Image Classification

COS 429 Princeton University

High-level goal: scene understanding



 Given an image, add category-level annotations







• e.g., annotate basic-level object categories



• Or, scene categories





• Or, action categories





• Or, specific instances



• Or what else?

Applications?











Methods?

Train a Classifier

 Train a classifier on features extracted from categorized images, and then use it to predict the category of new images

Test image



Questions

- What training data?
- What features?
- What classifier?

Questions

- >What training data?
- What features?
- What classifier?

Image Classification Data



Questions

- What training data?
- > What features?
- What classifier?

Example: Gist descriptor





- Apply oriented Gabor filters over different scales
- Average filter energy in each bin

- 8 orientations
- 4 scales
- <u>x 16</u> bins
 - 512 dimensions

M. Gorkani, R. Picard, ICPR 1994; Walker, Malik. Vision Research 2004; Vogel et al. 2004; Fei-Fei and Perona, CVPR 2005; S. Lazebnik, et al, CVPR 2006; ...

Example: Gist descriptor



Global features (I) ~ global features (I')

Example: Bag-of-words







Example: Bag-of-words







Bag-of-words models

• Origin = common document representation Salton & McGill (1983)



US Presidential Speeches Tag Cloud http://chir.ag/phernalia/preztags/

Bag of words models

- Hierarchical Bayesian models for documents (pLSA, LDA, etc.)
 - Hoffman 1999; Blei, Ng & Jordan, 2004; Teh, Jordan, Beal & Blei, 2004
- Texture recognition
 - Cula & Dana, 2001; Leung & Malik 2001; Mori, Belongie & Malik, 2001; Schmid 2001; Varma & Zisserman, 2002, 2003; Lazebnik, Schmid & Ponce, 2003;
- Object categorization
 - Csurka, Bray, Dance & Fan, 2004; Sivic, Russell, Efros, Freeman & Zisserman, 2005; Sudderth, Torralba, Freeman & Willsky, 2005;
- Natural scene categorization
 - Vogel & Schiele, 2004; Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005; Bosch, Zisserman & Munoz, 2006

Bags of words for image classification



face, flowers, building

Bag of words image representation

 First, take a bunch of images, extract features, and build up a "dictionary" or "visual vocabulary" – a list of common features

 Given a new image, extract features and build a histogram – for each feature, find the closest visual word in the dictionary

Bag of words image representation

• Map high-dimensional descriptors to tokens/words by quantizing patch descriptors



 Quantize via clustering, let cluster centers be the prototype "words"

 Determine which word to assign to each new image region by finding the closest cluster center.

1. Extract patches







- 1. Extract patches
- 2. Compute patch descriptors



- 1. Extract patches
- 2. Compute patch descriptors
- 3. Learn mapping from patch descriptors to visual "words" (cluster)







- 1. Extract patches
- 2. Compute patch descriptors
- 3. Learn mapping from patch descriptors to visual "words" (cluster)
- 4. Represent images by "word" frequencies



1. Extract patches

- Regular grid
 - Vogel & Schiele, 2003
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005



1. Extract patches

- Regular grid
 - Vogel & Schiele, 2003
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
- Interest point detector
 - Csurka et al. 2004
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
 - Sivic et al. 2005



1. Extract patches

• Regular grid

- Vogel & Schiele, 2003
- Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
- Interest point detector
 - Csurka et al. 2004
 - Fei-Fei & Perona, 2005
 - Sivic et al. 2005
- Other methods
 - Random sampling (Vidal-Naquet & Ullman, 2002)
 - Segmentation-based patches (Barnard et al. 2003)

2. Compute patch descriptors

- "Window"
- Sift
- etc.



3. Learn the codebook

- **Simple option:** represent each codeword by a cluster center m_k in "descriptor space"
- Building the codebook: find *k* cluster centers than minimize the sum of distances from the patches to its closest cluster center (k-means clustering)

$$D(X,M) = \sum_{\text{cluster}\,k} \sum_{\substack{\text{point}\,i \text{ in}\\\text{cluster}\,k}} (x_i - m_k)^2$$

• Finding the codeword for a patch: find closest cluster center in descriptor space

3. Learn the codebook


3. Learn the codebook



Slide credit: Josef Sivic



Slide credit: Josef Sivic

4. Represent images with histograms of word frequencies







Example Visual words



Example Visual words

 Example: each group of patches belongs to the same visual word





Figure from Sivic & Zisserman, ICCV 2003

Kristen Grauman

Example Codebook



Fei-Fei et al. 2005

Visual words and textons

- First explored for texture and material representations
- Texton = cluster center of filter responses over collection of images
- Describe textures and materials based on distribution of prototypical texture elements.

