Algorithms







Substring search



Substring search applications Goal. Find pattern of length M in a text of length N. $pattern \rightarrow N \in E \quad D \quad L \in E$ $text \rightarrow I \quad N \quad A \quad H \quad A \quad Y \quad S \quad T \quad A \quad C \quad K \quad \underbrace{N \quad E \quad E \quad D \quad L \quad E}_{match} \quad I \quad N \quad A$

00	Find & Replace
	Simple Advanced
Find:	search
Replace:	
Replace	All Replace Replace & Find Previous Next
Incplace	

Substring search applications



Computer forensics. Search memory or disk for signatures, e.g., all URLs or RSA keys that the user has entered.



http://citp.princeton.edu/memory

Substring search applications





pattern Last Trade:.

Google Inc. (r fter Hours: 0.00 N//	NasdaqGS: GOOG) A (N/A) 10:00PM EST	Add to Portfolio					
ast Trade:	582.93	Day's Range:	N/A - N/A	Google Inc. GOOG Nov 29, 3	59pm EST		
rade Time:	Nov 29	52wk Range:	473.02 - 642.96	MA.	59		
hange:	0.00 (0.00%)	Volume:	0	V V V M			
rev Close:	582.93	Avg Vol (3m):	3,100,480	Mar Mar N	WYM		
lpen:	N/A	Market Cap:	188.80B	Why have			
lid:	579.70 x 100	P/E (ttm):	19.87	© Yahool			
sk:	585.33 x 100	EPS (ttm):	29.34	10am 12pm 2pm	4pm rious Close		
y Target Est:	731.10	Div & Yield	N/A (N/A)	1d 5d 3m 6m 1v 2v	Sv max		

http://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=goog

. . .

<td class= "yfnc_tablehead1" width= "48%">

Last Trade:

<big>452.92</big> <td class= "yfnc_tablehead1" width= "48%"> Trade Time: . . .

Screen scraping: Java implementation

Java library. The indexOf() method in Java's string library returns the index of the first occurrence of a given string, starting at a given offset.



5.3 Substring Search introduction brute force *Knuth-Morris-Pratt* Boyer-Moore Rabin-Karp

Brute-force substring search

Check for pattern starting at each text position.



Brute-force substring search: Java implementation

Check for pattern starting at each text position.

i	j	i+j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			Α	В	Α	С	А	D	А	В	R	А	С
4	3	7					А	D	А	С	R		
5	0	5						Α	D	А	С	R	

Brute-force substring search: worst case

Brute-force algorithm can be slow if text and pattern are repetitive.



Worst case. $\sim M N$ char compares.

Brute-force substring search: alternate implementation

Same sequence of char compares as previous implementation.

- i points to end of sequence of already-matched chars in text.
- j stores # of already-matched chars (end of sequence in pattern).

i	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		А	В	А	С	А	D	А	В	R	Α	С
7	3					Α	D	А	С	R		
5	0						А	D	А	С	R	

```
public static int search(String pat, String txt)
{
    int i, N = txt.length();
    int j, M = pat.length();
    for (i = 0, j = 0; i < N && j < M; i++)
    {
        if (txt.charAt(i) == pat.charAt(j)) j++;
        else { i -= j; j = 0; }
    }
    if (j == M) return i - M;
    else        return N;
}</pre>
```

Backup

In many applications, we want to avoid backup in text stream.

"ATTACK AT DAWN"

substring search machine found

- Treat input as stream of data.
- Abstract model: standard input.





Approach 1. Maintain buffer of last *M* characters. Approach 2. Stay tuned.



13



Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search

Intuition. Suppose we are searching in text for pattern BAAAAAAAAA.

- Suppose we match 5 chars in pattern, with mismatch on 6th char.
- We know previous 6 chars in text are BAAAAB.
- Don't need to back up text pointer!

assuming { A, B } alphabet



Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm. Clever method to always avoid backup. (!)



DFA is abstract string-searching machine.

- Finite number of states (including start and halt).
- Exactly one transition for each char in alphabet.
- Accept if sequence of transitions leads to halt state.



DFA simulation demo

AABACAABABACAA



		0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charA	t(j)	Α	В	А	В	А	C
	А	1	1	3	1	5	1
dfa[][j]	В	0	2	0	4	0	4
	С	0	0	0	0	0	6





Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search: Java implementation

Key differences from brute-force implementation.

- Need to precompute dfa[][] from pattern.
- Text pointer i never decrements.



Running time.

- Simulate DFA on text: at most *N* character accesses.
- Build DFA: how to do efficiently? [warning: tricky algorithm ahead]

Interpretation of Knuth-Morris-Pratt DFA

- Q. What is interpretation of DFA state after reading in txt[i]?
- A. State = number of characters in pattern that have been matched.

