### COS429: COMPUTER VISON CAMERAS AND PROJECTIONS (2 lectures)

- Pinhole cameras
- •Analytical Euclidean geometry
- The intrinsic parameters of a camera
- The extrinsic parameters of a camera
- Camera calibration
- Least-squares techniques

### Reading: Chapters 1 - 3, Forsyth & Ponce

Many of the slides in this lecture are courtesy to Prof. J. Ponce



Milestones:

• Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519): first record of camera obscura

illum in tabula per radios Solis, quâm in cœlo contingit: hoc eft, fi in cœlo fuperior pars deliquiũ patiatur, in radiis apparebit inferior deficere, vt ratio exigit optica.



Sic nos exacté Anno. 1544. Louanii eclipfim Solis obferuauimus, inuenimusq; deficere paulò plus g dex-

Milestones:

• Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519): first record of camera obscura

• Johann Zahn (1685): first portable camera

Milestones:

• Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519): first record of camera obscura

• Johann Zahn (1685): first portable camera

• Joseph Nicephore Niepce (1822): first photo - birth of photography



Photography (Niepce, "La Table Servie," 1822)

Milestones:

• Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519): first record of camera obscura

- Johann Zahn (1685): first portable camera
- Joseph Nicephore Niepce (1822): first photo - birth of photography
- Daguerréotypes (1839)
- Photographic Film (Eastman, 1889)
- Cinema (Lumière Brothers, 1895)
- Color Photography (Lumière Brothers, 1908)
- Television (Baird, Farnsworth, Zworykin, 1920s)



Photography (Niepce, "La Table Servie," 1822)

### Let's also not forget...







Motzu (468-376 BC) Aristotle (384-322 BC)

Ibn al-Haitham (965-1040)

### Pinhole perspective projection



### Distant objects are smaller



# Parallel lines meet

Common to draw image plane *in front* of the focal point. Moving the image plane merely scales the image.



# Vanishing points

- Each set of parallel lines meets at a different point
  - The vanishing point for this direction
- Sets of parallel lines on the same plane lead to *collinear* vanishing points.
  - The line is called the *horizon* for that plane

# **Properties of Projection**

- Points project to points
- Lines project to lines
- Planes project to the whole image or a half image
- Angles are not preserved
- Degenerate cases
  - Line through focal point projects to a point.
  - Plane through focal point projects to line
  - Plane perpendicular to image plane projects to part of the image (with horizon).

Pinhole Perspective Equation



Affine projection models: Weak perspective projection



When the scene depth is small compared its distance from the Camera, *m* can be taken constant: weak perspective projection.

Affine projection models: Orthographic projection





When the camera is at a (roughly constant) distance from the scene, take m=1.

# Pros and Cons of These Models

- Weak perspective much simpler math.
  - Accurate when object is small and distant.
  - Most useful for recognition.
- Pinhole perspective much more accurate for scenes.
  - Used in structure from motion.
- When accuracy really matters, must model real cameras.



#### Quantitative Measurements and Calibration



Euclidean Geometry

### Euclidean Coordinate Systems





#### **Coordinate Changes: Pure Translations**



 $\overrightarrow{O_BP} = \overrightarrow{O_BO_A} + \overrightarrow{O_AP}$ ,  $^BP = ^AP + ^BO_A$ 

Coordinate Changes: Pure Rotations



Coordinate Changes: Pure Rotations







Coordinate Changes: Rotations about the *z* Axis





A rotation matrix is characterized by the following properties:

- Its inverse is equal to its transpose, and
- its determinant is equal to 1.

Or equivalently:

• Its rows (or columns) form a right-handed orthonormal coordinate system.

### Coordinate Changes: Rigid Transformations



**Block Matrix Multiplication** 

What is *AB* ?

#### Homogeneous Representation of Rigid Transformations

**Rigid Transformations as Mappings** 



$${}^{F}P' = \mathcal{R}^{F}P + \mathbf{t} \iff \begin{pmatrix} {}^{F}P' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R} & \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{F}P \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Rigid Transformations as Mappings: Rotation about the k Axis



$${}^{F}P' = \mathcal{R}^{F}P, \text{ where } \mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Pinhole Perspective Equation



$$\begin{cases} x' = f' \frac{x}{z} \\ y' = f' \frac{y}{z} \end{cases}$$

#### The Intrinsic Parameters of a Camera



Normalized Image Coordinates

$$\begin{cases} u = kf\frac{x}{z} \\ v = lf\frac{y}{z} \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} u = \alpha\frac{x}{z} + u_0 \\ v = \beta\frac{y}{z} + v_0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} u = \alpha\frac{x}{z} - \alpha \cot\theta\frac{y}{z} + u_0 \\ v = \frac{\beta}{\sin\theta}\frac{y}{z} + v_0 \end{cases}$$

