



Testing

The material for this lecture is drawn, in part, from
The Practice of Programming (Kernighan & Pike) Chapter 6

1



Relevant Quotations

“On two occasions I have been asked [by members of Parliament], ‘Pray, Mr. Babbage, if you put into the machine wrong figures, will the right answers come out?’ I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke such a question.”

- Charles Babbage

“Program testing can be quite effective for showing the presence of bugs, but is hopelessly inadequate for showing their absence.”

- Edsger Dijkstra

“Beware of bugs in the above code; I have only proved it correct, not tried it.”

- Donald Knuth

2

Goals of this Lecture



- Help you learn about:
 - Internal testing
 - External testing
 - General testing strategies
- Why?
 - It's hard to know if a large program works properly
 - A power programmer expends **at least as much effort writing test code** as he/she expends writing the program itself
 - A power programmer knows many testing strategies

3

Program Verification



- **Ideally:** Prove that your program is correct
 - Can you **prove** properties of the program?
 - Can you **prove** that it even terminates?
 - See Turing's "Halting Problem"



4

Program Testing



- **Pragmatically:** Convince yourself that your program probably works



5

External vs. Internal Testing



- Types of testing
 - **External** testing
 - Designing data to test your program
 - **Internal** testing
 - Designing your program to test itself

6

External Testing



- External Testing
 - Designing data to test your program
 - 4 techniques...

7

Statement Testing



(1) Statement testing

- “Testing to satisfy the criterion that each statement in a program be executed at least once during program testing.”
 - Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology

8

Statement Testing Example



- Example pseudocode:

```
if (condition1)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
if (condition2)
    statement3;
else
    statement4;
...
```

Statement testing:

Should make sure both “if” statements and all 4 nested statements are executed

How many data sets are required?

9

Path Testing



(2) Path testing

- “Testing to satisfy coverage criteria that each logical path through the program be tested. Often paths through the program are grouped into a finite set of classes. One path from each class is then tested.”
 - Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology

10

Path Testing Example



- Example pseudocode:

```
if (condition1)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
if (condition2)
    statement3;
else
    statement4;
...
```

Path testing:

Should make sure all logical paths are executed

How many data sets are required?

- Realistic program => combinatorial explosion
- More difficult than statement testing
 - For simple programs, can enumerate all paths through the code
 - Otherwise, sample paths through code with random input

11

Boundary Testing



(3) Boundary testing

- “A testing technique using input values at, just below, and just above, the defined limits of an input domain; and with input values causing outputs to be at, just below, and just above, the defined limits of an output domain.”
 - Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology
- Alias: **corner case** testing

12

Boundary Testing Example



- **Specification:**
 - Read line from `stdin`, store as string in array (without `'\n'`)
- **First attempt:**

```
int i;  
char s[ARRAYSIZE];  
for (i=0; ((i < ARRAYSIZE-1) && (s[i]=getchar()) != '\n'); i++)  
    ;  
s[i] = '\0';
```

Does it work?

13

Example Boundary Conditions



- **Consider boundary conditions:**
 1. `stdin` contains no characters (empty file)
 2. `stdin` starts with `'\n'` (empty line)
 3. `stdin` contains characters but no `'\n'`
 4. `stdin` line contains exactly `ARRAYSIZE-1` characters
 5. `stdin` line contains exactly `ARRAYSIZE` characters
 6. `stdin` line contains more than `ARRAYSIZE` characters

14

Testing the First Attempt



- Embed code in complete program:

```
#include <stdio.h>
enum {ARRAYSIZE = 5}; /* Artificially small */
int main(void)
{
    int i;
    char s[ARRAYSIZE];
    for (i=0; ((i < ARRAYSIZE-1) && (s[i]=getchar()) != '\n'); i++)
        ;
    s[i] = '\0';
    for (i = 0; i < ARRAYSIZE; i++) {
        if (s[i] == '\0') break;
        putchar(s[i]);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

15

Test Results for First Attempt



```
int i;
char s[ARRAYSIZE];
for (i=0; ((i < ARRAYSIZE-1) && (s[i]=getchar()) != '\n')); i++)
    ;
s[i] = '\0';
```

1. stdin contains no characters (empty file)
 - → `ÿÿÿÿÿ` **Fail**
2. stdin starts with '\n' (empty line)
 - `_n` → **Pass**
3. stdin contains characters but no '\n'
 - `ab` → `abÿÿÿÿ` **Fail**
4. stdin line contains exactly ARRAYSIZE-1 characters
 - `abc_n` → `abc` **Pass**
5. stdin line contains exactly ARRAYSIZE characters
 - `abcd_n` → `abcd` **Pass**
6. stdin line contains more than ARRAYSIZE characters
 - `abcde_n` → `abcd` **Pass or Fail???**

Again:
Does it work?

16

Ambiguity in Specification



- If stdin line is too long, what should happen?
 - Keep first ARRAYSIZE characters, discard the rest?
 - Keep first ARRAYSIZE - 1 characters + '\0' char, discard the rest?
 - Keep first ARRAYSIZE - 1 characters + '\0' char, save the rest for the next call to the input function?
- Probably, the specification didn't even say what to do if **MAXLINE** is exceeded
 - Probably the person specifying it would prefer that unlimited-length lines be handled without any special cases at all
 - Lesson: testing has uncovered a design problem, maybe even a specification problem
- Define what to do
 - Keep first ARRAYSIZE - 1 characters + '\0' char, save the rest for the next call to the input function

17

A Second Attempt



- Second attempt:

