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## Outline

1. Visual motion in the Real World
2. The visual motion estimation problem
3. Problem formulation: Estimation through model-based alignment
4. Coarse-to-fine direct estimation of model parameters
5. Progressive complexity and robust model estimation
6. Multi-modal alignment
7. Direct estimation of parallax/depth/optical flow
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## Types of Visual Motion in the

Real World

## Simple Camera Motion : Pan \& Tilt



Camera Does Not Change Location

## Apparent Motion : Pan \& Tilt



Camera Moves a Lot

## Independent Object Motion



Objects are the Focus Camera is more or less steady

## Independent Object Motion with <br> Camera Pan



Most common scenario for
capturing performances

## General Camera Motion



Large changes in
camera location \& orientation

## Visual Motion due to Environmental Effects



Every pixel may have its own motion

## The Works!



## General Camera \& Object Motions

Why is Analysis and Estimation of
Visual Motion Important?

# Visual Motion Estimation as a means of extracting <br> Information Content in Dynamic Imagery 

...extract information behind pixel data...


## Information Content in Dynamic Imagery

...extract information behind pixel data...


Extended Scene Geometry

## Information Content in Dynamic Imagery

...extract information behind pixel data...


## An Example

## A Panning Camera

- Pin-hole camera model
- Pure rotation of the camera
- Multiple images related through a 2D projective transformation: also called a homography
- In the special case for camera pan, with small frame-to-frame rotation, and small field of view, the frames are related through a pure image translation


## Pin-hole Camera Model



## Camera Rotation (Pan)



## Camera Rotation (Pan)



$$
y^{\prime \prime}=f \frac{Y^{\prime \prime}}{Z^{\prime \prime}} \quad p^{\prime \prime} \approx f^{\prime \prime}
$$

$$
\mathrm{p}^{\prime \prime} \approx \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{p}
$$

## Image Motion due to Rotations does not depend on the <br> depth / structure of the scene

Verify the same for a 3D scene and 2D camera

## Pin-hole Camera Model



$$
y=f \frac{Y}{Z} \quad p \approx f P
$$

## Camera Translation (Ty)



$$
\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}} \quad \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \approx \mathrm{fP}^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{T}^{\prime}
$$

## Translational Displacement

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
y^{\prime}=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}} & \mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}} \\
\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Ty}}{\mathrm{Z}} & \mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{Tz}} \\
\mathrm{y}^{\prime}-\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{Ty}}{\mathrm{Z}} & y^{\prime}-\boldsymbol{y}=-\mathrm{y}^{\prime} \frac{T z}{Z}
\end{array}
$$

Image Motion due to Translation is a function of
the depth of the scene

## Cannonical Optic Flow Fields
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## Sample Displacement Fields

Render scenes with various motions and plot the displacement fields

## Motion Field vs. Optical Flow

## Motion Field : 2D projections of 3D

 displacement vectors due to camera and/or object motion

## Motion Field vs. Optical Flow

Lambertian ball rotating in 3D

## Motion Field?



## Optical Flow?

Courtesy: Michael Black @ Brown.edu Image: http://www.evl.uic.edu/aej/488/

## Motion Field vs. Optical Flow

Stationary Lambertian ball with a moving point light source

## Motion Field?

## Optical Flow?



Courtesy : Michael Black @ Brown.edu Image : http://www.evl.uic.edu/aej/488/

## A Hierarchy of Models

Taxonomy by Bergen, Anandan et al.'92

- Parametric motion models
- 2D translation, affine, projective, 3D pose [Bergen, Anandan, et.al.'92]
- Piecewise parametric motion models
- 2D parametric motion/structure layers [Wang\&Adelson'93, Ayer\&Sawhney'95]
- Quasi-parametric
- 3D R, T \& depth per pixel. [Hanna\&Okumoto'91]
- Plane+parallax [Kumar et.al.'94, Sawhney'94]
- Piecewise quasi-parametric motion models
- 2D parametric layers + parallax per layer [Baker et al.'98]
- Non-parametric
- Optic flow: 2D vector per pixel [Lucas\&Kanade'81, Bergen,Anandan et.al.'92]


# Sparse/Discrete Correspondences 

## \&

## Dense Motion Estimation

## Discrete Methods

## Feature Correlation <br> \& <br> RANSAC

## Visual Motion through Discrete Correspondences



In general, discrete correspondences are related
through a transformation

## Discrete Methods

## Feature Correlation <br> \& <br> RANSAC

## Discrete Correspondences



- Select corner-like points
- Match patches using Normalized Correlation
- Establish further matches using motion model


# Direct Methods for Visual Motion Estimation 

Employ Models of Motion and
Estimate Visual Motion through
Image Alignment

## Characterizing Direct Methods

The What

- Visual interpretation/modeling involves spatiotemporal image representations directly
- Not explicitly represented discrete features like corners, edges and lines etc.
- Spatio-temporal images are represented as outputs of symmetric or oriented filters.
- The output representations are typically dense, that is every pixel is explained,
- Optical flow, depth maps.
- Model parameters are also computed.