The Intrinsic Parameters of a Camera

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Calibration Matrix

$$oldsymbol{p} = \mathcal{K}\hat{oldsymbol{p}}, ext{ where } oldsymbol{p} = egin{pmatrix} u \ v \ 1 \end{pmatrix} ext{ and } \mathcal{K} \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} egin{pmatrix} lpha & -lpha \cot heta & u_0 \ 0 & rac{\beta}{\sin heta} & v_0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Perspective  $\boldsymbol{p} = \frac{1}{z} \mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{K} \ \boldsymbol{0})$ 

#### The Extrinsic Parameters of a Camera

• When the camera frame (C) is different from the world frame (W),  $\begin{pmatrix} {}^{C}P\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{C}_{W}\mathcal{R} & {}^{C}O_{W}\\ \mathbf{0}^{T} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{W}P\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$ 

• Thus,

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \mathcal{K} \left( eta & m{t} 
ight), \ \mathcal{R} &= {}^C_W \mathcal{R}, \ m{t} &= {}^C O_W, \ m{t} &= {}^C O_W, \ m{P} &= \left( {}^W P \ 1 
ight). \end{aligned}$$

• Note: z is *not* independent of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$ :

$$\mathcal{M} = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{m}_1^T \ oldsymbol{m}_2^T \ oldsymbol{m}_3^T \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow z = oldsymbol{m}_3 \cdot oldsymbol{P}, \quad ext{or} \quad \left\{ egin{array}{c} u = rac{oldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot oldsymbol{P}}{oldsymbol{m}_3 \cdot oldsymbol{P}}, \ v = rac{oldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot oldsymbol{P}}{oldsymbol{m}_3 \cdot oldsymbol{P}}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

Explicit Form of the Projection Matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \boldsymbol{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \boldsymbol{r}_2^T + u_0 \boldsymbol{r}_3^T & \alpha t_x - \alpha \cot \theta t_y + u_0 t_z \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \boldsymbol{r}_2^T + v_0 \boldsymbol{r}_3^T & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_y + v_0 t_z \\ \boldsymbol{r}_3^T & \boldsymbol{t}_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: If  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{A} \ \mathbf{b})$  then  $|\mathbf{a}_3| = 1$ .

Replacing  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\lambda \mathcal{M}$  in

$$\left\{egin{array}{l} u=rac{oldsymbol{m}_{1}\cdotoldsymbol{P}}{oldsymbol{m}_{3}\cdotoldsymbol{P}}\ v=rac{oldsymbol{m}_{2}\cdotoldsymbol{P}}{oldsymbol{m}_{3}\cdotoldsymbol{P}} \end{array}
ight.$$

does not change u and v.

*M* is only defined up to scale in this setting!!

Theorem (Faugeras, 1993)

Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{A} \ \mathbf{b})$  be a 3 × 4 matrix and let  $\mathbf{a}_i^T$  (i = 1, 2, 3) denote the rows of the matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  formed by the three leftmost columns of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- A necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{M}$  to be a perspective projection matrix is that  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$ .
- A necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{M}$  to be a zero-skew perspective projection matrix is that  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$  and

$$(\boldsymbol{a}_1 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3) \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_2 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3) = 0.$$

 A necessary and sufficient condition for *M* to be a perspective projection matrix with zero skew and unit aspect-ratio is that Det(*A*) ≠ 0 and

$$\begin{cases} (\boldsymbol{a}_1 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3) \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_2 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3) = 0, \\ (\boldsymbol{a}_1 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3) \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_1 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3) = (\boldsymbol{a}_2 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3) \cdot (\boldsymbol{a}_2 \times \boldsymbol{a}_3). \end{cases}$$

#### Quantitative Measurements and the Calibration Problem

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Calibration Procedure

- Calibration target : 2 planes at right angle with checkerboard (Tsai grid)
- We know positions of corners of grid with respect to a coordinate system of the target
- Obtain from images the corners
- Using the equations (relating pixel coordinates to world coordinates) we obtain the camera parameters (the internal parameters and the external (pose) as a side effect)

### Estimation procedure

- First estimate M from corresponding image points and scene points (solving homogeneous equation)
- Second decompose M into internal and external parameters
- Use estimated parameters as starting point to solve calibration parameters non-linearly.

Homogeneous Linear Systems

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

Square system:

- unique solution: 0
- unless Det(A)=0

Rectangular system ??

