Camera Calibration

COS 429 Princeton University

Point Correspondences

What can you figure out from point correspondences?



Point Correspondences



Camera calibration

Suppose we know 3D point positions and correspondences to pixels in every image

– Can we compute the camera parameters?



Camera triangulation

Suppose we know the camera parameters and correspondences between points and pixels in every image?



Camera calibration & triangulation

Need to understand how 3D points project into images



Outline

- Camera model
- Camera calibration
- Camera triangulation
- Structure from motion

Outline

Camera model

- Camera calibration
- Camera triangulation
- Structure from motion

2D Image Basics

- Origin at center, for now
- Y axis is up
- Will often write (*u*, *v*) for image coordinates



3D Geometry Basics

• 3D points = column vectors

$$\vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

Transformations = pre-multiplied matrices

$$\mathbf{T}\vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

3D Geometry Basics

• Right-handed vs. left-handed coordinate systems



Rotation

• Rotation about the z axis

$$\mathbf{R}_{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 Rotation about x, y axes similar (cyclically permute x, y, z)

Arbitrary Rotation

- Any rotation is a composition of rotations about x, y, and z
- Composition of transformations = matrix multiplication (watch the order!)
- Result: orthonormal matrix
 - Each row, column has unit length
 - Dot product of rows or columns = 0
 - Inverse of matrix = transpose

Arbitrary Rotation

• Rotate around *x*, *y*, then *z*:

 $\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_y \cos\theta_z & -\cos\theta_x \sin\theta_z + \sin\theta_x \cos\theta_y \cos\theta_z & \sin\theta_x \sin\theta_z + \cos\theta_x \cos\theta_y \cos\theta_z \\ \sin\theta_y \sin\theta_z & \cos\theta_x \cos\theta_z + \sin\theta_x \cos\theta_y \sin\theta_z & -\sin\theta_x \cos\theta_z + \cos\theta_x \cos\theta_y \sin\theta_z \\ \cos\theta_y & -\sin\theta_x \sin\theta_y & -\cos\theta_x \sin\theta_y \end{pmatrix}$

• Don't do this! It's probably buggy! Compute simple matrices and multiply them...

Scale

• Scale in *x*, *y*, *z*:

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

Shear

• Shear parallel to *xy* plane:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{xy} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_x \\ 0 & 1 & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



Translation

- Can translation be represented by multiplying by a 3×3 matrix?
- No.
- Proof?

 $\forall \mathbf{A}: \quad \mathbf{A}\vec{\mathbf{0}} = \vec{\mathbf{0}}$

Homogeneous Coordinates

• Add a fourth dimension to each 3D point:



• To get "real" (3D) coordinates, divide by w:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x/w \\ /w \\ y/w \\ /w \\ z/w \end{pmatrix}$$

Translation in Homogeneous Coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t_x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & t_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & t_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + t_x w \\ y + t_y w \\ z + t_z w \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

 After divide by w, this is just a translation by (t_x, t_y, t_z)

Perspective Projection

 Map points onto "view plane" along "projectors" emanating from "center of projection" (COP)



Angel Figure 5.9

Perspective Projection

 Compute 2D coordinates from 3D coordinates with similar triangles



Perspective Projection

 Compute 2D coordinates from 3D coordinates with similar triangles



Perspective Projection Matrix

• 4x4 matrix representation:



Perspective Projection Properties

- Points \rightarrow points
- Lines \rightarrow lines (collinearity preserved)
- Distances and angles are **not** preserved
- Many points along same ray map to same point in image
- Degenerate cases:
 - Line through focal point projects to a point.
 - Plane through focal point projects to line
 - Plane perpendicular to image plane projects to part of the image.