## Direct Methods: The How

Alignment of spatio-temporal images is a means of obtaining : Dense Representations, Parametric Models


## Direct Method based Alignment



## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment

 [Bergen, Anandan et al.'92]

Model image transformation as:


Images separated
by
time, space,
sensor types

## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Model image transformation as :


## Images separated <br> by

time, space,
sensor types

Reference
Coordinate
System

## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Model image transformation as:


## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Model image transformation as :


## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Model image transformation as :


## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Compute the unknown parameters and correspondences while aligning images using optimization:

$$
\min _{\ominus} \sum_{i} \rho\left(r_{i} ; \sigma\right),
$$



Filtered Image
Representations
(to account for
Illumination changes,
Multi-modalities)
What all can be varied?

## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Compute the unknown parameters and correspondences while aligning images using optimization:


## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Compute the unknown parameters and correspondences while aligning images using optimization:


## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Compute the unknown parameters and correspondences while aligning images using optimization :


## Formulation of Direct Model-based Image Alignment



Compute the unknown parameters and correspondences while aligning images using optimization:


## A Hierarchy of Models

Taxonomy by Bergen, Anandan et al.'92

- Parametric motion models
- 2D translation, affine, projective, 3D pose [Bergen, Anandan, et.al.'92]
- Piecewise parametric motion models
- 2D parametric motion/structure layers [Wang\&Adelson'93, Ayer\&Sawhney'95]
- Quasi-parametric
- 3D R, T \& depth per pixel. [Hanna\&Okumoto'91]
- Plane+parallax [Kumar et.al.'94, Sawhney'94]
- Piecewise quasi-parametric motion models
- 2D parametric layers + parallax per layer [Baker et al.'98]
- Non-parametric
- Optic flow: 2D vector per pixel [Lucas\&Kanade'81, Bergen,Anandan et.al.'92]


## Plan : This Part

- First present the generic normal equations.
- Then specialize these for a projective transformation.
- Sidebar into backward image warping.
- SSD and M-estimators.

An Iterative Solution of Model Parameters [Black\&Anandan'94 Sawhney'95]

## $\min _{\ominus} \sum_{i} \rho\left(r_{i} ; \sigma\right)$,

$$
r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}\left(p_{i}-u\left(p_{i} ; \Theta\right)\right)
$$

- Given a solution $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{(\mathbf{m})}$ at the $m$ th iteration, find $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\Theta}$ by solving :

- $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a weight associated with each measurement.


## An Iterative Solution of Model Parameters



$$
r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}\left(p_{i}-u\left(p_{i} ; \Theta\right)\right)
$$

- In particular for Sum-of-Square Differences: $\quad \rho_{\text {SSD }}=\frac{r^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}$
- We obtain the standard normal equations:

$$
\sum_{l} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial \theta_{k}} \frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial \theta_{l}} \partial \theta_{l}=-\sum_{i} r_{i} \frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial \theta_{k}} \quad \forall \mathbf{k}
$$

- Other functions can be used for robust M-estimation...


## How does this work for images? (1)

$$
\min _{\Theta} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} r_{i}^{2},
$$

$$
r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}(\underbrace{p_{i}-u\left(p_{i} ; \Theta\right)}_{p_{i}^{\prime}})
$$

- Let their be a 2D projective transformation between the two images:

$$
p_{i}^{\prime} \approx P p_{i}
$$

- Given an initial guess $\mathbf{P}^{(\mathbf{k})}$
- First, warp $\boldsymbol{I}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\prime}\right)$ towards $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right)$

How does this work for images ? (2)

$$
\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{u}(\mathrm{p})
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{\Theta} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} r_{i}^{2}, \quad r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}(\underbrace{p_{i}-u\left(p_{i} ; \Theta\right)}_{p_{i}^{\prime}}) \\
& I_{1}^{w}\left(p^{w}\right)=I_{1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=I_{1}\left(P^{(k)} p^{w}\right) \\
& l_{1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \\
& \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\mathrm{w}}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{w}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{p})
\end{aligned}
$$

How does this work for images ? (3)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\Theta} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} r_{i}^{2}, \quad r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}\left(p_{i}-u\left(p_{i} ; \Theta\right)\right. \\
I_{1}^{w}\left(p^{w}\right)=I_{1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=I_{1}\left(P^{(k)} p^{w}\right) p_{i}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