- 0 is always a solution
- Minimize  $|Ax|^2$ under the constraint  $|x|^2=1$

How do you solve overconstrained homogeneous linear equations ??

$$E = |\mathcal{U}\boldsymbol{x}|^2 = \boldsymbol{x}^T (\mathcal{U}^T \mathcal{U}) \boldsymbol{x}$$

- Orthonormal basis of eigenvectors:  $\boldsymbol{e}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_q$ .
- Associated eigenvalues:  $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_q$ .

•Any vector can be written as

$$oldsymbol{x}=\mu_1oldsymbol{e}_1+\ldots+\mu_qoldsymbol{e}_q$$
 for some  $\mu_i~(i=1,\ldots,q)$  such that  $\mu_1^2+\ldots+\mu_q^2=1.$ 

$$E(\boldsymbol{x})-E(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}) = \boldsymbol{x}^{T}(U^{T}U)\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{T}(U^{T}U)\boldsymbol{e}_{1}$$
  
$$= \lambda_{1}\mu_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{q}\mu_{q}^{2}-\lambda_{1}$$
  
$$\geq \lambda_{1}(\mu_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\mu_{q}^{2}-1)=0$$

The solution is  $e_1$ .

#### Example: Line Fitting

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

Problem: minimize

$$E(a, b, d) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (ax_i + by_i - d)^2$$

with respect to (a, b, d).

• Minimize *E* with respect to *d*:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial d} = 0 \Longrightarrow d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{ax_i + by_i}{n} = a\bar{x} + b\bar{y}$$

• Minimize *E* with respect to *a*,*b*:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [a(x_i - \bar{x}) + b(y_i - \bar{y})]^2 = |\mathcal{U}n|^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - x & y_1 - y \\ \dots & \dots \\ x_n - \bar{x} & y_n - \bar{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Done !!

Note:

$$\mathcal{U}^{T}\mathcal{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} - n\bar{x}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} - n\bar{x}\bar{y} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} - n\bar{x}\bar{y} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2} - n\bar{y}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Matrix of second moments of inertia
- Axis of least inertia

#### Linear Camera Calibration

Given *n* points  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$  with *known* positions and their images  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ 

$$\square \qquad \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ v_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i}{\boldsymbol{m}_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i} \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i}{\boldsymbol{m}_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i} \end{pmatrix} \Longleftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{m}_1 - u_i \boldsymbol{m}_3 \\ \boldsymbol{m}_2 - v_i \boldsymbol{m}_3 \end{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_i = 0$$

$$\mathcal{P}\boldsymbol{m} = 0 \text{ with } \mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{0}^{T} & -u_{1}\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\ \boldsymbol{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} & -v_{1}\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{T} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & \boldsymbol{0}^{T} & -u_{n}\boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \\ \boldsymbol{0}^{T} & \boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} & -v_{n}\boldsymbol{P}_{n}^{T} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{m} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{m}_{1} \\ \boldsymbol{m}_{2} \\ \boldsymbol{m}_{3} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Once *M* is known, you still got to recover the intrinsic and extrinsic parameters !!!

This is a decomposition problem, not an estimation problem.

$$\boxed{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \ \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \boldsymbol{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \boldsymbol{r}_2^T + u_0 \boldsymbol{r}_3^T & \alpha t_x - \alpha \cot \theta t_y + u_0 t_z \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \boldsymbol{r}_2^T + v_0 \boldsymbol{r}_3^T & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_y + v_0 t_z \\ \boldsymbol{r}_3^T & \boldsymbol{t}_z \end{pmatrix}$$

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Intrinsic parameters
- Extrinsic parameters

**Degenerate Point Configurations** 

Are there other solutions besides *M*??

- Coplanar points: ( $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ )=( $\Pi, 0, 0$ ) or ( $0, \Pi, 0$ ) or ( $0, 0, \Pi$ )
- Points lying on the intersection curve of two quadric surfaces = straight line + twisted cubic

Does not happen for 6 or more random points!

#### Analytical Photogrammetry

Given *n* points  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$  with *known* positions and their images  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ 

Find i and e such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \left( u_i - \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_1(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i}{\boldsymbol{m}_3(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i} \right)^2 + \left( v_i - \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_2(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i}{\boldsymbol{m}_3(\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{e}) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_i} \right)^2 \right] \quad \text{is minimized}$$

Non-Linear Least-Squares Methods

- Newton
- Gauss-Newton
- Levenberg-Marquardt

Iterative, quadratically convergent in favorable situations

### Mobile Robot Localization (Devy et al., 1997)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)