## COSO26

Algorithms and Data Structures Princeton University Fall 2006

Kevin Wayne

What is COS 226?

- Intermediate-level survey course.
- Programming and problem solving with applications.
- Algorithm: method for solving a problem.
- Data structure: method to store information.

| Topic | Data Structures and Algorithms |
| :---: | :---: |
| data types | stack, queue, list, union-find, priority queue |
| sorting | quicksort, mergesort, heapsort, radix sorts |
| searching | hash table, BST, red-black tree, B-tree |
| graphs | DFS, Prim, Kruskal, Dijkstra, Ford-Fulkerson |
| strings | KMP, Rabin-Karp, TST, Huffman, LZW |
| geometry | Graham scan, k-d tree, Voronoi diagram |

A misperception: algiros [painful] + arithmos [number].

Why Study Algorithms?

Internet. Web search, packet routing, distributed file sharing. Biology. Human genome project, protein folding. Computers. Circuit layout, file system, compilers. Computer graphics. Hollywood movies, video games. Security. Cell phones, e-commerce, voting machines. Multimedia. CD player, DVD, MP3, JPG, DivX, HDTV. Transportation. Airline crew scheduling, map routing. Physics. N-body simulation, particle collision simulation.

For me, great algorithms are the poetry of computation. Just like verse, they can be terse, allusive, dense, and even mysterious. But once unlocked, they cast a brilliant new light on some aspect of computing. - Francis Sullivan
bioinformatics
computational physics
-Want it to go faster? Process more data?

- Want it to do something that would otherwise be impossible?

Algorithms as a field of study.

- Philosophical implications.
- Burgeoning application areas.
- Old enough that basics are known.
- New enough that new discoveries arise.

20th century science
(formula based)
$E=m c^{2} \quad F=\frac{G m_{1} m}{r^{2}}$
$E=m c^{2} \quad F=\frac{G m_{1} m}{r^{2}}$
$F=m a$
$F=m a$
$\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2}+V(r)\right] \Psi(r)=E \Psi(r)$
$\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \nabla^{2}+V(r)\right] \Psi(r)=E \Psi(r)$
-

21st century science
(algorithm based)

Lectures. [Kevin Wayne]

- TTh 11-12:20, Friend 008.

Precepts. [Wolfgang Mulzer, Janet Yoon]

- Th 12:30, Friend 108.
- Th 3:30, Friend 108.
- Discuss programming assignments, exercises, lecture material.
- First precept meets 9/21.


## Coursework and Grading

7 programming assignments. 45\%

- Due 11:55pm, starting Monday 9/25.
- Available via course website.

Weekly written exercises. 15\%

- Due at beginning of Thursday lecture, starting 9/21.
- Available via course website.

Exams.

- Closed book with cheatsheet.
- Midterm. 15\%

Final. $25 \%$

Staff discretion. Adjust borderline cases.

Please fill out questionnaire so that we can adapt course as needed.

- Who are you?
- Why are you taking COS 226?
- Which precept(s) can you attend?
- What do you hope to get out of it?
- What is your programming experience?


## Course Materials

Course web page. http://www.princeton.edu/~cos226

- Syllabus.
- Exercises.
- Lecture slides.
- Programming assignments.

Algorithms in Java, $3^{\text {rd }}$ edition.

- Parts 1-4. [sorting, searching]
. Part 5. [graph algorithms]
Algorithms in C, $2^{\text {nd }}$ edition.
- Strings and geometry handouts.



## Union Find

Reference: Chapter 1, Algorithms in Java, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, Robert Sedgewick.

| in | out | evidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 34 |  |
| 49 | 49 |  |
| 80 | 80 |  |
| 23 | 23 |  |
| 56 | 56 |  |
| 29 |  | (2-3-4-9) |
| 59 | 59 |  |
| 73 | 73 |  |
| 48 | 48 |  |
| 56 |  | (5-6) |
| 02 |  | (2-3-4-8-0) |
| 61 |  |  |



Robert Sedgewick and Kevin Wayne . Copyright $\odot 2005$. http://www.Princeton:EDU/~cos226

## Network Connectivity



What are critical operations we need to support?

- Objects.
- Disjoint sets of objects.
- Find: are two objects in the same set?
- Union: replace sets containing two items by their union.

Goal. Design efficient data structure for union and find.

- Number of operations $M$ can be huge.
- Number of objects N can be huge.


