce a given picture.

Virus identification

- Is this code equivalent to this known virus?
- Does this code contain a virus?

---

Melissa virus (1999)
Turing's key ideas

Turing's paper in the *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* "On Computable Numbers, With an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem" was one of the most impactful scientific papers of the 20th century.

**The Turing machine.** A formal model of computation.

**Equivalence of programs and data.** Encode both as strings and compute with both.

**Universality.** Concept of general-purpose programmable computers.

**Church-Turing thesis.** If it is computable at all, it is computable with a Turing machine.

**Computability.** There exist inherent limits to computation.

Turing's paper was published in 1936, *ten years before* Eckert and Mauchly worked on ENIAC (!)

John von Neumann read the paper...

*Suggestion: Now go back and read the beginning of the lecture on von Neumann machines*
It was not only a matter of abstract mathematics, not only a play of symbols, for it involved thinking about what people did in the physical world…. It was a play of imagination like that of Einstein or von Neumann, doubting the axioms rather than measuring effects…. What he had done was to combine such a naïve mechanistic picture of the mind with the precise logic of pure mathematics. His machines – soon to be called Turing machines – offered a bridge, a connection, between abstract symbols and the physical world.

— John Hodges, in Alan Turing, the Enigma
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