```
int i;
char s[ARRAYSIZE];
for (i = 0; i < ARRAYSIZE-1; i++) {
    s[i] = getchar();
    if ((s[i] == EOF) || (s[i] == '\n'))
        break;
}
s[i] = '\0';
```

Does it work?

18

Testing the Second Attempt



- Embed code in complete program:

```
#include <stdio.h>
enum {ARRAYSIZE = 5}; /* Artificially small */
int main(void)
{
    int i;
    char s[ARRAYSIZE];
    for (i = 0; i < ARRAYSIZE-1; i++) {
        s[i] = getchar();
        if ((s[i] == EOF) || (s[i] == '\n'))
            break;
    }
    s[i] = '\0';
    for (i = 0; i < ARRAYSIZE; i++) {
        if (s[i] == '\0') break;
        putchar(s[i]);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

Test Results for Second Attempt



```
int i;
char s[ARRAYSIZE];
for (i = 0; i < ARRAYSIZE-1; i++) {
    s[i] = getchar();
    if ((s[i] == EOF) || (s[i] == '\n'))
        break;
}
s[i] = '\0';
```

1. stdin contains no characters (empty file)
 - → **Pass**
2. stdin starts with '\n' (empty line)
 - _n → **Pass**
3. stdin contains characters but no '\n'
 - ab → ab **Pass**
4. stdin line contains exactly ARRAYSIZE-1 characters
 - abc_n → abc **Pass**
5. stdin line contains exactly ARRAYSIZE characters
 - abcd_n → abcd **Pass**
6. stdin line contains more than ARRAYSIZE characters
 - abcde_n → abcd **Pass**

Again:
Does it work?

20

Morals of this Little Story



- Testing can reveal the presence of bugs, but not their absence
- Complicated boundary cases often are symptomatic of bad design or bad specification
 - Clean up the specification if you can
 - Otherwise, fix the code

21

Stress Testing



(4) Stress testing

- “Testing conducted to evaluate a system or component at or beyond the limits of its specified requirements”
 - Glossary of Computerized System and Software Development Terminology
- What to generate
 - Very large input sets
 - Random input sets (binary vs. ASCII)
- Use computer to generate input sets

22

Stress Testing Example 1



- Specification: Copy all characters of stdin to stdout
- Attempt:

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main(void) {
    char c;
    while ((c = getchar()) != EOF)
        putchar(c);
    return 0;
}
```

Does it work?
Hint: Consider random input sets

Does this example shed light on
the previous one?

23

Stress Testing Example 2



- Specification: Print number of characters in stdin
- Attempt:

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main(void) {
    char charCount = 0;
    while (getchar() != EOF)
        charCount++;
    printf("%d\n", charCount);
    return 0;
}
```

Does it work?
Hint: Consider large input sets

24

External Testing Summary



- External testing: Designing data to test your program
- External testing taxonomy
 - (1) Statement testing
 - (2) Path testing
 - (3) Boundary testing
 - (4) Stress testing

25

Aside: The assert Macro



- The `assert` macro
 - One actual parameter
 - Should evaluate to 0 (FALSE) or non-0 (TRUE)
 - If TRUE:
 - Do nothing
 - If FALSE:
 - Print message to stderr “assert at line x failed”
 - Exit the process

26

Uses of assert



- Typical uses of `assert`
 - Validate formal parameters

```
int gcd(int i, int j) {  
    assert(i > 0);  
    assert(j > 0);  
    ...  
}
```

- Check for “impossible” logical flow

```
switch (state) {  
    case START: ... break;  
    case COMMENT: ... break;  
    ...  
    default: assert(0); /* Never should get here */  
}
```

- Check invariants (described in a few slides)

27

Internal Testing



- Internal testing
 - Designing your program to test itself
 - 4 techniques...

28

Checking Invariants



(1) Checking invariants

- Check aspects of data structures that shouldn't vary
- Remember this for Assignment 6...
- Example: “doubly-linked list insertion” function
 - Traverse doubly-linked list; when node x points forward to node y, does node y point backward to node x?
- Example: “balanced binary search tree insertion” function
 - Traverse tree; are nodes still sorted?

What other invariants could be checked?

What other invariants could be checked?