$I_{1}^{W}\left(p^{w}\right): \quad$ Represents image 1 warped towards the reference image 2, Using the current set of parameters

## $\mathrm{l}_{1}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)$

$\mathrm{l}_{1}^{\mathrm{w}}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{w}}\right)$
$\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{p})$


## How does this work for images ? (4)

- The residual transformation between the warped image and the reference image is modeled as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}{ }^{w}\left(p_{i}{ }^{w}-\delta p_{i}{ }^{w}\left(p_{i}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} ; \delta \Theta\right)\right) \\
& \text { where }\left.p_{i}{ }^{w} \approx I+D\right] p_{i} \\
& D=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
d 11 & d 12 & d 13 \\
d 21 & d 22 & d 23 \\
d 31 & d 32 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## How does this work for images ? (5)

- The residual transformation between the warped image and the reference image is modeled as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{i} & =I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}{ }^{w}\left(p_{i}{ }^{W}-\delta p_{i}{ }^{W}\left(p_{i}{ }^{w} ; D\right)\right) \\
& \approx I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I^{w}\left(p_{i}{ }^{w}\left(p_{i} ; 0\right)\right)-\nabla I^{w^{\top}} \frac{\partial p_{i}^{w}}{\partial d} l_{D=0} d
\end{aligned}
$$

How does this work for images ? (6)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\Theta} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} r_{i}^{2}, \\
\left.r_{i} \approx \nabla I_{i}{ }^{w^{\top}} \nabla_{D} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{w}}\right|_{\mathrm{D}=0} \mathbf{d}-\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \nabla_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\top} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \nabla \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{w^{\top}} \nabla \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \nabla_{\mathrm{D}} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathbf{d}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \nabla_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\top} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \delta \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
\mathrm{Hd}=\mathbf{g} \\
\mathbf{P}^{(\mathrm{k}+1)} \approx \mathbf{P}^{(\mathrm{k})}[\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{D}]
\end{gathered}
$$

So now we can solve for the model parameters while aligning images iteratively using warping and Levenberg-Marquat style optimization

## Sidebar : Backward Warping

- Note that we have used backward warping in the direct alignment of images.
- Backward warping avoids holes.
- Image gradients are estimated in the warped coordinate system.



## Sidebar : Backward Warping

- Note that we have used backward warping in the direct alignment of images.
- Backward warping avoids holes.
- Image gradients are estimated in the warped coordinate system.



## Iterative Alignment : Result



## How to handle Large Transformations?

[Burt,Adelson'81]



- A hierarchical framework for fast algorithms
- A wavelet representation for compression, enhancement, fusion
- A model of human vision

Iterative Coarse-to-fine Model-based Image Alignment


## Pyramid-based Direct Image Alignment

- Coarse levels reduce search.

- Image warping allows model estimation without discrete feature extraction.
- Model parameters are estimated using iterative nonlinear optimization.
- Coarse level parameters guide optimization at finer levels.


## Application : Image/Video Mosaicing

- Direct frame-to-frame image alignment.
- Select frames to reduce the number of frames \& overlap.
- Warp aligned images to a reference coordinate system.
- Create a single mosaic image.
- Assumes a parametric motion model.

Video Mosaic Example

## VideoBrush'96



Princeton Chapel Video Sequence 54 frames

## Unblended Chapel Mosaic



## Image Mosaics

- Chips are images.
- May or may not be captured from known locations of the camera.



## Output Mosaic



# Handling Moving Objects in 2D Parametric Alignment \& Mosaicing 

## Generalized M-Estimation

$$
\min _{\ominus} \sum_{i} \rho\left(r_{i} ; \sigma\right), \quad r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I_{1}\left(p_{i}-u\left(p_{i} ; \Theta\right)\right)
$$

- Given a solution $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{(\mathbf{m})}$ at the $m$ th iteration, find $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\Theta}$ by solving :

$$
\sum_{l} \sum_{i} \frac{\dot{\rho}\left(r_{i}\right)}{r_{i}} \frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial \theta_{k}} \frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial \theta_{l}} \partial \theta_{l}=-\sum_{i} \frac{\dot{\rho}_{i}\left(r_{i}\right)}{r_{i}} r_{i} \frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial \theta_{k}} \forall k
$$

- $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a weight associated with each measurement. Can be varied to provide robustness to outliers.
Choices of the $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{r} ; \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ function: $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{SS}}=\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2}}{\mathbf{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathrm{GM}}=\frac{\mathbf{r}^{2} / \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}}{\mathbf{1 + \mathbf { r } ^ { 2 } / \boldsymbol { \sigma } ^ { 2 }}}$

$$
\frac{\dot{\rho}_{\mathrm{Ss}}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \quad \frac{\dot{\rho}_{\mathrm{GM}}(\mathbf{r})}{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{2 \sigma^{2}}{\left(\sigma^{2}+\mathbf{r}^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Optimization Functions \& their Corresponding Weight Plots