` length of longest prefix of pat[]
 that is a suffix of txt[0..i]

Ex. DFA is in state 3 after reading in txt[0..6].





Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search: Java implementation

Key differences from brute-force implementation.

- Need to precompute dfa[][] from pattern.
- Text pointer i never decrements.
- Could use input stream.





21

Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).



Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

		0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charAt	(j)	A	В	А	В	А	С
	А	1	1	3	1	5	1
dfa[][j]	В	0	2	0	4	0	4
	С	0	0	0	0	0	6

26

28

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C







How to build DFA from pattern?

Mismatch transition. If in state j and next char c != pat.charAt(j), then the last j-1 characters of input are pat[1..j-1], followed by c.

To compute dfa[c][j]: Simulate pat[1..j-1] on DFA and take transition c. Running time. Seems to require *j* steps. still under construction (!)



How to build DFA from pattern?

Mismatch transition. If in state j and next char c != pat.charAt(j), then the last j-1 characters of input are pat[1..j-1], followed by c.

To compute dfa[c][j]: Simulate pat[1..j-1] on DFA and take transition c. Running time. Takes only constant time if we maintain state *X*.



Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo (in linear time)

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).







Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo (in linear time)

		0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charAt	(j)	A	В	А	В	А	С
	А	1	1	3	1	5	1
dfa[][j]	В	0	2	0	4	0	4
	С	0	0	0	0	0	6

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C



31

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search: Java implementation

For each state j:

- Copy dfa[][X] to dfa[][j] for mismatch case.
- Set dfa[pat.charAt(j)][j] to j+1 for match case.
- Update x.



Running time. *M* character accesses (but space/time proportional to *R M*).

33

Knuth-Morris-Pratt: brief history

- Independently discovered by two theoreticians and a hacker.
 - Knuth: inspired by esoteric theorem, discovered linear algorithm
 - Pratt: made running time independent of alphabet size
 - Morris: built a text editor for the CDC 6400 computer
- Theory meets practice.

SIAM J. COMPUT. Vol. 6, No. 2, June 1977 FAST PATTERN MATCHING IN STRINGS*

DONALD E. KNUTH†, JAMES H. MORRIS, JR.‡ AND VAUGHAN R. PRATT¶

Abstract. An algorithm is presented which finds all occurrences of one given string within another, in running time proportional to the sum of the lengths of the strings. The constant of proportionality is low enough to make this algorithm of practical use, and the procedure can also be extended to deal with some more general pattern-matching problems. A theoretical application of the algorithm shows that the set of concatenations of even palindromes, i.e., the language $\{\alpha e^R\}^n$, can be recognized in linear time. Other algorithm which run even faster on the average are also considered.

Jim Morris



Don Knuth



Vaughan Pratt

KMP substring search analysis

Proposition. KMP substring search accesses no more than M + N chars to search for a pattern of length M in a text of length N.

Pf. Each pattern char accessed once when constructing the DFA; each text char accessed once (in the worst case) when simulating the DFA.

Proposition. KMP constructs dfa[][] in time and space proportional to *R M*.

Larger alphabets. Improved version of KMP constructs nfa[] in time and space proportional to *M*.







Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Intuition.

- Scan characters in pattern from right to left.
- Can skip as many as M text chars when finding one not in the pattern.

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

mismatch character 'N' in pattern: align text 'N' with rightmost pattern 'N'

txt pat N L E

NEEDLE

mismatch character 'E' in pattern: align text 'E' with rightmost pattern 'E' ?

NEEDLE

pat

txt · · · . . . E L E

38

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

Case 2b. Mismatch character in pattern (but heuristic no help).



mismatch character 'E' in pattern: increment i by 1

41

Boyer-Moore: Java implementation



Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

- Q. How much to skip?
- A. Precompute index of rightmost occurrence of character c in pattern (-1 if character not in pattern).





Boyer-Moore skip table computation

Boyer-Moore: analysis

Property. Substring search with the Boyer-Moore mismatched character heuristic takes about $\sim N/M$ character compares to search for a pattern of length *M* in a text of length *N*. sublinearl

Worst-case. Can be as bad as ~ MN.

i	skip	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	txt-	→ B	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
0	0	Α	В	В	В	В	-	pat			
1	1		Α	В	В	В	В				
2	1			Α	В	В	В	В			
3	1				Α	В	В	В	В		
4	1					Α	В	В	В	В	
5	1						Α	В	В	В	В

Boyer-Moore variant. Can improve worst case to $\sim 3 N$ character compares by adding a KMP-like rule to guard against repetitive patterns.



Rabin-Karp fingerprint search

Basic idea = modular hashing.

- Compute a hash of pattern characters 0 to M 1.
- For each *i*, compute a hash of text characters *i* to M + i 1.
- If pattern hash = text substring hash, check for a match.