Leung & Malik 1999; Varma & Zisserman, 2002







Kristen Grauman

Questions

- What training data?
- What features?
- ➤What classifier?

 Given a feature vector (bag-of-words) representation of images, how do we learn a model for distinguishing them from training data?



Some classifiers:

- Nearest neighbor
- K-Nearest neighbors
- Linear classification
- Support vector machines
- Decision trees
- Naïve Bayes
- etc.

Some classifiers:

- Nearest neighbor
- K-Nearest neighbors
- Linear classification
- Support vector machines
- Decision trees
- Naïve Bayes
- etc.

We have talked about these before

Some classifiers:

- Nearest neighbor
- K-Nearest neighbors
- Linear classification
- Support vector machines
- Decision trees
- Naïve Bayes This time
- etc.

Bayes Classifier

Estimate the probability that an image belongs to each class C_i , and then choose the class \hat{C} with maximum aposteri probability (MAP)

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} p(C_i | I)$$

Bayes Classifier

Assume that the probability that an image belongs to a class C_i is based on the joint probability of its patches p_i belonging to the class

$$p(C_i | I) = p(C_i | p_1, p_2, p_3, ...)$$

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} p(C_i | I)$$

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} p(C_i | p_1, p_2, p_3, ..., p_{i})$$

Bayes Classifier

By Bayes rule:

$$p(C_i|p_1, p_2, \ldots) = p(p_1, p_2, \ldots | C_i) \frac{p(C_i)}{p(p_1, p_2, \ldots)}$$

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} p(C_i | p_1, p_2, p_3, ...)$$

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} p(p_1, p_2, ... | C_i) \frac{p(C_i)}{p(p_1, p_2, ...)}$$

If we assume that patches are independent:

$$p(p_1, p_2, \dots | C_i) = \prod_j p(p_j | C_i)$$

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} \ p(p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots | C_{i}) \ \frac{p(C_{i})}{p(p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots)}$$
$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} \ \prod_{j} p(p_{j} | C_{i}) \ \frac{p(C_{i})}{p(p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots)}$$

If all classes are equally likely and we only care about finding the \hat{C} with the maximum a posteri (MAP) probability, then the rightmost factor is irrelevant:

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} \prod_{j} p(p_{j}|C_{i}) \frac{p(C_{i})}{p(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots)}$$
$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} \prod_{j} p(p_{j}|C_{i})$$

If we detect the same number of patches in every image, then the patch probabilities are proportional to the counts

 $p(p_j|C_i) \propto \operatorname{count}(p_j \in \operatorname{training}(C_i))$

$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} \prod_{j} p(p_{j}|C_{i})$$
$$\hat{C} = \arg \max_{i} \prod_{j} \operatorname{count}(p_{j} \in training(C_{i})).$$

To avoid effects of zero patch probabilities:

$$\hat{C} = \arg\max_{i} \prod_{j} (1 + \operatorname{count}(p_{j} \in \operatorname{training}(C_{i})))$$

To avoid effects of finite precision math:

$$\hat{C} = \arg\max_{i} \sum_{j} \log(1 + \operatorname{count}(p_{j} \in \operatorname{training}(C_{i})))$$

Putting it all together

Training phase:

- 1. Select N patch locations from every training image
- 2. Compute descriptor for every patch
- Cluster patch descriptors into codewords (store center of each cluster found with k-means so can map patches to codewords later)
- 4. Learn histograms of codewords for each class



Putting it all together

Testing phase:

- 1. Select N patch locations from every training image
- 2. Compute descriptor for every patch
- 3. Build histogram of codewords
- 4. Classify image based on its histogram of codewords; if Naïve Bayes:

$$\hat{C} = \arg\max_{i} \sum_{j} \log(1 + \operatorname{count}(p_{j} \in \operatorname{training}(C_{i})))$$

Some Useful Extensions

- Inverted file index
- Weighting of words
- Spatial indexing

Some Useful Extensions

- Inverted file index ←
- Weighting of words
- Spatial indexing

Inverted file index

- Can quickly use the inverted file to compute similarity between a new image and all the images in the database
 - Only consider database images whose bins overlap the query image