## Quick-Find [eager approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id [] of size N .
- Interpretation: p and q are connected if they have the same id.

$$
\begin{array}{clllllllllll}
\mathbf{i} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \mathbf{4} & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 5 \text { and } 6 \text { are connected } \\
i d[i] & 0 & 1 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 2,3,4, \text { and } 9 \text { are connected }
\end{array}
$$

Find. Check if p and q have the same id.
id[3] = 9; id[6] = 6
3 and 6 not connected

Union. To merge components containing p and q , change all entries with id [p] to id [q].

union of 3 and 6
2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 9 are connected

Applications involve manipulating objects of all types.

- Variable name aliases.
- Pixels in a digital photo.
- Computers in a network.
- Web pages on the Internet
- Transistors in a computer chip.
- Metallic sites in a composite system.

When programming, convenient to name them 0 to $\mathrm{N}-1$

- Details not relevant to union-find.
- Integers allow quick-access to object-related info.
,
array indices

| 3-4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | (1) (1) (2) (4) (3) (2) (1) (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | (1) (1) (2) (3) ${ }^{-}$(4) (5) (3) (8) |
| 8-0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) (3) (4) © (5) (7) (3) |
| 2-3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) (3) © © © © © |
| 5-6 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | (1) (3) (3) (4) (3) (3) (8) |
| 5-9 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) (3) (5) (1) (1) |
| 7-3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 9 | (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (8) (1) (1) |
| 4-8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (1) (2)-(4) (5) (6) (-) (8)-(9) |
| 6-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (1)(2)(3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)-(9) |

```
public class QuickFind {
    private int[] id;
    public QuickFind(int N) {
        id = new int[N]
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)
            id[i] = i;
    }
    public boolean find(int p, int q) {
        return id[p] == id[q];
    }
    public void unite(int p, int q) {
        int pid = id[p];
        for (int i = 0; i < id.length; i++)
            if (id[i] == pid) id[i] = id[q];
    }
}
```

Rough standard for 2000.

- $10^{9}$ operations per second.
- $10^{9}$ words of main memory.
- Touch all words in approximately 1 second. [unchanged since 1950!]

Ex. Huge problem for quick find.

- $10^{10}$ edges connecting $10^{9}$ nodes.
- Quick-find might take $10^{20}$ operations. [~10 ops per query]
. 3,000 years of computer time!

Paradoxically, quadratic algorithms get worse with newer equipment.

- New computer may be $10 x$ as fast.
- But, has $10 x$ as much memory so problem may be $10 x$ bigger.
- With quadratic algorithm, takes $10 \times$ as long!


## Quick-Union [lazy approach]

Data structure.

- Integer array id[] of size N .

Interpretation: id [i] is parent of $i$. keep going until it doesn't change

- Root of $i$ is id[id[id[...id[i]...]]].

| i | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i d[i]$ | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

Find. Check if $p$ and $q$ have the same root.
(0) 1

3 's root is $9 ; 5$ 's root is 6
3 and 5 are not connected
. are not connected

Union. Set the id of $q$ 's root to the id of $p$ 's root.


only one value changes



```
public class QuickUnion {
    private int[] id;
    public QuickUnion(int N) {
        id = new int[N];
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) id[i] = i;
    }
    private int root(int i)
        while (i != id[i]) i = id[i];
        return i;
    }
    public boolean find(int p, int q) {
        return root(p) == root(q);
    }
    public void unite(int p, int q) {
        int i = root(p)
        int j = root(q);
        id[i] = j;
    }
}
```

time proportional
to depth of $x$ to depth of $x$
time proportional

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { time proportional } \\
& \text { to depth of } p \text { and } q
\end{aligned}
$$

time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$

## Weighted Quick-Union

Weighted quick-union.

- Modify quick-union to avoid tall trees.
- Keep track of size of each component.

Balance by linking small tree below large one.

Ex. Union of 5 and 3.

- Quick union: link 9 to 6.
- Weighted quick union: link 6 to 9.



## Quick-find defect

## Union too expensive

- Trees are flat, but too expensive to keep them flat.

Quick-union defect.

- Finding the root can be expensive
- Trees can get tall

| Data Structure | Union | Find |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quick-find | N | 1 |
| Quick-union | tree height | N |

## Weighted Quick-Union: Example



## Java implementation.

- Almost identical to quick-union.
- Maintain extra array sz [] to count number of elements in the tree rooted at i .