• Far away objects appear smaller



Forsyth and Ponce



• Parallel lines in the scene intersect at a point after projection onto image plane



- Vanishing Points
 - Any set of parallel lines on a plane define a vanishing point
 - The union of all of these vanishing points is the *horizon line*
 - also called vanishing line
 - Different planes (can)
 define different vanishing lines



A Camera Model



A Camera Model



A Camera Model



- **Extrinsic:** how to map world coordinates to camera coordinates (translation and rotation)
- **Intrinsic:** how to map camera coordinates to image coordinates (projection, translation, scale)



• **Extrinsic:** how to map world coordinates to camera coordinates (translation and rotation)



• Intrinsic: how to map camera coordinates to image coordinates (projection, translation, scale)



Coordinates of projected point in image coordinates Coordinates of image point in camera coordinates Coordinates of image center in pixel units Effective size of a pixel (mm)

• Substituting previous eqns describing intrinsic and extrinsic parameters, can relate *pixels coordinates* to *world points:*

$$-(x_{im} - o_x)s_x = f \frac{\mathbf{R}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{P}_w - \mathbf{T})}{\mathbf{R}_3 \cdot (\mathbf{P}_w - \mathbf{T})}$$

$$-(y_{im} - o_y)s_y = f \frac{\mathbf{R}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{P}_w - \mathbf{T})}{\mathbf{R}_3 \cdot (\mathbf{P}_w - \mathbf{T})}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_i = \text{Row i of rotation matrix}$$

Projection matrix

This can be rewritten as a matrix product using homogeneous coordinates:

where:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{int}} = \begin{bmatrix} -f/s_x & 0 & o_x \\ 0 & -f/s_y & o_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} w x_{im} \\ w y_{im} \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_{int} \mathbf{M}_{ext} \begin{bmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{ext} = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & -\mathbf{R}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{T} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & -\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{T} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & -\mathbf{R}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix}$$
Radial Distortion

• Finally, would like to include transformation to unwarp radial distortion:

$$u_{img} \to c_u + u_{img}^* \left(1 + \kappa (u_{img}^{*2} + v_{img}^{*2}) \right)$$
$$v_{img} \to c_v + v_{img}^* \left(1 + \kappa (u_{img}^{*2} + v_{img}^{*2}) \right)$$



Radial Distortion

 Radial distortion cannot be represented by matrix

$$u_{img} \to c_u + u_{img}^* \left(1 + \kappa (u_{img}^{*2} + v_{img}^{*2}) \right)$$
$$v_{img} \to c_v + v_{img}^* \left(1 + \kappa (u_{img}^{*2} + v_{img}^{*2}) \right)$$

• (c_u, c_v) is image center,

$$u_{img}^{*} = u_{img}^{-} - c_{u}^{*}, \quad v_{img}^{*} = v_{img}^{-} - c_{v}^{*},$$

 κ is first-order radial distortion coefficient

A Camera Model



Outline

- Camera model
- Camera calibration
- Camera triangulation
- Structure from motion

Camera Calibration

 Compute intrinsic and extrinsic parameters using observed camera data

Main idea

- Place "calibration object" with known geometry in the scene
- Get correspondences
- Solve for mapping from scene to image: estimate M=M_{int}M_{ext}





The Opti-CAL Calibration Target Image

Camera Calibration



Chromaglyphs Courtesy of Bruce Culbertson, HP Labs http://www.hpl.hp.com/personal/Bruce_Culbertson/ibr98/chromagl.htm

Camera Calibration

- Input:
 - 3D \leftrightarrow 2D correspondences
 - General perspective camera model (no radial distortion, for now)

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \\ Z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Output:

- Camera model parameters

Direct linear calibration

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} & m_{01} & m_{02} & m_{03} \\ m_{10} & m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{20} & m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \\ Z_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{i} = \frac{m_{00}X_{i} + m_{01}Y_{i} + m_{02}Z_{i} + m_{03}}{m_{20}X_{i} + m_{21}Y_{i} + m_{22}Z_{i} + m_{23}}$$
$$v_{i} = \frac{m_{10}X_{i} + m_{11}Y_{i} + m_{12}Z_{i} + m_{13}}{m_{20}X_{i} + m_{21}Y_{i} + m_{22}Z_{i} + m_{23}}$$

 $u_i(m_{20}X_i + m_{21}Y_i + m_{22}Z_i + m_{23}) = m_{00}X_i + m_{01}Y_i + m_{02}Z_i + m_{03}$ $v_i(m_{20}X_i + m_{21}Y_i + m_{22}Z_i + m_{23}) = m_{10}X_i + m_{11}Y_i + m_{12}Z_i + m_{13}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_i & Y_i & Z_i & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_i X_i & -u_i Y_i & -u_i Z_i & -u_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_i & Y_i & Z_i & 1 & -v_i X_i & -v_i Y_i & -v_i Z_i & -v_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{00} \\ m_{01} \\ m_{02} \\ m_{03} \\ m_{10} \\ m_{11} \\ m_{12} \\ m_{13} \\ m_{20} \\ m_{21} \\ m_{22} \\ m_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Direct linear calibration