	ра	t.c	har	At (i)											
i	0	1	2	3	4											
	2	6	5	3	5	%	997	' =	613	3						
						t	xt.c	:har	·At ((i)						
i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	3	1	4	1	5	9	2	6	5	3	5	8	9	7	9	3
0	3	1	4	1	5	%	997	' =	508	3						
1		1	4	1	5	9	%	997	7 =	201	1					
2			4	1	5	9	2	%	997	7 =	71	5				
3				1	5	9	2	6	%	997	7 =	973	1			
4					5	9	2	6	5	%	99	7 =	44	2		
5						9	2	6	5	3	%	99	7 =	929	9	mat ⁄
6 🔶	– ret	urn	i =	6			2	6	5	3	5	%	99	7 =	61	ŝ

Efficiently computing the hash function

Modular hash function. Using the notation t_i for txt.charAt(i), we wish to compute

 $x_i = t_i R^{M-1} + t_{i+1} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M-1} R^0 \pmod{Q}$

Intuition. *M*-digit, base-*R* integer, modulo *Q*.

Horner's method. Linear-time method to evaluate degree-*M* polynomial.



Efficiently computing the hash function

Challenge. How to efficiently compute x_{i+1} given that we know x_i .

$$x_i = t_i R^{M-1} + t_{i+1} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M-1} R^0$$
$$x_{i+1} = t_{i+1} R^{M-1} + t_{i+2} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M} R^0$$

Key property. Can update hash function in constant time!

χ_{i+1}	$= (x_i)$	$-t_i R^{M-1}$) R	+ t_{i+M}	
	current value	subtract leading digit	multiply by radix	add new trailing digit	(can precompute R ^{M-}

i		2	3	4	5	6	7
current v	alue 1	4	1	5	9	2	6 5 taxt
new v	alue	4	1	5	9	2	6 5
		4	1	5	9	2	current value
	-	4	0	0	0	0	
			1	5	9	2	subtract leading digit
				*	1	0	multiply by radix
		1	5	9	2	0	
					+	6	add new trailing digit
		1	5	9	2	6	new value

							-		
5	1	4	1	5	9	% 9	97 =	(((508 + 3*(997 - 30))*10 + 9) % 997 = 201
6		4	1	5	9	2	% 99	7 =	= ((201 + 1*(997 - 30))*10 + 2) % 997 = 715
7			1	5	9	2	6 %	99	07 = ((715 + 4*(997 - 30))*10 + 6) % 997 = 971
8				5	9	2	65	%	5997 = ((971 + 1*(997 - 30))*10 + 5) % 997 = 442
9					9	2	65	3	3 % 997 = ((442 + 5*(997 - 30))*10 + 3) % 997 = 929
						-		_	

$10 \leftarrow return i - M+1 = 6 \qquad 2 \quad 6 \quad 5 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad \% \quad 997 = ((929 + 9*(997 - 30))*10 + 5) \quad \% \quad 997 = 6\dot{1}3$

Rabin-Karp: Java implementation (continued)

Monte Carlo version. Return match if hash match.



Las Vegas version. Check for substring match if hash match; continue search if false collision.

Rabin-Karp: Java implementation



Rabin-Karp analysis

Theory. If *Q* is a sufficiently large random prime (about MN^2), then the probability of a false collision is about 1 / N.

Practice. Choose Q to be a large prime (but not so large to cause overflow). Under reasonable assumptions, probability of a collision is about 1/Q.

Monte Carlo version.

- Always runs in linear time.
- Extremely likely to return correct answer (but not always!).

Las Vegas version.

- Always returns correct answer.
- Extremely likely to run in linear time (but worst case is *M N*).



ch

Rabin-Karp fingerprint search

Advantages.

- Extends to 2d patterns.
- Extends to finding multiple patterns.

Disadvantages.

- Arithmetic ops slower than char compares.
- Las Vegas version requires backup.
- Poor worst-case guarantee.

Q. How would you extend Rabin-Karp to efficiently search for any one of *P* possible patterns in a text of length N?



Substring search cost summary

Cost of searching for an *M*-character pattern in an *N*-character text.

algorithm	version	operation count		backup		extra
		guarantee	typical	in input?	correct?	space
brute force	_	MN	1.1 N	yes	yes	1
Knuth-Morris-Pratt	full DFA (Algorithm 5.6)	2N	1.1 N	no	yes	Mŀ
	mismatch transitions only	3 N	1.1 N	no	yes	М
Boyer-Moore	full algorithm	3 N	N/M	yes	yes	R
	mismatched char heuristic only (Algorithm 5.7)	MN	N/M	yes	yes	R
Rabin-Karp [†]	Monte Carlo (Algorithm 5.8)	7 N	7 N	no	yes †	1
	Las Vegas	$7 N^{ \dagger}$	7 N	yes	yes	1

† probabilisitic guarantee, with uniform hash function