With Robust Functions Direct Alignment Works for
Non-dominant Moving Objects Too


Original two frames


Background Alignment

## Object Deletion with Layers

## Original Video



Video Stream with deleted moving object


## Optic Flow Estimation

$$
r_{i}=I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-l_{1}^{w}\left(p_{i}^{w}-\delta p_{i}^{w}\left(p_{i}^{w} ; D\right)\right)
$$

$$
\approx \mathcal{Z}_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I^{w}\left(p_{i}^{w}\left(p_{i} ; 0\right)\right)-\nabla I^{w} \delta p
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
l_{x}^{w} & \left.l_{y}^{w}\right] \\
\underbrace{\delta x}
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\delta x \\
\delta y
\end{array} \approx I_{2}\left(p_{i}\right)-I^{w}\left(p_{i}^{w}\right)=\delta l\right.
$$

Gradient Direction
Flow Vector

## Normal Flow Constraint

At a single pixel, brightness constraint:





$$
\lambda
$$

## Computing Optical Flow: Discretization

- Look at some neighborhood N :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{(i, j) \in \mathrm{N}}(\nabla I(i, j))^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{v}+I_{t}(i, j) \stackrel{\text { want }}{=} 0 \\
\mathbf{A v}+\mathbf{b}^{\text {want }}=0 \\
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla I\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \\
\nabla I\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\nabla I\left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{t}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \\
I_{t}\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
I_{t}\left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Computing Optical Flow: Least Squares

- In general, overconstrained linear system - Solve by least squares

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A v}+\mathbf{b} & \stackrel{\text { want }}{=} \\
\Rightarrow\left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A}\right) \mathbf{v} & =-\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{v} & =-\left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computing Optical Flow: Stability

- Has a solution unless $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}$ is singular
$\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A}$
$\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\nabla I\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) & \nabla I\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) & \cdots & \nabla I\left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}\nabla I\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \\ \nabla I\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla I\left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)\end{array}\right]$
$\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\sum_{N} I_{x}{ }^{2} & \sum_{N} I_{x} I_{y} \\ \sum_{N} I_{x} I_{y} & \sum_{N} I_{y}{ }^{2}\end{array}\right]$


## Computing Optical Flow: Stability

- Where have we encountered $\mathbf{C}$ before?
- Corner detector!
- C is singular if constant intensity or edge
- Use eigenvalues of C:
- to evaluate stability of optical flow computation
- to find good places to compute optical flow
(finding good features to track)
- [Shi-Tomasi]


## Example of Flow Computation



## Example of Flow Computation



## Example of Flow Computation



But this in general is not the motion field

## Motion Field = Optical Flow ?

From brightness constancy, normal flow: $v_{n}=\frac{\left(\nabla E^{\top}\right) v}{\|\nabla E\|}=-\frac{E_{t}}{\|\nabla E\|}$
Motion field for a Lambertian scene:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E=\rho I^{T} n \quad \frac{\mathrm{dn}}{\mathrm{dt}}=\omega \boldsymbol{x} n \quad \nabla E^{T} v+E_{t}=\rho I^{T}(\omega x n) \\
\therefore|\Delta v|=\rho \frac{\left|I^{T}(\omega x n)\right|}{\|\nabla E\|}
\end{gathered}
$$

Points with high spatial gradient are the locations At which the motion field can be best estimated By brightness constancy (the optical flow)

## Motion Illusions in <br> Human Vision

## Aperture Problem

- Too big: confused by multiple motions

- Too small: only get motion perpendicular to edge



## Ouchi Illusion



The Ouchi illusion, illustrated above, is an illusion named after its inventor, Japanese artist Hajime Ouchi. In this illusion, the central disk seems to float above the checkered background when moving the eyes around while viewing the figure. Scrolling the image horizontally or vertically give a much stronger effect. The illusion is caused by random eye movements, which are independent in the horizontal and vertical directions. However, the two types of patterns in the figure nearly eliminate the effect of the eye movements parallel to each type of pattern. Consequently, the neurons stimulated by the disk convey the signal that the disk jitters due to the horizontal component of the eye movements, while the neurons stimulated by the background convey the signal that movements are due to the independent vertical component. Since the two regions jitter independently, the brain interprets the regions as corresponding to separate independent objects (Olveczky et al. 2003).
http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Ouchilllusion.html

## Akisha Kitakao


http://www.ritsumei.ac.jp/~akitaoka/saishin-e.html

## Rotating Snakes



The