Can solve for m_{ii} by linear least squares

Direct linear calibration

• Advantage:

Very simple to formulate and solve

- Disadvantages:
 - Doesn't tell you the camera parameters
 - Doesn't model radial distortion
 - Hard to impose constraints (e.g., known f)
 - Doesn't minimize the right error function

Why?

Nonlinear Camera Calibration

- Incorporating additional constraints into camera model
 - No shear, no scale (rigid-body motion)
 - Square pixels
 - Radial distortion
 - etc.
- These impose *nonlinear* constraints on camera parameters

Application: Sea of Images



Application: Sea of Images



Large-scale Dense Capture

Off-line:

Camera calibration Feature correspondence Real-time:

> Image warping Image composition



Interactive

Sea of Images Capture

Hemispherical FOV camera



Paraboloidal Catadioptric Camera [Nayar97]





Sea of Images Capture

Capture lots of images with hemispherical FOV camera driven on cart



Sea of Images Calibration

Calibrate camera based on positions of fiducials





Sea of Images Calibration

Result is a "sea of images" with known camera viewpoints spaced a few inches apart



Sea of Images Correspondence

Search for feature correspondences in nearby images



Sea of Images Rendering

Create image from new viewpoint by warping and compositing three nearest images using triangles of feature correspondences



Sea of Images Rendering

Neat data management based on hierarchical encoding to enable interactive walkthrough



- Bell Labs Museum
 - 900 square ft
 - 9832 images
 - 2.2 inch spacing
- Princeton Library
 - 120 square ft
 - 1947 images
 - 1.6 inches
- Personal Office
 - 30 square feet
 - 3475 images
 - 0.7 inches













Outline

- Camera model
- Camera calibration
- Camera triangulation
- Structure from motion

Camera calibration summary

Suppose we know 3D point positions and correspondences to pixels in every image

We can compute the camera parameters



Camera triangulation

Suppose we know the camera parameters and correspondences between points and pixels in every image?



Camera calibration & triangulation

Suppose no camera parameters or point positions

- And have matches between these points and two images
- Can we compute them both together?



Outline

- Camera model
- Camera calibration
- Camera triangulation
- Structure from motion

Structure from motion

• Given many images

a) figure out where they were all taken from?b) build a 3D model of the scene?



Structure from motion

- Feature detection
- Feature description
- Feature matching
- Feature correspondence
- Camera calibration and triangulation

Feature detection

Detect features using SIFT [Lowe, IJCV 2004]



Feature detection

Detect features using SIFT [Lowe, IJCV 2004]



Feature matching

Match features between each pair of images



Feature matching

Refine matching using RANSAC to correspondences between each pair



Image connectivity graph



(graph layout produced using the Graphviz toolkit: http://www.graphviz.org/)

Noah Snavely
Structure from motion



Structure from motion

• Minimize sum of squared reprojection errors:



- Minimizing this function is called *bundle adjustment*
 - Optimized using non-linear least squares, e.g. Levenberg-Marquardt

Problem size

- What are the variables?
- How many variables per camera?
- How many variables per point?

Trevi Fountain collection

466 input photos

+ > 100,000 3D points

= very large optimization problem

Structure from motion

















Structure from Motion Results

- For photo sets from the Internet, 20% to 75% of the photos were registered
- Most unregistered photos belonged to different connected components



> 1 week for 2600 photo

Structure from Motion Results



Photo Tourism: Exploring Photo Collections in 3D

Noah Snavely Steven M. Seitz University of Washington Richard Szeliski Microsoft Research





Reproduced with permission of Yahoo! Inc. © 2005 by Yahoo! Inc. YAHOO! and the YAHOO! logo are trademarks of Yahoo! Inc.

Example: Prague Old Town Square



Rendering transitions



Annotations



Annotations



Summary

- Camera model
- Camera calibration
- Camera triangulation
- Structure from motion