Inverted file index



 Database images are loaded into the index mapping words to image numbers

Some Useful Extensions

- Inverted file index
- Weighting of words
- Spatial indexing

Weighting the words

 Just as with text, some visual words are more discriminative than others

the, and, or vs. cow, AT&T, Cher

- the bigger fraction of the documents a word appears in, the less useful it is for matching
 - e.g., a word that appears in *all* documents is not helping us

tf-idf weighting

- Term frequency inverse document frequency
- Describe frame by frequency of each word within it, downweight words that appear often in the database
- (Standard weighting for text retrieval)



Some Useful Extensions

- Inverted file index
- Weighting of words
- Spatial indexing ←

Spatial Indexing

- Build separate histograms of visual words for different regions of image
 - Pyramid match kernel for discriminative classification



Other methods

Fisher kernels Deep learning

etc.

🗲 🔿 🔝 http://www.image-net.org/challenges/LSVRC/2012/results.html 🔻 🗟 🖒 🔝 ImageNet Large Sca ×					
🔄 🔻 🖾 🖶 👻 Page 🗙 Safety 🛪 Tools 🕶 🕢 🔊 🕲					
Task 1					~
	Team name	Filename	Error (5 guesses)	Description	
	SuperVision	test-preds-141-146.2009-131- 137-145-146.2011-145f.	0.15315	Using extra training data from ImageNet Fall 2011 release	
	SuperVision	test-preds-131-137-145-135- 145f.txt	0.16422	Using only supplied training data	
	ISI	pred_FVs_wLACs_weighted.txt	0.26172	Weighted sum of scores from each classifier with SIFT+FV, LBP+FV, GIST+FV, and CSIFT+FV, respectively.	
	ISI	pred_FVs_weighted.txt	0.26602	Weighted sum of scores from classifiers using each FV.	
	ISI	pred_FVs_summed.txt	0.26646	Naive sum of scores from classifiers using each FV.	
	ISI	pred_FVs_wLACs_summed.txt	0.26952	Naive sum of scores from each classifier with SIFT+FV, LBP+FV, GIST+FV, and CSIFT+FV, respectively.	
	OXFORD_VGG	test_adhocmix_classification.txt	0.26979	Mixed selection from High-Level SVM scores and Baseline Scores, decision is performed by looking at the validation performance	
	XRCE/INRIA	res_1M_svm.txt	0.27058		~
				High Lovel SVM over	

ImageNet Challenge 2012

Evaluating the Results

How can we evaluate classification results?

Training / Test Sets

- Divide labeled data into two sets
- Use the first to train model (learn a classifier)
- Use the second to test model (classify and then check if right)

k-Way Cross-Validation

- Divide data into k (traditionally 10) partitions
- Train on *k*-1 of them, test on remaining one
- Repeat *k* times, report average test error

• Uses limited data more efficiently

Reporting Classification (Retrieval) Error: Precision-Recall Curves

- Precision = retrieved_in_class / total_retrieved
- Recall = retrieved_in_class / total_in_class



Reporting Classification (Retrieval) Error: Precision-Recall Curves












ROC Curves

• True positive vs. false positive



Reporting Classification Error: Confusion Matrices

Entry (i,j) stores probability of image that is truly in class i being predicted as class j





true class \rightarrow

Example: Video Google

Visually defined query

"Groundhog Day" [Rammis, 1993]



Slide from Andrew Zisserman Sivic & Zisserman, ICCV 2003

Video Google

- Collect all words within query region
- 2. Inverted file index to find relevant frames
- 3. Compare word counts
- 4. Spatial verification



Query region



Slide from Andrew Zisserman Sivic & Zisserman, ICCV 2003

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

Video Google



Slide from Andrew Zisserman Sivic & Zisserman, ICCV 2003

retrieved shots







Start frame 52907

Key frame 53026 En

End frame 53028







Start frame 54342

Key frame 54376

End frame 54644







Start frame 51770

Key frame 52251

End frame 52348



Start frame 54079



Key frame 54201



End frame 54201







Start frame 38909

Key frame 39126

End frame 39300







End frame 41049







Start frame 39301

End frame 39730

Summary

- Image classification
 - Predict annotations for image
- Bag of words image representation
 - Commonly used for image classification

Bags of words: pros and cons

- + flexible to geometry / deformations / viewpoint
- + compact summary of image content
- + provides vector representation for sets
- + very good results in practice
- basic model ignores geometry must verify afterwards, or encode via features
- background and foreground mixed when bag covers whole image
- optimal vocabulary formation remains unclear