29

Checking Invariants (cont.)



- Convenient to use `assert` to check invariants

```
int isValid(MyType object) {
    ...
    Check invariants.
    Return 1 (TRUE) if object passes
    all tests, and 0 (FALSE) otherwise.
    ...
}

void myFunction(MyType object) {
    assert(isValid(object));
    ...
    Manipulate object.
    ...
    assert(isValid(object));
}
```

30

Checking Return Values



(2) Checking function return values

- In Java and C++:
 - Method that detects error can “throw a checked exception”
 - Calling method must handle the exception (or rethrow it)
- In C:
 - No exception-handling mechanism
 - Function that detects error typically indicates so via return value
 - Programmer easily can forget to check return value
 - Programmer (generally) **should** check return value

31

Checking Return Values (cont.)



(2) Checking function return values (cont.)

- Example: `scanf()` returns number of values read

Bad code

```
int i;  
scanf("%d", &i);
```

Good code

```
int i;  
if (scanf("%d", &i) != 1)  
    /* Error */
```

- Example: `printf()` can fail if writing to file and disk is full; returns number of characters (not values) written

Bad code?

```
int i = 100;  
printf("%d", i);
```

Good code?

```
int i = 100;  
if (printf("%d", i) != 3)  
    /* Error */
```

Is this overkill?

32

Changing Code Temporarily



(3) Changing code temporarily

- To generate artificial boundary or stress tests
- Example: Array-based sorting program
 - Temporarily make array very small
- Remember this for Assignment 3...
- Example: Program that uses a hash table
 - Temporarily make hash function return a constant
 - All bindings map to one bucket, which becomes very large
 - Does the program handle large buckets?

33

Leaving Testing Code Intact



(4) Leaving testing code intact

- Do not remove testing code when your code is finished
 - In industry, code is rarely “finished”
- Leave tests in the code
- Maybe embed in calls of `assert`
 - Calls of `assert` can be disabled; described in precept

34

Internal Testing Summary



- Internal testing: Designing your program to test itself
- Internal testing techniques
 - (1) Checking invariants
 - (2) Checking function return values
 - (3) Changing code temporarily
 - (4) Leaving testing code intact

Beware: Internal testing can reduce code clarity

35

General Testing Strategies



- General testing strategies
 - 5 strategies...

36

Automation



(1) Automation

- Create **scripts** and **data files** to test your **programs**
- Create **software clients** to test your **modules**
- Know what to expect
 - Generate output that is easy to recognize as right or wrong
- Automated testing can provide:
 - Much better coverage than manual testing
 - Bonus: Examples of typical use of your code

Have you used these techniques in COS 217 programming assignments?

37

Testing Incrementally



(2) Testing incrementally

- Test as you write code
 - Add test cases as you create new code
 - Test individual modules, and then their interaction
- Do **regression testing**
 - After a bug fix, make sure program has not “regressed”
 - That is, make sure previously working code is not broken
 - Rerun **all** test cases
 - Note the value of automation


38

Testing Incrementally (cont.)



(2) Testing incrementally (cont.)

- Create **scaffolds** and **stubs** to test the code that you care about



39

Comparing Implementations



(3) Comparing implementations

- Make sure independent implementations behave the same way
- Assignment 1: compare behavior of decomment program with **gcc217 -E**
- Assignment 2: compare behavior of your Str functions with that of standard string library functions

40

Bug-Driven Testing



(4) Bug-driven testing

- Find a bug => create a test case that catches it
- Facilitates regression testing

41

Fault Injection



(5) Fault injection

- Intentionally (temporarily) inject bugs
- Determine if testing finds them
- i.e. Test the testing

42

General Strategies Summary



- General testing strategies
 - (1) Automation
 - (2) Testing incrementally
 - (3) Comparing implementations
 - (4) Bug-driven testing
 - (5) Fault injection

43

Who Tests What



- Programmers
 - **White-box** testing
 - Pro: Programmer knows all data paths
 - Con: Influenced by how code is designed/written
- Quality Assurance (QA) engineers
 - **Black-box** testing
 - Pro: No knowledge about the implementation
 - Con: Unlikely to test all logical paths
- Customers
 - **Field** testing
 - Pros: Unexpected ways of using the software; “debug” specs
 - Cons: Not enough cases; customers don’t like “participating” in this process; malicious users exploit the bugs

44

Summary



- External testing taxonomy
 - Statement testing
 - Path testing
 - Boundary testing
 - Stress testing
- Internal testing techniques
 - Checking invariants
 - Checking function return values
 - Changing code temporarily
 - Leaving testing code intact

45

Summary (cont.)



- General testing strategies
 - Automation
 - Testing incrementally
 - Regression testing
 - Scaffolds and stubs
 - Comparing independent implementations
 - Bug-driven testing
 - Fault injection
- Test the **code**, the **specification** – and the **tests**

46