Find. Identical to quick-union.

Union. Same as quick-union, but merge smaller tree into larger tree, and update the $\mathrm{sz}[\mathrm{l}$ array

```
if (sz[i] < sz[j]) { id[i] = j; sz[j] += sz[i];
else { id[j] = i; sz[i] += sz[j];
```

Path Compression

Path compression. Just after computing the root of i, set the id of each examined node to root (i).


Analysis.

- Find: takes time proportional to depth of $p$ and $q$.
- Union: takes constant time, given roots.
. Fact: depth is at most $\lg \mathrm{N}$. [needs proof]

| Data Structure | Union | Find |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quick-find | N | 1 |
| Quick-union | $1^{+}$ | N |
| Weighted QU | $\lg \mathrm{N}$ | $\lg \mathrm{N}$ |

Stop at guaranteed acceptable performance? No, can improve further.

## Weighted Quick-Union with Path Compression

Path compression.

- Standard implementation: add second loop to root () to set the id of each examined node to the root.
- Simpler one-pass variant: make every other node in path point to its grandparent.

```
public int root(int i) {
    while (i != id[i]) {
        i = id[i];
    }
    return i;
}
```

        id[i] = id[id[i]]; only one extra line of code!
    In practice. No reason not to! Keeps tree almost completely flat.

| 3-4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 9 | © (1) (2) (4) © © (1) © () |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 3 | (1) (1) (2) (4) (9) (3) (1) (8) |
| 8-0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 3 | (8) (1) (2) © ${ }^{3}$ (9) ${ }^{\text {(6) © (3) }}$ |
| 2-3 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 3 |  |
| 5-6 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 |  | 3 |  |
| 5-9 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 |  | 3 |  |
| 7-3 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 8 |  | 3 | (8) (1) (4) |
| 4-8 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | $\text { (8) }{ }_{(2)}^{3}$ |
| 6-1 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | (1) (1) |


| Theorem. Starting from an empty data structure, | N | 19* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| any sequence of $M$ union and find operations | 2 | 1 |
| on N elements takes $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{M} \lg { }^{*} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ time. | 4 | 2 |
| - Proof is very difficult. | 16 | 3 |
| - But the algorithm is still simple! | 65536 | 4 |
|  | 265536 | 5 |

Remark. $\mathrm{lg}^{\star} \mathrm{N}$ is a constant in this universe.

## Linear algorithm?

- Cost within constant factor of reading in the data.
- Theory: WQUPC is not quite linear.
. Practice: WQUPC is linear.


## Context

Ex. Huge practical problem.

- $10^{10}$ edges connecting $10^{9}$ nodes.
- WQUPC reduces time from 3,000 years to 1 minute.
- Supercomputer won't help much.
- Good algorithm makes solution possible.

Bottom line. WQUPC on Java cell phone beats QF on supercomputer!

| Algorithm | Time |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quick-find | $M N$ |
| Quick-union | $M N$ |
| Weighted QU | $N+M \log N$ |
| Path compression | $N+M \log N$ |
| Weighted + path | $5(M+N)$ |

$M$ union-find ops on a set of $N$ elements

Union-find applications.

- Hex.
- Percolation.
- Connectivity.
- Image processing.
- Least common ancestor.
- Equivalence of finite state automata.
- Hinley-Milner polymorphic type inference.
- Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithm.
- Compiling equivalence statements in Fortran.


## Percolation

Q. What is percolation threshold $p^{*}$ at which charge carriers can percolate from top to bottom?
A. $\sim 0.592746$ for square lattices.

1
percolation constant only known via simulation
top


Percolation phase-transition.

- Two parallel conducting bars (top and bottom).
- Electricity flows from a site to one of its 4 neighbors if both are occupied by conductors.
- Model: each site is a conductor with probability p.


Hex. [Piet Hein 1942, John Nash 1948, Parker Brothers 1962]

- Two players alternate in picking a cell in a hex grid.
- Black: make a black path from upper left to lower right.
- White: make a white path from lower left to upper right.


[^0]Summary

## Lessons.

- Start with simple, brute force approach
- don't use for large problems
might be nontrivial to analyze
Strive for worst-case performance guarantees.
- Identify fundamental abstractions: union-find.

Apply to many domains.


[^0]:    Goal. Algorithm to detect when a player has won.

